

This is a chapter excerpt from Guilford Publications.

Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Third Edition: A Handbook for Diagnosis and Treatment, Russell A. Barkley
Copyright © 2006

PART



THE NATURE OF ADHD

CHAPTER 1

History

RUSSELL A. BARKLEY

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is the current diagnostic label for children presenting with significant problems with attention, and typically with impulsiveness and excessive activity as well. Children with ADHD represent a rather heterogeneous population who display considerable variation in the degree of their symptoms, in the age of onset, in the cross-situational pervasiveness of those symptoms, and in the extent to which other disorders occur in association with ADHD. The disorder represents one of the most common reasons children are referred for behavioral problems to medical and mental health practitioners in the United States and is one of the most prevalent childhood psychiatric disorders. This chapter presents an overview of ADHD's history—a history that spans nearly a century of clinical and scientific publications on the disorder. Given that the history of ADHD through 1997 has not changed since the preceding edition of this text (Barkley, 1998), little has been done to update those sections of this chapter. Developments as the new century begins are described at the end of this chapter, however, and so readers familiar with the earlier edition may wish to skip to that discussion (p. 32).

In the history of ADHD reside the nascent concepts that serve as the foundation for the

current conceptualization of the disorder as largely involving poor inhibition and self-regulation. Here also can be seen the emergence of current notions about its treatment. Such a history remains important for any serious student of ADHD, for it shows that many contemporary themes concerning its nature arose long ago and recurred throughout the 20th century as clinical scientists strove for a clearer, more accurate understanding of the very essence of this condition. Readers are directed to other sources for additional discussions of the history of this disorder (Accardo & Blondis, 2000; Goldstein & Goldstein, 1998; Kessler, 1980; Ross & Ross, 1976, 1982; Schachar, 1986; Werry, 1992).

THE ORIGINS OF ADHD

Still's Description

One of the first references to a child with hyperactivity or ADHD ("Fidgety Phil") was in the poetry of the German physician Heinrich Hoffman in 1865, who penned poems about many of the childhood maladies he saw in his medical practice (Stewart, 1970). But scientific credit is typically awarded to George Still and Alfred Tredgold for being the first authors to focus serious clinical attention on the behavior-

4 I. THE NATURE OF ADHD

al condition in children that most closely approximates what is today known as ADHD.

In a series of three published lectures to the Royal College of Physicians, Still (1902) described 43 children in his clinical practice who had serious problems with sustained attention; he agreed with William James (1890/1950) that such attention may be an important element in the “moral control of behavior.” Most were also quite overactive. Many were often aggressive, defiant, resistant to discipline, and excessively emotional or “passionate.” These children showed little “inhibitory volition” over their behavior, and they also manifested “lawlessness,” spitefulness, cruelty, and dishonesty. Still proposed that the immediate gratification of the self was the “keynote” quality of these and other attributes of the children. And among all of them, passion (or heightened emotionality) was the most commonly observed attribute and the most noteworthy. Still noted further that an insensitivity to punishment characterized many of these children, for they would be punished (even physically), yet would engage in the same infraction within a matter of hours.

Still believed that these children displayed a major “defect in moral control” in their behavior that was relatively chronic in most cases. He believed that in some cases, these children had acquired the defect secondary to an acute brain disease, and it might remit on recovery from the disease. He noted a higher risk for criminal acts in later development in some of the chronic cases, though not all. Although this defect could be associated with intellectual retardation, as it was in 23 of the cases, it could also arise in children of near-normal intelligence, as it seemed to do in the remaining 20.

To Still, the moral control of behavior meant “the control of action in conformity with the idea of the good of all” (p. 1008). Moral control was thought to arise out of a cognitive or conscious comparison of the individual’s volitional activity with that of the good of all—a comparison he termed “moral consciousness.” For purposes that will become evident later, it is important to realize here that to make such a comparison inherently involves the capacity to understand the consequences of one’s actions over time and to hold in mind forms of information about oneself and one’s actions, along with information on their context. Those forms of information involve the action being proposed by the individual, the context, and

the moral principle or rule against which it must be compared. This notion may link Still’s views with the contemporary concepts of self-awareness, working memory, and rule-governed behavior discussed later in this text. Still did not specifically identify these inherent aspects of the comparative process, but they are clearly implied in the manner in which he used the term “conscious” in describing this process. He stipulated that this process of comparison of proposed action to a rule concerning the greater good involved the critical element of the conscious or cognitive relation of individuals to their environment, or self-awareness. Intellect was recognized as playing a part in moral consciousness, but equally or more important was the notion of volition or will. The latter is where Still believed the impairment arose in many of those with defective moral control who suffered no intellectual delay. Volition was viewed as being primarily inhibitory in nature, that a stimulus to act must be overpowered by the stimulus of the idea of the greater good of all.

Both volitional inhibition and the moral regulation of behavior founded on it were believed to develop gradually in children; therefore, younger children would find it more difficult to resist the stimulus to act on impulse than would older children. Thus, judging a child to be defective in volitional inhibition and moral control of behavior meant making a comparison to same-age normal children and taking into account the degree of appeal of the stimulus. Even at the same age, inhibition and moral control varied across children—in part because of environmental factors, but also, Still proposed, because of innate differences in these capacities. Still concluded that a defect in moral control could arise as a function of three distinct impairments: “(1) defect of cognitive relation to the environment; (2) defect of moral consciousness; and (3) defect in inhibitory volition” (p. 1011). He placed these impairments in a hierarchical relation to each other in the order shown, arguing that impairments at a lower level would affect those levels above it and ultimately the moral control of behavior.

Much as researchers do today, Still noted a greater proportion of males than females (3:1) in his sample, and he observed that the disorder appeared to arise in most cases before 8 years of age (typically in early childhood). Many of Still’s cases displayed a higher incidence of minor anomalies in their physical appearance,

or “stigmata of degeneration,” such as abnormally large head size, malformed palate, or increased epicanthal fold. A proneness to accidental injuries was reported in these children—an observation corroborated by numerous subsequent studies reviewed in a later chapter. And Still saw these youngsters as posing an increased threat to the safety of other children because of their aggressive or violent behavior. Alcoholism, criminality, and affective disorders such as depression and suicide were noted to be more common among their biological relatives—an observation once again buttressed by numerous studies published in recent years. Some of the children displayed a history of significant brain damage or convulsions, while others did not. A few had associated tic disorders, or “microkinesia”; this was perhaps the first time tic disorders and ADHD were noted to be comorbid conditions. We now recognize that as many as 50–70% of children with tic disorders and Tourette syndrome have associated ADHD (Barkley, 1988b; Pliszka, 1998).

Although many children were reported to have a chaotic family life, others came from households with seemingly adequate upbringing. In fact, Still believed that when poor child rearing was clearly involved, the children should be exempt from the category of lack of moral control; he reserved it instead only for children who displayed a morbid (organic) failure of moral control despite adequate training. He proposed a biological predisposition to this behavioral condition that was probably hereditary in some children but the result of pre- or postnatal injury in others. In keeping with the theorizing of William James (1890/1950), Still hypothesized that the deficits in inhibitory volition, moral control, and sustained attention were causally related to each other and to the same underlying neurological deficiency. He cautiously speculated on the possibility of either a decreased threshold for inhibition of responding to stimuli or a cortical disconnection syndrome, where intellect was dissociated from “will” in a manner that might be due to neuronal cell modification. Any biologically compromising event that could cause significant brain damage (“cell modification”) and retardation could, he conjectured, in its milder forms lead only to this defective moral control.

Later Tredgold (1908), and much later Pasamanick, Rogers, and Lilienfeld (1956), would use such a theory of early, mild, and un-

detected damage to account for these developmentally late-arising behavioral and learning deficiencies. Foreshadowing current views of treatment, both Still and Tredgold found that temporary improvements in conduct might be achieved by alterations in the environment or by medications, but they stressed the relative permanence of the defect even in these cases. The need for special educational environments for these children was strongly emphasized. We see here the origins of many later and even current notions about children with ADHD and Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD), although it would take almost 70 years to return to many of them—owing in part to the ascendance in the interim of psychoanalytic, psychodynamic, and behavioral views, which overemphasized child rearing as largely causing such behavioral disorders in children. The children whom Still and Tredgold described would probably now be diagnosed as having not only ADHD but also ODD or Conduct Disorder (CD), and most likely a learning disability as well (see Chapters 4 and 6, this volume, for discussions of ADHD’s comorbidity with these disorders).

THE PERIOD 1920 TO 1950

The history of interest in ADHD in North America can be traced to the outbreak of an encephalitis epidemic in 1917–1918, when clinicians were presented with a number of children who survived this brain infection but were left with significant behavioral and cognitive sequelae (Cantwell, 1981; Kessler, 1980; Stewart, 1970). Numerous papers reported these sequelae (Ebaugh, 1923; Strecker & Ebaugh, 1924; Stryker, 1925), and they included many of the characteristics we now incorporate into the concept of ADHD. Such children were described as being impaired in their attention, regulation of activity, and impulsivity, as well as in other cognitive abilities, including memory; they were often noted to be socially disruptive as well. Symptoms of what would now be called ODD, as well as delinquency and CD, also arose in some cases. “Postencephalitic behavior disorder,” as it was called, was clearly the result of brain damage. The large number of children affected resulted in significant professional and educational interest in this behavioral disorder. Its severity was such that many children were recommended for care and edu-

cation outside the home and away from normal educational facilities. Despite a rather pessimistic view of the prognosis of these children, some facilities reported significant success in their treatment with simple behavior modification programs and increased supervision (Bender, 1942; Bond & Appel, 1931).

The Origins of a Brain Damage Syndrome

This association of a brain disease with behavioral pathology apparently led early investigators to study other potential causes of brain injury in children and their behavioral manifestations. Birth trauma (Shirley, 1939); other infections besides encephalitis, such as measles (Meyer & Byers, 1952); lead toxicity (Byers & Lord, 1943); epilepsy (Levin, 1938); and head injury (Blau, 1936; Werner & Strauss, 1941) were all studied in children and were found to be associated with numerous cognitive and behavioral impairments, including the triad of ADHD symptoms noted earlier. Other terms introduced during this era for children displaying these behavioral characteristics were “organic driveness” (Kahn & Cohen, 1934) and “restlessness” syndrome (Childers, 1935; Levin, 1938). Many of the children seen in these samples also had mental retardation or more serious behavioral disorders than what is today called ADHD. It would be several decades before investigators would attempt to parse out the separate contributions of intellectual delay, learning disabilities, or other neuropsychological deficits from those of the behavioral deficits to the maladjustment of these children. Even so, scientists at this time would discover that activity level was often inversely related to intelligence in children, increasing as intelligence declined in a sample—a finding supported in many subsequent studies (Rutter, 1989). It should also be noted that a large number of children in these older studies did in fact have brain damage or signs of such damage (epilepsy, hemiplegias, etc.).

Notable during this era was also the recognition of the striking similarity between hyperactivity in children and the behavioral sequelae of frontal lobe lesions in primates (Blau, 1936; Levin, 1938). Frontal lobe ablation studies of monkeys had been done more than 60 years earlier (Ferrier, 1876), and the lesions were known to result in excessive restlessness, poor ability to sustain interest in activities, aimless wandering, and excessive appetite, among

other behavioral changes. Several investigators, such as Levin (1938), would use these similarities to postulate that severe restlessness in children might well be the result of pathological defects in the forebrain structures, although gross evidence of such was not always apparent in many of these children. Later investigators (e.g., Barkley, 1997b; Chelune, Ferguson, Koon, & Dickey, 1986; Lou, Henriksen, & Bruh, 1984; Lou, Henriksen, Bruhn, Borner, & Nielsen, 1989; Mattes, 1980) would return to this notion, but with greater evidence to substantiate their claims. Milder forms of hyperactivity, in contrast, were attributed in this era to psychological causes, such as “spoiled” child-rearing practices or delinquent family environments. This idea that poor or disrupted parenting causes ADHD would also be resurrected in the 1970s and continues even today among many laypeople and critics of ADHD.

Over the next decade, it became fashionable to consider most children hospitalized in psychiatric facilities with this symptom picture to have suffered from some type of brain damage (such as encephalitis or pre-/perinatal trauma), whether or not the clinical history of the case contained evidence of such. The concept of the “brain-injured child” was to be born in this era (Strauss & Lehtinen, 1947) and applied to children with these behavioral characteristics, many of whom had insufficient or no evidence of brain pathology. In fact, Strauss and Lehtinen argued that the psychological disturbances alone were *de facto* evidence of brain injury as the etiology. Owing in part to the absence of such evidence of brain damage, this term would later evolve into the concept of “minimal brain damage” and eventually “minimal brain dysfunction” (MBD) by the 1950s and 1960s. Even so, a few early investigators, such as Childers (1935), would raise serious questions about the notion of brain damage in these children when no historical documentation of damage existed. Substantial recommendations for educating these “brain-damaged” children were made in the classic text by Strauss and Lehtinen (1947), which served as a forerunner to special educational services adopted much later in U.S. public schools. These recommendations included placing these children in smaller, more carefully regulated classrooms and reducing the amount of distracting stimulation in the environment. Strikingly austere classrooms were developed, in which teachers could not wear jewelry or

brightly colored clothing, and few pictures could adorn the walls so as not to interfere unnecessarily with the education of these highly distractible students.

Although the population served by the Pennsylvania center in which Strauss, Werner, and Lehtinen worked principally contained children with mental retardation, the work of Cruickshank and his students (Dolphin & Cruickshank, 1951a, 1951b, 1951c) later extended these neuropsychological findings to children with cerebral palsy but near-normal or normal intelligence. This extension resulted in the extrapolation of the educational recommendations of Strauss to children without mental retardation who manifested behavioral or perceptual disturbances (Cruickshank & Dolphin, 1951; Strauss & Lehtinen, 1947). Echoes of these recommendations are still commonplace today in most educational plans for children with ADHD or learning disabilities, despite the utter lack of scientific support for their efficacy (Kessler, 1980; Routh, 1978; Zentall, 1985). These classrooms are historically significant, as they were the predecessors as well as instigators of the types of educational resources that would be incorporated into the initial Education for All Handicapped Children Act of 1975 (Public Law 94-142) mandating the special education of children with learning disabilities and behavioral disorders, and its later reauthorization, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 1990 (IDEA; Public Law 101-476).

The Beginnings of Child Psychopharmacology for ADHD

Another significant series of papers on the treatment of hyperactive children appeared in 1937–1941. These papers were to mark the beginnings of medication therapy (particularly stimulants) for behaviorally disordered children in particular as well as the field of child psychopharmacology in general (Bradley, 1937; Bradley & Bowen, 1940; Molitch & Eccles, 1937). Initiated originally to treat the headaches that resulted from conducting pneumoencephalograms during research studies of these disruptive youth, the administration of amphetamine resulted in a noticeable improvement in their behavioral problems and academic performance. Later studies would also confirm such a positive drug response in half or more of hyperactive hospitalized children

(Laufer, Denhoff, & Solomons, 1957). As a result, by the 1970s, stimulant medications were gradually becoming the treatment of choice for the behavioral symptoms now associated with ADHD. And so they remain today (see Chapter 17, this volume).

The Emergence of a Hyperkinetic Impulse Syndrome

In the 1950s, researchers began a number of investigations into the neurological mechanisms underlying these behavioral symptoms, the most famous of which was probably that by Laufer et al. (1957). These writers referred to children with ADHD as having “hyperkinetic impulse disorder,” and reasoned that the central nervous system (CNS) deficit occurred in the thalamic area. Here, poor filtering of stimulation occurred, allowing an excess of stimulation to reach the brain. The evidence was based on a study of the effects of the “photo-Metrozol” method, in which the drug metronidazole (Metrozol) is administered while flashes of light are presented to a child. The amount of drug required to induce a muscle jerk of the forearms, along with a spike wave pattern on the electroencephalogram (EEG), serves as the measure of interest. Laufer et al. (1957) found that inpatient children with hyperactivity required less Metrozol than those without hyperactivity to induce this pattern of response. This finding suggested that the hyperactive children had a lower threshold for stimulation, possibly in the thalamic area. No attempts to replicate this study have been done, and it is unlikely that such research would pass today’s standards of ethical conduct in research required by institutional review boards on research with human subjects. Nevertheless, it remains a milestone in the history of the disorder for its delineation of a more specific mechanism that might give rise to hyperactivity (low cortical thresholds or overstimulation). Others at the time also conjectured that an imbalance between cortical and subcortical areas existed. There was believed to be diminished control of subcortical areas responsible for sensory filtering that permitted excess stimulation to reach the cortex (Knobel, Wolman, & Mason, 1959).

By the end of this era, it seemed well accepted that hyperactivity was a brain damage syndrome, even when evidence of damage was lacking. The disorder was thought to be best

treated through educational classrooms characterized by reduced stimulation or through residential centers. Its prognosis was considered fair to poor. The possibility that a relatively new class of medications, the stimulants, might hold promise for its treatment was beginning to be appreciated.

THE PERIOD 1960 TO 1969

The Decline of MBD

In the late 1950s and early 1960s, critical reviews began appearing questioning the concept of a unitary syndrome of brain damage in children. They also pointed out the logical fallacy that if brain damage resulted in some of these behavioral symptoms, these symptoms could be pathognomonic of brain damage without any other corroborating evidence of CNS lesions. Chief among these critical reviews were those of Birch (1964), Herbert (1964), and Rapin (1964), who questioned the validity of applying the concept of brain damage to children who had only equivocal signs of neurological involvement, not necessarily damage. A plethora of research followed on children with MBD (see Rie & Rie, 1980, for reviews); in addition, a task force by the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Blindness (Clements, 1966) recognized at least 99 symptoms for this disorder. The concept of MBD would die a slow death as it eventually became recognized as vague, overinclusive, of little or no prescriptive value, and without much neurological evidence (Kirk, 1963). Its value remained in its emphasis on neurological mechanisms over the often excessive, pedantic, and convoluted environmental mechanisms proposed at that time—particularly those etiological hypotheses stemming from psychoanalytical theory, which blamed parental and family factors entirely for these problems (Hertzog, Bortner, & Birch, 1969; Kessler, 1980; Taylor, 1983). The term “MBD” would eventually be replaced by more specific labels applying to somewhat more homogeneous cognitive, learning, and behavioral disorders, such as “dyslexia,” “language disorders,” “learning disabilities,” and “hyperactivity.” These new labels were based on children’s observable and descriptive deficits, rather than on some underlying unobservable etiological mechanism in the brain.

The Hyperactivity Syndrome

As dissatisfaction with the term “MBD” was occurring, clinical investigators shifted their emphasis to the behavioral symptom thought to most characterize the disorder—that of hyperactivity. And so the concept of a hyperactivity syndrome arose, described in the classic papers by Laufer and Denhoff (1957) and Chess (1960) and other reports of this era (Burks, 1960; Ounsted, 1955; Prechtl & Stemmer, 1962). Chess defined “hyperactivity” as follows: “The hyperactive child is one who carries out activities at a higher than normal rate of speed than the average child, or who is constantly in motion, or both” (p. 239). Chess’s article was historically significant for several reasons: (1) It emphasized activity as the defining feature of the disorder, rather than speculative underlying neurological causes, as other scientists of the time would also do; (2) it stressed the need to consider objective evidence of the symptom beyond the subjective reports of parents or teachers; (3) it took the blame for the child’s problems away from the parents; and (4) it separated the syndrome of hyperactivity from the concept of a brain damage syndrome. Other scientists of this era would emphasize similar points (Werry & Sprague, 1970). It would now be recognized that hyperactivity was a behavioral syndrome that could arise from organic pathology, but could also occur in its absence. Even so, it would continue to be viewed as the result of some biological difficulty, rather than due solely to environmental causes.

Chess described the characteristics of 36 children diagnosed with “physiological hyperactivity” from a total of 881 children seen in a private practice. The ratio of males to females was approximately 4:1, and many children were referred prior to 6 years of age, intimating a relatively earlier age of onset than that for other childhood behavioral disorders. Educational difficulties were common in this group, particularly scholastic underachievement, and many displayed oppositional defiant behavior and poor peer relationships. Impulsive and aggressive behaviors, as well as poor attention span, were commonly associated characteristics. Chess believed that the hyperactivity could also be associated with mental retardation, organic brain damage, or serious mental illness (e.g., schizophrenia). Similar findings in later

research would lead others to question the specificity and hence the utility of this symptom for the diagnosis of ADHD (Douglas, 1972). As in many of today's prescriptions, a multimodal treatment approach incorporating parent counseling, behavior modification, psychotherapy, medication, and special education was recommended. Unlike Still, Chess and others writing in this era stressed the relatively benign nature of hyperactivity's symptoms and claimed that in most cases they resolved by puberty (Laufer & Denhoff, 1957; Solomons, 1965). Here then were the beginnings of a belief that would be widely held among clinicians well into the 1980s—that hyperactivity (ADHD) was outgrown by adolescence.

Also noteworthy in this era was the definition of hyperactivity given in the official diagnostic nomenclature at the time, the second edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-II; American Psychiatric Association, 1968). It employed only a single sentence describing the Hyperkinetic Reaction of Childhood disorder and, following the lead of Chess, stressed the view that the disorder was developmentally benign: "The disorder is characterized by overactivity, restlessness, distractibility, and short attention span, especially in young children; the behavior usually diminishes by adolescence" (p. 50).

Europe and North America Part Company

It is likely that during this period (or even earlier), the perspective on hyperactivity in North America began to diverge from that in Europe, particularly Great Britain. In North America, hyperactivity would become a behavioral syndrome recognized chiefly by greater-than-normal levels of activity; would be viewed as a relatively common disturbance of childhood; would not necessarily be associated with demonstrable brain pathology or mental retardation; and would be regarded as more of an extreme degree in the normal variation of temperament in children. In Great Britain, the earlier and narrower view of a brain damage syndrome would continue into the 1970s: Hyperactivity or hyperkinesis was seen as an extreme state of excessive activity of an almost driven quality; was viewed as highly uncommon; and was usually thought to occur in conjunction with other signs of brain damage (such as epilepsy, hemiplegias, or mental retar-

dation) or a clearer history of brain insult (such as trauma or infection) (Taylor, 1988). The divergence in views would lead to large discrepancies between North Americans and Europeans in their estimations of the prevalence of the disorder, their diagnostic criteria, and their preferred treatment modalities. A rapprochement between these views would not occur until well into the 1980s (Rutter, 1988, 1989; Taylor, 1986, 1988).

The Prevailing View by 1969

As Ross and Ross (1976) noted in their exhaustive and scholarly review of the era, the perspective on hyperactivity in the 1960s was that it remained a brain dysfunction syndrome, although of a milder magnitude than previously believed. The disorder was no longer ascribed to brain damage; instead, a focus on brain mechanisms prevailed. The disorder was also viewed as having a predominant and relatively homogeneous set of symptoms, chief among which was excessive activity level or hyperactivity. Its prognosis was now felt to be relatively benign, as it was believed to be often outgrown by puberty. The recommended treatments now consisted of short-term treatment with stimulant medication and psychotherapy, in addition to the minimum-stimulation types of classrooms recommended in earlier years.

THE PERIOD 1970 TO 1979

Research in the 1970s took a quantum leap forward, with more than 2,000 published studies existing by the time the decade ended (Weiss & Hechtman, 1979). Numerous clinical and scientific textbooks (Cantwell, 1975; Safer & Allen, 1976; Trites, 1979; Wender, 1971) appeared, along with a most thorough and scholarly review of the literature by Ross and Ross (1976). Special journal issues were devoted to the topic (Douglas, 1976; Barkley, 1978), along with numerous scientific gatherings (Knights & Bakker, 1976, 1980). Clearly, hyperactivity had become a subject of serious professional, scientific, and popular attention.

By the early 1970s, the defining features of hyperactivity or hyperkinesis were broadened to include what investigators previously felt to be only associated characteristics, including impulsivity, short attention span, low frustra-

tion tolerance, distractibility, and aggressiveness (Marwitt & Stenner, 1972; Safer & Allen, 1976). Others (Wender, 1971, 1973) persisted with the excessively inclusive concept of MBD, in which even more features (such as motor clumsiness, cognitive impairments, and parent-child conflict) were viewed as hallmarks of the syndrome, and in which hyperactivity was unnecessary for the diagnosis. As noted earlier, the diagnostic term "MBD" would fade from clinical and scientific usage by the end of this decade—the result in no small part of the scholarly tome by Rie and Rie (1980) and critical reviews by Rutter (1977, 1982). These writings emphasized the lack of evidence for such a broad syndrome. The symptoms were not well defined, did not correlate significantly among themselves, had no well-specified etiology, and displayed no common course and outcome. The heterogeneity of the disorder was overwhelming, and more than a few commentators took note of the apparent hypocrisy in defining an MBD syndrome with statements that there was often little or no evidence of neurological abnormality (Wender, 1971). Moreover, even in cases of well-established cerebral damage, the behavioral sequelae were not uniform across cases, and hyperactivity was seen in only a minority. Hence, contrary to 25 years of theorizing to this point, hyperactivity was not a common sequela of brain damage; children with true brain damage did not display a uniform pattern of behavioral deficits; and children with hyperactivity rarely had substantiated evidence of neurological damage (Rutter, 1989).

Wender's Theory of MBD

This decade was notable for two different models of the nature of ADHD (see also Barkley, 1998): Wender's theory of MBD (outlined here) and Douglas's model of attention and impulse control in hyperactive children (discussed in a later section). At the start of the decade, Wender (1971) described the essential psychological characteristics of children with MBD as consisting of six clusters of symptoms: problems in (1) motor behavior, (2) attentional and perceptual-cognitive functioning, (3) learning, (4) impulse control, (5) interpersonal relations, and (6) emotion. Many of the characteristics first reported by Still were echoed by Wender within these six domains of functioning.

1. Within the realm of motor behavior, the essential features were noted to be hyperactivity and poor motor coordination. Excessive speech, colic, and sleeping difficulties were thought to be related to the hyperactivity. Fore-shadowing the later official designation of a group of children with attentional problems but without hyperactivity (American Psychiatric Association, 1980), Wender expressed the opinion that some of these children were hypoactive and listless while still demonstrating attention disturbances. Such cases might now be considered to have the Predominantly Inattentive Type of ADHD. He argued that they should be viewed as having this syndrome because of their manifestation of many of the other difficulties thought to characterize it.

2. Short attention span and poor concentration were described as the most striking deficit in the domain of attention and perceptual-cognitive functioning. Distractibility and daydreaming were also included with these attention disturbances, as was poor organization of ideas or percepts.

3. Learning difficulties were the third domain of dysfunction, with most of these children observed to be doing poorly in their academic performance. A large percentage were described as having specific difficulties with learning to read, with handwriting, and with reading comprehension and arithmetic.

4. Impulse control problems, or a decreased ability to inhibit behavior, were identified as a fourth characteristic of most children with MBD. Within this general category, Wender included low frustration tolerance; an inability to delay gratification; antisocial behavior; lack of planning, forethought, or judgment; and poor sphincter control, leading to enuresis and encopresis. Disorderliness or lack of organization and recklessness (particularly with regard to bodily safety) were also listed within this domain of dysfunction.

5. In the area of interpersonal relations, Wender singled out the unresponsiveness of these children to social demands as the most serious. Extroversion, excessive independence, obstinence, stubbornness, negativism, disobedience, noncompliance, sassiness, and imperviousness to discipline were some of the characteristics that instantiated the problem with interpersonal relations.

6. Finally, within the domain of emotional difficulties, Wender included increased lability

of mood, altered reactivity, increased anger, aggressiveness, and temper outbursts, as well as dysphoria. The dysphoria of these children involved the specific difficulties of anhedonia, depression, low self-esteem, and anxiety. A diminished sensitivity to both pain and punishment was also felt to typify this area of dysfunction in children with MBD. All these symptoms bear a striking resemblance to the case descriptions Still (1902) had provided in his lectures to support his contention that a defect in moral control and volitional inhibition could exist in children apart from intellectual delay.

Wender theorized that these six domains of dysfunction could be best accounted for by three primary deficits: (1) a decreased experience of pleasure and pain, (2) a generally high and poorly modulated level of activation, and (3) extroversion. A consequence of the first deficit was that children with MBD would prove less sensitive to both rewards and punishments, making them less susceptible to social influence. The generally high and poorly modulated level of activation was thought to be an aspect of poor inhibition. Hyperactivity, of course, was the consummate demonstration of this high level of activation. The problems with poor sustained attention and distractibility were conjectured to be secondary aspects of high activation. Emotional overreactivity, low frustration tolerance, quickness to anger, and temper outbursts resulted from the poor modulation of activation. These three primary deficits, then, created a cascading of effects into the larger social ecology of these children, resulting in numerous interpersonal problems and academic performance difficulties.

Like Still (1902), Wender gave a prominent role to the construct of poor inhibition. He believed it to explain both the activation difficulties and the attention problems stemming from these, as well as the excessive emotionality, low frustration tolerance, and hot-temperedness of these children. It is therefore quite puzzling why deficient inhibition was not made a primary symptom in this theory, in place of high activation and poor modulation of activation.

Unlike Still's attempt at a theory, however, Wender did not say much about normal developmental processes with respect to the three primary areas of deficit, and thus did not clarify more precisely what might be going awry in

them to give rise to these characteristics of MBD. The exception was his discussion of a diminished sensitivity to the reasonably well-understood processes of reinforcement and punishment. A higher-than-normal threshold for pleasure and pain, as noted earlier, was thought to create these insensitivities to behavioral consequences.

From a present-day perspective, Wender's theory is also unclear about a number of issues. For instance, how would the three primary deficits account for the difficulties with motor coordination that occurred alongside hyperactivity in his category of motor control problems? It is doubtful that the high level of activation that was said to cause the hyperactivity would also cause these motor deficits. Nor is it clear just how the academic achievement deficits in reading, math, and handwriting could arise from the three primary deficits in the model. It is also unclear why the construct of extroversion needed to be proposed at all, if what Wender meant by it was reduced social inhibition. This model might be just as parsimoniously explained by the deficit in behavioral inhibition already posited. And the meaning of the term "activation" as used by Wender is not very clearly specified. Did it refer to excessive behavior, in which case hyperactivity would have sufficed? Or did it refer to level of CNS arousal, in which case ample subsequent evidence has not found this to be the case (Hastings & Barkley, 1978; Rosenthal & Allen, 1978)? To his credit, Wender recognized the abstract nature of the term "activation" as he employed it in this theory, but he retained it because he felt it could be used to incorporate both hyperactivity and hypoactivity in children. It is never made clear just how this could be the case, however. Finally, Wender failed to distinguish symptoms from their consequences (impairments). The former would be the behavioral manifestations directly associated with or stemming from the disorder itself, such as impulsiveness, inattention, distractibility, and hyperactivity. The latter would be the effects of these behaviors on the social environment, such as interpersonal conflict within the family, poor educational performance, peer rejection, and accident proneness, to name just a few.

From the advantage of hindsight and subsequent research over the decades since the formulation of this theory, it is also evident that

Wender was combining the symptoms of ODD (and even CD) with those of ADHD to form a single disorder. Still (1902) did very much the same thing. This was understandable, given that clinic-referred cases were the starting point for both theories, and many clinic-referred cases are comorbid for both disorders (ADHD and ODD). Sufficient evidence has subsequently accumulated, however, to show that ADHD and ODD are not the same disorder (August & Stewart, 1983; Hinshaw, 1987; Stewart, deBlois, & Cummings, 1980).

The Emergence of Attention Deficits

At this time, disenchantment developed over the exclusive focus on hyperactivity as the *sine qua non* of this disorder (Werry & Sprague, 1970). Significant at this historical juncture would be the presidential address of Virginia Douglas to the Canadian Psychological Association (Douglas, 1972). She argued that deficits in sustained attention and impulse control were more likely than just hyperactivity to account for the difficulties seen in these children. These other symptoms were also seen as the major areas on which the stimulant medications used to treat the disorder had their impact. Douglas's paper was historically significant in other ways as well. Her extensive and thorough battery of objective measures of various behavioral and cognitive domains, heretofore unused in research on ADHD, allowed her to rule in or out various characteristics felt to be typical for these children in earlier clinical and scientific lore. For instance, Douglas found that hyperactive children did not necessarily and uniformly have more reading or other learning disabilities than other children, did not perseverate on concept-learning tasks, did not manifest auditory or right-left discrimination problems, and had no difficulties with short-term memory. Most important, she and Susan Campbell demonstrated that children with hyperactivity were not always more distractible than children without it, and that the sustained attention problems could emerge in conditions in which no significant distractions existed.

The McGill University research team headed by Douglas repeatedly demonstrated that hyperactive children had some of their greatest difficulties on tasks assessing vigilance or sustained attention, such as the continuous-performance test (CPT). These findings would be

repeatedly reconfirmed over the next 30 years of research using CPTs (Corkum & Siegel, 1993; Frazier, Demaree, & Youngstrom, 2004). Variations of this test would eventually be standardized and commercially marketed for diagnosis of the disorder (Conners, 1995; Gordon, 1983; Greenberg & Waldman, 1992). Douglas remarked on the extreme degree of variability demonstrated during task performances by these children—a characteristic that would later be advanced as one of the defining features of the disorder. The McGill team (Freibergs, 1965; Freibergs & Douglas, 1995; Parry & Douglas, 1976) also found that hyperactive children could perform at normal or near-normal levels of sustained attention under conditions of continuous and immediate reinforcement, but that their performance deteriorated dramatically when partial reinforcement was introduced, particularly at schedules below 50% reinforcement. Campbell, Douglas, and Morgenstern (1971) further demonstrated substantial problems with impulse control and field dependence in the cognitive styles of hyperactive children. Like George Still 70 years earlier, Douglas commented on the probable association between deficits in attention/impulse control and deficiencies in moral development that were plaguing her subjects, particularly in their adolescent years. The research of the McGill team showed dramatic improvements in these attention deficiencies during stimulant medication treatment, as did the research at other laboratories at the time (Conners & Rothschild, 1968; Sprague, Barnes, & Werry, 1970).

Finally, of substantial significance were the observations of Douglas's colleague, Gabrielle Weiss, from her follow-up studies (see Weiss & Hechtman, 1986) that although the hyperactivity of these children often diminished by adolescence, their problems with poor sustained attention and impulsivity persisted. This persistence of the disabilities and the risk for greater academic and social maladjustment would be identified by other research teams from their own follow-up investigations (Mendelson, Johnson, & Stewart, 1971), and would be better substantiated by more rigorous studies in the next two decades (see Barkley, Fischer, Edelbrock, & Smallish, 1990; Barkley, Fischer, Smallish, & Fletcher, 2002; Brown & Borden, 1986; Gittelman, Mannuzza, Shenker, & Bonagura, 1985).

Douglas's Model of Attention Deficits

Douglas (1980a, 1980b, 1983; Douglas & Peters, 1979) later elaborated, refined, and further substantiated her model of hyperactivity. Her model culminated in the view that four major deficits could account for symptoms of ADHD: (1) the investment, organization, and maintenance of attention and effort; (2) the inhibition of impulsive responding; (3) the modulation of arousal levels to meet situational demands; and (4) an unusually strong inclination to seek immediate reinforcement. This perspective initiated or guided a substantial amount of research over the next 15 years, including my own early studies (Barkley, 1977, 1989b; Barkley & Ullman, 1975). It constituted a model as close to a scientific paradigm as the field of hyperactivity was likely to have in its history to that point. Yet, over the next 10 years results emerged that were somewhat at odds with this perspective. Scientists began to seriously question the adequacy of an attention model in accounting for the varied behavioral deficits seen in children with ADHD, as well as for the effects of stimulant medications on them (Barkley, 1981, 1984; Draeger, Prior, & Sanson, 1986; Haenlein & Caul, 1987; van der Meere & Sergeant, 1988a, 1988b). It also deserves mention that such a description of deficiencies constitutes a pattern and not a theory, given that it stipulates no conditional relations among its parts or how they orchestrate to create the problems seen in the disorder. That is, it makes no testable or falsifiable predictions apart from those contained in the pattern so described.

Douglas's paper and the subsequent research published by her team were so influential that they were probably the major reasons the disorder was renamed Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) in 1980 with the publication of DSM-III (American Psychiatric Association, 1980). In this revised official taxonomy, deficits in sustained attention and impulse control were formally recognized as of greater significance in the diagnosis than hyperactivity. The shift to attention deficits rather than hyperactivity as the major difficulty of these children was useful, at least for a time, because of the growing evidence (1) that hyperactivity was not specific to this particular condition, but could be noted in other psychiatric disorders (anxiety, mania, autism, etc.); (2) that there was no clear delin-

eation between "normal" and "abnormal" levels of activity; (3) that activity was in fact a multidimensional construct; and (4) that the symptoms of hyperactivity were quite situational in nature in many children (Rutter, 1989). But this approach only corrected the problem of definition for little over a decade before these same concerns also began to be raised about the construct of attention (multidimensional, situationally variable, etc.). Yet some research would show that at least deficits in vigilance or sustained attention could be used to discriminate this disorder from other psychiatric disorders (Werry, 1988).

Other Historical Developments

A number of other historical developments during this period deserve mention.

The Rise of Medication Therapy

One of these developments was the rapidly increasing use of stimulant medication with school-age hyperactive children. This use was no doubt spawned by the significant increase in research showing that stimulants often had dramatic effects on these children's hyperactive and inattentive behavior. A second development was the use of much more rigorous scientific methodology in drug studies. This was due in large measure to the early studies by C. Keith Conners (then working with Leon Eisenberg at Harvard University), and somewhat later to the research of Robert Sprague at the University of Illinois, Virginia Douglas at McGill University, and John Werry in New Zealand. This body of literature became voluminous (see Barkley, 1977; Ross & Ross, 1976), with more than 120 studies published through 1976 and more than twice this number by 1995 (Swanson, McBurnett, Christian, & Wigal, 1995), making this treatment approach the most well-studied therapy in child psychiatry.

Despite the proven efficacy of stimulant medication, public and professional misgivings about its increasingly widespread use with children emerged. For example, one news account (Maynard, 1970) reported that in Omaha, Nebraska, as many as 5–10% of the children in grade schools were receiving behavior-modifying drugs. This estimate of drug treatment would later be shown to be grossly exaggerated by as much as 10-fold, due to a misplaced deci-

mal point in the story. And this would certainly not be the last instance of the mass media's penchant for hyperbole, sensation, and scandal in their accounts of stimulant medication treatments for ADHD—a penchant that seems only to have increased over subsequent years. Yet the public interest that was generated by the initial reports led to a congressional review of the use of psychotropic medications for school children. At this same time, the claim was being advanced that hyperactivity was a “myth” arising from intolerant teachers and parents and an inadequate educational system (Conrad, 1975; Schrag & Divoky, 1975).

Environment as Etiology

Almost simultaneous with this backlash against “drugging” school children for behavior problems came another significant development in this decade: a growing belief that hyperactivity was a result of environmental causes. It is not just coincidental that this development occurred at the same time that the United States was experiencing a popular interest in natural foods, health consciousness, the extension of life expectancy via environmental manipulations, psychoanalytic theory, and behaviorism. An extremely popular view was that allergic or toxic reactions to food additives, such as dyes, preservatives, and salicylates (Feingold, 1975), caused hyperactive behavior. It was claimed that more than half of all hyperactive children had developed their difficulties because of their diet. Effective treatment could be had if families of these children would buy or make foods that did not contain the offending substances. This view became so widespread that organized parent groups or “Feingold associations,” composed mainly of parents advocating Feingold's diet, were established in almost every U.S. state, and legislation was introduced (although not passed) in California requiring that all school cafeteria foods be prepared without these substances. A sizable number of research investigations were undertaken (see Connors, 1980, for a review), the more rigorous of which found these substances to have little if any effect on children's behavior. A National Advisory Committee on Hyperkinesis and Food Additives (1980) was convened to review this literature and concluded more strongly than Connors that the available evidence clearly refuted Feingold's claims. Nevertheless, it would be more than 10

years before this notion receded in popularity, to be replaced by the equally unsupported hypothesis that refined sugar was more to blame for hyperactivity than were food additives (for reviews, see Milich, Wolraich, & Lindgren, 1986; Wolraich, Wilson, & White, 1995).

The emphasis on environmental causes, however, spread to possible sources other than diet. Block (1977) advanced the rather vague notion that technological development and more rapid cultural change would result in an increasing societal “tempo,” causing growing excitation or environmental stimulation. This excitation or stimulation would interact with a predisposition in some children toward hyperactivity, making it manifest. It was felt that this theory explained the apparently increasing incidence of hyperactivity in developed cultures. Ross and Ross (1982) provided an excellent critique of the theory and concluded that there was insufficient evidence in support of it and some that would contradict it. Little evidence suggested that hyperactivity was increasing in its incidence, though its identification among children may well have been. Nor was there evidence that its prevalence varied as a function of societal development. Instead, Ross and Ross proposed that cultural effects on hyperactivity have more to do with whether important institutions of enculturation are consistent or inconsistent in the demands made and standards set for child behavior and development. These cultural views were said to determine the threshold for deviance that will be tolerated in children, as well as to exaggerate a predisposition to hyperactivity in some children. Consistent cultures will have fewer children diagnosed with hyperactivity, as they minimize individual differences among children and provide clear and consistent expectations and consequences for behavior that conforms to the expected norms. Inconsistent cultures, by contrast, will have more children diagnosed with hyperactivity, as they maximize or stress individual differences and provide ambiguous expectations and consequences to children regarding appropriate conduct. This intriguing hypothesis remains unstudied. However, on these grounds, an equally compelling case could be made for the opposite effects of cultural influences: In highly consistent, highly conforming cultures, hyperactive behavior may be considerably more obvious in children as they are unable to conform to these societal expectations, whereas inconsistent and low-con-

forming cultures may tolerate deviant behavior to a greater degree as part of the wider range of behavioral expression they encourage.

A different environmental view—that poor child rearing generally and poor child behavior management specifically lead to hyperactivity—was advanced by schools of psychology/psychiatry at diametrically opposite poles. Both psychoanalysts (Bettelheim, 1973; Harticollis, 1968) and behaviorists (Willis & Lovaas, 1977) promulgated this view, though for very different reasons. The psychoanalysts claimed that parents lacking tolerance for negative or hyperactive temperament in their infants would react with excessively negative, demanding parental responses giving rise to clinical levels of hyperactivity. The behaviorists stressed poor conditioning of children to stimulus control by commands and instructions that would give rise to noncompliant and hyperactive behavior. Both groups singled out mothers as especially etiologically important in this causal connection, and both could derive some support from studies that found negative mother-child interactions in the preschool years to be associated with the continuation of hyperactivity into the late childhood (Campbell, 1987) and adolescent (Barkley, Fischer, et al., 1990) years.

However, such correlational data cannot prove a cause. They do not prove that poor child rearing or negative parent-child interactions cause hyperactivity; they only show that such factors are associated with its persistence. It could just as easily be that the severity of hyperactivity elicits greater maternal negative reactions, and that this severity is related to persistence of the disorder over time. Supporting this interpretation are the studies of stimulant drug effects on the interactions of mothers and their hyperactive children, which show that mothers' negative and directive behavior is greatly reduced when stimulant medication is used to reduce the hyperactivity in their children (Barkley, 1989b; Barkley & Cunningham, 1979; Barkley, Karlsson, Pollard, & Murphy, 1985; Danforth, Barkley, & Stokes, 1991). Moreover, follow-up studies show that the degree of hyperactivity in childhood is predictive of its own persistence into later childhood and adolescence, apart from its association with maternal behavior (Barkley, Fischer, et al., 1990; Campbell & Ewing, 1990). And given the dramatic hereditary contribution to ADHD, it is also just as likely that the more

negative, impulsive, emotional, and inattentive behavior of mothers with their hyperactive children stems in part from the mothers' own ADHD—a factor that has never been taken into account in the analysis of such data or in interpreting findings in this area. Nevertheless, family context would still prove to be important in predicting the outcome of hyperactive children, even though the mechanism of its action was not yet specified (Weiss & Hechtman, 1986). Parent training in child behavior management, furthermore, would be increasingly recommended as an important therapy in its own right (Dubey & Kaufman, 1978; Pelham, 1977), despite a paucity of studies concerning its actual efficacy at the time (Barkley, 1989a).

The Passage of Public Law 94-142

Another highly significant development was the passage of Public Law 94-142 in 1975, mandating special educational services for physical, learning, and behavioral disabilities of children, in addition to those services already available for mental retardation (see Henker & Whalen, 1980, for a review of the legal precedents leading up to this law). Although many of its recommendations were foreshadowed by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Public Law 93-112), it was the financial incentives for the states associated with the adoption of Public Law 94-142 that probably encouraged its immediate and widespread implementation by them all. Programs for learning disabilities, behavioral-emotional disturbance, language disorders, physical handicaps, and motor disabilities, among others, were now required to be provided to all eligible children in all public schools in the United States.

The full impact of these widely available educational treatment programs on hyperactive children has not yet been completely appreciated, for several reasons. First, hyperactivity, by itself, was overlooked in the initial criteria set forth for behavioral and learning disabilities warranting eligibility for these special classes. Children with such disabilities typically also had to have another condition, such as a learning disability, language delay, or emotional disorder, to receive exceptional educational services. The effects of special educational resources on the outcome of hyperactivity are difficult to assess, given this confounding of multiple disorders. It was only after the passage

of IDEA in 1990 and a subsequent 1991 memorandum) that the U.S. Department of Education and its Office of Special Education chose to reinterpret these regulations, thereby allowing children with ADHD to receive special educational services for ADHD per se under the “Other Health Impaired” category of IDEA. And, second, the mandated services had been in existence for only a little more than a decade when the long-term outcome studies begun in the late 1970s began to be reported. Those studies (e.g., Barkley, Fischer, et al., 1990) suggested that over 35% of children with ADHD received some type of special educational placement. Although the availability of these services seems to have reduced the percentage of children with ADHD who were retained in grade for their academic problems, compared to earlier follow-up studies, the rates of school suspensions and expulsions did not decline appreciably from pre-1977 rates. A more careful analysis of the effects of Public Law 94-142, and especially of its more recent reauthorization as the IDEA, is in order before its efficacy for children with ADHD can be judged.

The Rise of Behavior Modification

This growing emphasis on educational intervention for children with behavioral and learning disorders was accompanied by a plethora of research on the use of behavior modification techniques in the management of disruptive classroom behavior, particularly as an alternative to stimulant medication (Allyon, Layman, & Kandel, 1975; O’Leary, Pelham, Rosenbaum, & Price, 1976). Supported in large part by their successful use for children with mental retardation, behavioral technologies were now being extended to a myriad of childhood disorders—not only as potential treatments of their symptoms, but also as theoretical statements of their origins. Although the studies demonstrated considerable efficacy of these techniques in the management of inattentive and hyperactive behavior, they were not found to achieve the same degree of behavioral improvement as the stimulants (Gittelman-Klein et al., 1976), and so did not replace them as a treatment of choice. Nevertheless, opinion was growing that the stimulant drugs should never be used as a sole intervention, but should be combined with parent training and behavioral interventions in the classroom to provide the most comprehensive management approach for the disorder.

Developments in Assessment

Another hallmark of this era was the widespread adoption of the parent and teacher rating scales developed by C. Keith Conners (1969) for the assessment of symptoms of hyperactivity, particularly during trials on stimulant medication. For at least 20 years, these simply constructed ratings of behavioral items would be the “gold standard” for rating children’s hyperactivity for both research purposes and treatment with medication. The scales would also come to be used for monitoring treatment responses during clinical trials. Large-scale normative data were collected, particularly for the teacher scale, and epidemiological studies throughout the world relied on both scales for assessing the prevalence of hyperactivity in their populations. Their use moved the practice of diagnosis and the assessment of treatment effects from that of clinical impression alone to one in which at least some structured, semiobjective, and quantitative measure of behavioral deviance was employed. These scales would later be criticized for their confounding of hyperactivity with aggression. This confounding called into question whether the findings of research that relied on the scales were the result of oppositional, defiant, and hostile (aggressive) features of the population or of their hyperactivity (Ullmann, Slesator, & Sprague, 1984). Nevertheless, the widespread adoption of these rating scales in this era marks a historical turning point toward the use of quantitative assessment methods that can be empirically tested and can assist in determining developmental patterns and deviance from norms.

Also significant during this decade was the effort to study the social-ecological impact of hyperactive/inattentive behavior. This line of research set about evaluating the effects produced on family interactions by a child with hyperactivity. Originally initiated by Campbell (1973, 1975), this line of inquiry dominated my own research over the next decade (Barkley & Cunningham, 1979; Cunningham & Barkley, 1978, 1979; Danforth et al., 1991), particularly evaluations of the effects of stimulant medication on these social exchanges. These studies showed that children with hyperactivity were much less compliant and more oppositional during parent–child exchanges than children without it, and that their mothers were more directive, commanding, and nega-

tive than mothers of nonhyperactive children. These difficulties would increase substantially when the situation changed from free play to task-oriented demands. Studies also demonstrated that stimulant medication resulted in significant improvements in child compliance and decreases in maternal control and directiveness. Simultaneously, Humphries, Kinsbourne, and Swanson (1978) reported similar effects of stimulant medication, all of which suggested that much of parents' controlling and negative behavior toward hyperactive children was the result rather than the cause of the children's poor self-control and inattention. At the same time, Carol Whalen and Barbara Henker at the University of California-Irvine demonstrated similar interaction conflicts between hyperactive children and their teachers and peers, as well as similar effects of stimulant medication on these social interactions (Whalen & Henker, 1980; Whalen, Henker, & Dotemoto, 1980). This line of research would increase substantially in the next decade, and would be expanded by Charles Cunningham and others to include studies of peer interactions and the effects of stimulants on them (Cunningham, Siegel, & Offord, 1985).

A Focus on Psychophysiology

The decade of the 1970s was also noteworthy for a marked increase in the number of research studies on the psychophysiology of hyperactivity in children. Numerous studies were published measuring galvanic skin response, heart rate acceleration and deceleration, various parameters of the EEG, electropupulography, averaged evoked responses, and other aspects of electrophysiology. Many researchers were investigating the evidence for theories of over- or underarousal of the CNS in hyperactivity—theories that grew out of the speculations in the 1950s on cortical overstimulation and the ideas of both Wender and Douglas (see above) regarding abnormal arousal in the disorder. Most of these studies were seriously methodologically flawed, difficult to interpret, and often contradictory in their findings. Two influential reviews at the time (Hastings & Barkley, 1978; Rosenthal & Allen, 1978) were highly critical of most investigations, but concluded that if there was any consistency across findings, it might be that hyperactive children showed a sluggish or underreactive electrophysiological response to stimulation. These

reviews laid to rest the belief in an overstimulated cerebral cortex as the cause of the symptoms in hyperactivity, but did little to suggest a specific neurophysiological mechanism for the observed underreactivity. Further advances in the contributions of psychophysiology to understanding hyperactivity would await further refinements in instrumentation and in definition and diagnosis of the disorder, along with advances in computer-assisted analysis of electrophysiological measures.

An Emerging Interest in Adult MBD/Hyperactivity

Finally, the 1970s should be credited with the emergence of clinical and research interests in the existence of MBD or hyperactivity in adult clinical patients. Initial interest in adult MBD can be traced back to the latter part of the 1960s, seemingly arising as a result of two events. The first of these was the publication of several early follow-up studies demonstrating persistence of symptoms of hyperactivity/MBD into adulthood in many cases (Mendelson et al., 1971; Menkes, Rowe, & Menkes, 1967). The second was the publication by Harticollis (1968) of the results of neuropsychological and psychiatric assessments of 15 adolescent and young adult patients (ages 15–25) seen at the Menninger Clinic. The neuropsychological performance of these patients suggested evidence of moderate brain damage. Their behavioral profile suggested many of the symptoms that Still (1902) initially identified in the children he studied, particularly impulsiveness, overactivity, concreteness, mood lability, and proneness to aggressive behavior and depression. Some of the patients appeared to have demonstrated this behavior uniformly since childhood. Using psychoanalytic theory, Harticollis speculated that this condition arose from an early and possibly congenital defect in the ego apparatus, in interaction with busy, action-oriented, successful parents.

The following year, Quitkin and Klein (1969) reported on two behavioral syndromes in adults that might be related to MBD. The authors studied 105 patients at the Hillside Hospital in Glen Oaks, New York, for behavioral signs of “organicity” (brain damage); behavioral syndromes that might be considered neurological “soft signs” of CNS impairment; and any EEG findings, psychological testing re-

sults, or aspects of clinical presentation and history that might differentiate these patients from patients with other types of adult psychopathology. From the initial group of 105 patients, the authors selected those having a childhood history that suggested CNS damage, including early hyperactive and impulsive behavior. These subjects were further sorted into three groups based on current behavioral profiles: those having socially awkward and withdrawn behavior ($n = 12$), those having impulsive and destructive behavior ($n = 19$), and a "borderline" group that did not fit neatly into these other two groups ($n = 11$). The results indicated that nearly twice as many of the patients in these three "organic" groups as in the control group had EEG abnormalities and impairments on psychological testing indicating organicity. Furthermore, early history of hyperactive-impulsive-inattentive behavior was highly predictive of placement in the adult impulsive-destructive group, implying a persistent course of this behavioral pattern from childhood to adulthood. Of the 19 patients in the impulsive-destructive group, 17 had received clinical diagnoses of character disorders (primarily emotionally unstable types), as compared to only 5 in the socially awkward group (who received diagnoses of the schizoid and passive dependent types).

The results were interpreted as being in conflict with the beliefs widely held at the time that hyperactive-impulsive behavior tends to wane in adolescence. Instead, the authors argued that some of these children continued into young adulthood with this specific behavioral syndrome. Quitkin and Klein (1969) also took issue with Harticollis's psychoanalytic hypothesis that demanding and perfectionistic child rearing by parents was causal of or contributory to this syndrome, given that their impulsive-destructive patients did not uniformly experience such an upbringing. In keeping with Still's original belief that family environment could not account for this syndrome, these authors hypothesized "that such parents would intensify the difficulty, but are not necessary to the formation of the impulsive-destructive syndrome" (p. 140) and that the "illness shaping role of the psycho-social environment may have been over-emphasized by other authors" (p. 141). Treatment with a well-structured set of demands and educational procedures, as well as with phenothiazine medication, was thought to be indicated.

Later in this decade, Morrison and Minkoff (1975) similarly argued that explosive personality disorder or episodic dyscontrol syndrome in adulthood might well be the adult sequel to the hyperactivity syndrome in childhood. They also suggested that antidepressant medications might be useful in their management; this echoed a suggestion made earlier by Huessy (1974) in a letter to the editor of a journal that both antidepressants and stimulants might be the most useful medications for the treatment of adults with hyperkinesis or MBD. But the first truly scientific evaluation of the efficacy of stimulants for adults with MBD must be credited to Wood, Reimherr, Wender, and Johnson (1976). They used a double-blind, placebo-controlled method to assess response to methylphenidate in 11 of 15 adults with MBD, followed by an open trial of pemoline (another stimulant) and the antidepressants imipramine and amitriptyline. The authors found that 8 of the 11 tested on methylphenidate had a favorable response, whereas 10 of the 15 tested in the open trial showed a positive response to either the stimulants or the antidepressants. Others in the 1970s and into the 1980s would also make the case for the existence of an adult equivalent of childhood hyperkinesis or MBD and the efficacy of using stimulants and antidepressants for its management (Gomez, Janowsky, Zetin, Huey, & Clopton, 1981; Mann & Greenspan, 1976; Packer, 1978; Pontius, 1973; Rybak, 1977; Shelley & Riester, 1972). Yet it would not be until the 1990s that both the lay public and the professional field of adult psychiatry would begin to seriously recognize the adult equivalent of childhood ADHD on a more widespread basis and to recommend stimulant or antidepressant treatment in these cases (Spencer et al., 1995; Wender, 1995) and even then the view was not without its critics (Shaffer, 1994).

The work of Pontius (1973) in this decade is historically notable for her proposition that many cases of MBD in adults demonstrating hyperactive and impulsive behavior may arise from frontal lobe and caudate dysfunction. Such dysfunction would lead to "an inability to construct plans of action ahead of the act, to sketch out a goal of action, to keep it in mind for some time (as an overriding idea) and to follow it through in actions under the constructive guidance of such planning" (p. 286). Moreover, if adult MBD arises from dysfunction in this frontal-caudate network, it should

also be associated with an inability “to re-program an ongoing activity and to shift within *principles* of action whenever necessary” (p. 286, emphasis in original). Pontius went on to show that indeed adults with MBD demonstrated deficits indicative of dysfunction in this brain network. Such observations would prove quite prophetic over 20 years later, when research demonstrated reduced size in the prefrontal–caudate network in children with ADHD (Castellanos et al., 1996; Filipek et al., 1997), and when theories of ADHD argued that the neuropsychological deficits associated with it involved the executive functions, such as planning, the control of behavior by mentally represented information, rule-governed behavior, and response fluency and flexibility, among others (Barkley, 1997a, 1997b).

The Prevailing View by 1979

The 1970s closed with the prevailing view that hyperactivity was not the only or most important behavioral deficit seen in hyperactive children, but that poor attention span and impulse control were equally (if not more) important in explaining their problems. Brain damage was relegated to an extremely minor role as a cause of the disorder, at least in the realm of childhood hyperactivity/MBD; however, other brain mechanisms, such as underarousal or under-reactivity, brain neurotransmitter deficiencies (Wender, 1971), or neurological immaturity (Kinsbourne, 1977), were viewed as promising. Greater speculation about potential environmental causes or irritants emerged, particularly diet and child rearing. Thus the most frequently recommended therapies for hyperactivity were not only stimulant medication, but widely available special education programs, classroom behavior modification, dietary management, and parent training in child management skills. A greater appreciation for the effects of hyperactive children on their immediate social ecology, and for the impact of stimulant medication in altering these social conflicts, was beginning to emerge.

However, the sizable discrepancy between North American and European views of the disorder remained: North American professionals continued to recognize the disorder as more common, in need of medication, and more likely to be an attention deficit, while those in Europe continued to view it as uncommon, defined by severe overactivity, and associ-

ated with brain damage. Those children in North America being diagnosed as having hyperactivity or attention deficits would be likely to be diagnosed as having CD in Europe, where treatment would be psychotherapy, family therapy, and parent training in child management. Medication would be disparaged and little used. Nevertheless, the view that attention deficits were as important in the disorder as hyperactivity was beginning to make its way into European taxonomies (e.g., the *International Classification of Diseases*, ninth revision [ICD-9]; World Health Organization, 1978). Finally, some recognition occurred in the 1970s that there were adult equivalents of childhood hyperactivity or MBD, that they might be indicative of frontal–caudate dysfunction, and that these cases responded to the same medication treatments that had earlier been suggested for childhood ADHD (the stimulants and antidepressants).

THE PERIOD 1980 TO 1989

The exponential increase in research on hyperactivity characteristic of the 1970s continued unabated into the 1980s, making hyperactivity the most well-studied childhood psychiatric disorder in existence. More books were written, conferences convened, and scientific papers presented during this decade than in any previous historical period. This decade would become known for its emphasis on attempts to develop more specific diagnostic criteria; the differential conceptualization and diagnosis of hyperactivity versus other psychiatric disorders; and, later in the decade, critical attacks on the notion that inability to sustain attention was the core behavioral deficit in ADHD.

The Creation of an ADD Syndrome

Marking the beginning of this decade was the publication of DSM-III (American Psychiatric Association, 1980) and its radical reconceptualization (from that in DSM-II) of the Hyperkinetic Reaction of Childhood diagnosis to that of ADD (with or without Hyperactivity). The criteria for ADD are set forth in Table 1.1. The new diagnostic criteria were noteworthy not only for their greater emphasis on inattention and impulsivity as defining features of the disorder, but also for their creation of much more specific symptom lists, an explicit numerical

TABLE 1.1. DSM-III Diagnostic Criteria for Attention Deficit Disorder with and without Hyperactivity

The child displays, for his or her mental and chronological age, signs of developmentally inappropriate inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity. The signs must be reported by adults in the child's environment, such as parents and teachers. Because the symptoms are typically variable, they may not be observed directly by the clinician. When the reports of teachers and parents conflict, primary consideration should be given to the teacher reports because of greater familiarity with age-appropriate norms. Symptoms typically worsen in situations that require self-application, as in the classroom. Signs of the disorder may be absent when the child is in a new or a one-to-one situation.

The number of symptoms specified is for children between the ages of eight and ten, the peak age for referral. In younger children, more severe forms of the symptoms and a greater number of symptoms are usually present. The opposite is true of older children.

A. Inattention. At least three of the following:

- (1) often fails to finish things he or she starts
- (2) often doesn't seem to listen
- (3) easily distracted
- (4) has difficulty concentrating on schoolwork or other tasks requiring sustained attention
- (5) has difficulty sticking to a play activity

B. Impulsivity. At least three of the following:

- (1) often acts before thinking
- (2) shifts excessively from one activity to another
- (3) has difficulty organizing work (this not being due to cognitive impairment).
- (4) needs a lot of supervision
- (5) frequently calls out in class
- (6) has difficulty awaiting turn in games or group situations

C. Hyperactivity. At least two of the following:

- (1) runs about or climbs on things excessively
- (2) has difficulty sitting still or fidgets excessively
- (3) has difficulty staying seated
- (4) moves about excessively during sleep
- (5) is always "on the go" or acts as if "driven by a motor"

D. Onset before the age of seven.

E. Duration of at least six months.

F. Not due to Schizophrenia, Affective Disorder, or Severe or Profound Mental Retardation.

Note. The criteria as presented above are for Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity. All of the features of Attention Deficit Disorder without Hyperactivity are the same except for the absence of hyperactivity (Criterion C). From American Psychiatric Association (1980). Copyright 1980 by the American Psychiatric Association. Reprinted by permission.

cutoff score for symptoms, specific guidelines for age of onset and duration of symptoms, and the requirement of exclusion of other childhood psychiatric conditions as better explanations of the presenting symptoms. This was also a radical departure from the ICD-9 criteria set forth by the World Health Organization (1978) in its own taxonomy of child psychiatric disorders, which continued to emphasize pervasive hyperactivity as a hallmark of this disorder.

Even more controversial was the creation of subtypes of ADD, based on the presence or absence of hyperactivity (+ H/- H), in the DSM-III criteria. Little, if any, empirical research on this issue existed at the time these subtypes were formulated. Their creation in the official nomenclature of psychiatric disorders would, by the end of the 1980s, initiate numerous research studies into their existence, validity, and utility, along with a search for other potentially useful ways of subtyping ADD (situational per-

vasiveness, presence of aggression, stimulant drug response, etc.). Although the findings were at times conflicting, the trend in these studies was that children with ADD – H differed from those with ADD + H in some important domains of current adjustment. Those with ADD – H were characterized as more daydreamy, hypoactive, lethargic, and disabled in academic achievement, but as substantially less aggressive and less rejected by their peers (Barkley, Grodzinsky, & DuPaul, 1992; Carlson, 1986; Goodyear & Hynd, 1992; Lahey & Carlson, 1992). Unfortunately, this research came too late to be considered in the subsequent revision of DSM-III.

In that revision (DSM-III-R; American Psychiatric Association, 1987), the criteria for

which are shown in Table 1.2, only the diagnostic criteria for ADD + H (now renamed ADHD; see “ADD Becomes ADHD,” below) were stipulated. ADD – H was no longer officially recognized as a subtype of ADD, but was relegated to a minimally defined category, Undifferentiated ADD. This reorganization was associated with an admonition that far more research on the utility of this subtyping approach was necessary before its place in this taxonomy could be identified. Despite the controversy that arose over the demotion of ADD – H in this fashion, it was actually a prudent gesture on the part of the committee asked to formulate these criteria. At the time, the committee (on which I served) had little available research to guide its deliberations in

TABLE 1.2. DSM-III-R Diagnostic Criteria for Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

-
- A. A disturbance of at least six months during which at least eight of the following are present:
- (1) often fidgets with hands or feet or squirms in seat (in adolescents, may be limited to subjective feelings of restlessness)
 - (2) has difficulty remaining seated when required to do so
 - (3) is easily distracted by extraneous stimuli
 - (4) has difficulty awaiting turn in games or group situations
 - (5) often blurts out answers to questions before they have been completed
 - (6) has difficulty following through on instructions from others (not due to oppositional behavior or failure of comprehension), e.g., fails to finish chores
 - (7) has difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or play activities
 - (8) often shifts from one uncompleted activity to another
 - (9) has difficulty playing quietly
 - (10) often talks excessively
 - (11) often interrupts or intrudes on others, e.g., butts into other children’s games
 - (12) often does not seem to listen to what is being said to him or her
 - (13) often loses things necessary for tasks or activities at school or at home (e.g., toys, pencils, books, assignments)
 - (14) often engages in physically dangerous activities without considering possible consequences (not for the purpose of thrillseeking), e.g., runs into street without looking

Note: The above items are listed in descending order of discriminating power based on the data from a national field trial of the DSM-III-R criteria for Disruptive Behavior Disorders.

B. Onset before the age of seven.

C. Does not meet the criteria for a Pervasive Developmental Disorder.

Criteria for severity of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder:

Mild: Few if any, symptoms in excess of those required to make the diagnosis **and** only minimal or no impairment in school and social functioning.

Moderate: Symptoms or functional impairment intermediate between “mild” and “severe.”

Severe: Many symptoms in excess of those required to make the diagnosis **and** pervasive impairment in functioning at home and school and with peers.

Note. From American Psychiatric Association (1987). Copyright 1987 by the American Psychiatric Association. Reprinted by permission.

this matter. There was simply no indication whether ADD – H had a similar or qualitatively different type of attention deficit, which would make it a separate childhood psychiatric disorder in its own right. Rather than continue merely to conjecture about the nature of the subtype and how it should be diagnosed, the committee essentially placed the concept in abeyance until more research was available to its successor committee to guide its definition. Notable in the construction of DSM-III-R was its emphasis on the empirical validation of its diagnostic criteria through a field trial, which guided the selection of items for the symptom list and the recommended cutoff score on that list (Spitzer, Davies, & Barkley, 1990).

The Development of Research Diagnostic Criteria

At the same time that the DSM-III criteria for ADD + H and ADD – H were gaining in recognition, others attempted to specify research diagnostic criteria (Barkley, 1982; Loney, 1983). My own efforts in this endeavor were motivated by the rather idiosyncratic and highly variable approach to diagnosis being used in clinical practice up to that time, the vague or often unspecified criteria used in published research studies, and the lack of specificity in current theoretical writings on the disorder up to 1980. There was also the more pragmatic consideration that, as a young scientist attempting to select hyperactive children for research studies, I had no operational or consensus-based criteria available for doing so. Therefore, I set forth a more operational definition of hyperactivity, or ADD + H. This definition not only required the usual parent and/or teacher complaints of inattention, impulsivity, and overactivity, but also stipulated that these symptoms had to (1) be deviant for the child's mental age, as measured by well-standardized child behavior rating scales; (2) be relatively pervasive within the jurisdiction of the major caregivers in the child's life (parent/home and teacher/school); (3) have developed by 6 years of age; and (4) have lasted at least 12 months (Barkley, 1982).

Concurrently, Loney (1983) and her colleagues had been engaged in a series of historically important studies that would differentiate the symptoms of hyperactivity or ADD + H from those of aggression or conduct problems (Loney, Langhorne, & Paternite, 1978; Loney & Milich, 1982). Following an empirical/sta-

tistical approach to developing research diagnostic criteria, Loney demonstrated that a relatively short list of symptoms of hyperactivity could be empirically separated from a similarly short list of aggression symptoms. Empirically derived cutoff scores on these symptom ratings by teachers could create these two semi-independent constructs. These constructs would prove highly useful in accounting for much of the heterogeneity and disagreement across studies. Among other things, it would become well established that many of the negative outcomes of hyperactivity in adolescence and young adulthood were actually due to the presence and degree of aggression coexisting with the hyperactivity. Purely hyperactive children would be shown to display substantial cognitive problems with attention and overactivity, whereas purely aggressive children would not. Previous findings of greater family psychopathology in hyperactive children would also be shown to be primarily a function of the degree of coexisting aggression or CD in the children (August & Stewart, 1983; Lahey et al., 1988). Furthermore, hyperactivity would be found to be associated with signs of developmental and neurological delay or immaturity, whereas aggression was more likely to be associated with environmental disadvantage and family dysfunction (Hinshaw, 1987; Milich & Loney, 1979; Paternite & Loney, 1980; Rutter, 1989; Werry, 1988; Weiss & Hechtman, 1986). The need for future studies to clearly specify the makeup of their samples along these two dimensions was now obvious. And the raging debate as to whether hyperactivity was separate from or merely synonymous with conduct problems would be settled by the important research discovery of the semi-independence of these two behavioral dimensions and their differing correlates (Ross & Ross, 1982). These findings would also lead to the demise of the commonplace use of the Conners 10-item Hyperactivity Index to select children as hyperactive. It would now be shown that many of these items actually assessed aggression rather than hyperactivity, resulting in samples of children with mixed disorders (Ullmann et al., 1984).

The laudable drive toward greater clarity, specificity, and operational defining of diagnostic criteria would continue throughout this decade. Pressure would now be exerted from experts within the field (Quay, 1988a; Rutter, 1983, 1989; Werry, 1988) to demonstrate that the symptoms of ADHD could distinguish it

from other childhood psychiatric disorders—a crucial test for the validity of a diagnostic entity—rather than continuing simply to demonstrate differences from nondisordered populations. The challenge would not be easily met. Eric Taylor (1986) and colleagues in Great Britain made notable advances in further refining the criteria and their measurement along more empirical lines. Taylor's (1989) statistical approach to studying clusters of behavioral disorders resulted in the recommendation that a syndrome of hyperactivity could be valid and distinctive from other disorders, particularly conduct problems. This distinction required that the symptoms of hyperactivity and inattention be excessive and handicapping to the children; occur in two of three broadly defined settings (e.g., home, school, and clinic); be objectively measured, rather than subjectively rated by parents and teachers; develop before age 6; last at least 6 months; and exclude children with autism, psychosis, anxiety, or affective/mood disorders (depression, mania, etc.).

Efforts to develop research diagnostic criteria for ADHD eventually led to an international symposium on the subject (Sergeant, 1988) and a general consensus that subjects selected for research on ADHD should at least meet the following criteria: (1) reports of problems with activity and attention by adults in at least two independent settings (home, school, clinic); (2) endorsement of at least three of four difficulties with activity and three of four with attention; (3) onset before 7 years of age; (4) duration of 2 years; (5) significantly elevated scores on parent/teacher ratings of these ADHD symptoms; and (6) exclusion of autism and psychosis. These proposed criteria were quite similar to others developed earlier in the decade (Barkley, 1982), but provided for greater specificity of symptoms of overactivity and inattention and a longer duration of symptoms.

Subtyping of ADD

Also important in this era was the attempt to identify useful approaches to subtyping other than those just based on the degree of hyperactivity (+ H/- H) or aggression associated with ADD. A significant though underappreciated line of research by Roscoe Dykman and Peggy Ackerman at the University of Arkansas distinguished between ADD with and ADD without learning disabilities, particularly reading impairments. Their research (Ackerman,

Dykman, & Oglesby, 1983; Dykman, Ackerman, & Holcomb, 1985) and that of others (McGee, Williams, Moffit, & Anderson, 1989) showed that some of the cognitive deficits (verbal memory, intelligence, etc.) formerly attributed to ADHD were actually more a function of the presence and degree of language/reading difficulties than of ADHD. And, although some studies showed that ADHD with reading disabilities is not a distinct subtype of ADHD (Halperin, Gittelman, Klein, & Rudel, 1984), the differential contributions of reading disorders to the cognitive test performance of children with ADHD required that subsequent researchers carefully select subjects with pure ADHD not associated with reading disability. If they did not, then they at least should identify the degree to which reading disorders exist in the sample and partial out the effects of these disorders on the cognitive test results.

Others in this era attempted to distinguish between “pervasive” and “situational” hyperactivity; the former was determined by the presence of hyperactivity at home and school, and the latter referred to hyperactivity in only one of these settings (Schachar, Rutter, & Smith, 1981). It would be shown that children with pervasive hyperactivity were likely to have more severe behavioral symptoms, greater aggression and peer relationship problems, and poor academic achievement. The DSM-III-R (American Psychiatric Association, 1987) incorporated this concept into an index of severity of ADHD (see the last portion of Table 1.2). British scientists even viewed pervasiveness as an essential criterion for the diagnosis of a distinct syndrome of hyperactivity (as noted earlier). However, research appearing at the end of the decade (Costello, Loeber, & Stouthamer-Loeber, 1991) demonstrated that such group differences were more likely to be the results of differences in the source of the information used to classify the children (parents vs. teachers) than of actual behavioral differences between the situational and pervasive subgroups. This did not mean that symptom pervasiveness might not be a useful means of subtyping or diagnosing ADHD, but that more objective means of establishing it were needed than just comparing parent and teacher ratings on a questionnaire.

A different and relatively understudied approach to subtyping was created by the presence or absence of significant anxiety or affective disturbance. Several studies demonstrated

that children with both ADHD and significant problems with anxiety or affective disturbance were likely to show poor or adverse responses to stimulant medication (Taylor, 1983; Voelker, Lachar, & Gdowski, 1983) and would perhaps respond better to antidepressant medications (Pliszka, 1987). The utility of this latter subtyping approach would be investigated and supported further in the next decade (DuPaul, Barkley, & McMurray, 1994; Tannock, 2000).

ADD Becomes ADHD

Later in the 1980s, in an effort to further improve the criteria for defining this disorder, the DSM was revised (American Psychiatric Association, 1987) as noted above, resulting in the renaming of the disorder to ADHD. These revised diagnostic criteria are shown in Table 1.2. The revisions were significant in several respects. First, a single item list of symptoms and a single cutoff score replaced the three separate lists (inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity) and cutoff score in DSM-III. Second, the item list was now based more on empirically derived dimensions of child behavior from behavior rating scales, and the items and cutoff score underwent a large field trial to determine their sensitivity, specificity, and power to distinguish ADHD from other psychiatric disorders and from the absence of disorder (Spitzer et al., 1990). Third, the need was stressed that one had to establish the symptoms as developmentally inappropriate for the child's mental age. Fourth, the coexistence of mood disorders with ADHD no longer excluded the diagnosis of ADHD. And, more controversially, the subtype of ADD-H was removed as a subtype and relegated to a vaguely defined category, Undifferentiated ADD, which was in need of greater research on its merits. ADHD was now classified with two other behavioral disorders (ODD and CD) in a supraordinate family or category known as the disruptive behavior disorders, in view of their substantial overlap or comorbidity in clinic-referred populations of children.

ADHD as a Motivation Deficit Disorder

One of the more interesting conceptual developments in this decade only began to emerge in its latter half. This was the nascent and almost heretical view that ADHD was not actually a disorder of attention. Doubt about the central

importance of attention to the disorder crept in late in the 1970s, as some researchers more fully plumbed the depths of the attention construct with objective measures, while others took note of the striking situational variability of the symptoms (Douglas & Peters, 1979; Rosenthal & Allen, 1978; Routh, 1978; Sroufe, 1975). As more rigorous and technical studies of attention in children with ADHD appeared in the 1980s, an increasing number failed to find evidence of problems with attention under some experimental conditions while observing them under others (see Douglas, 1983, 1988, for reviews; Barkley, 1984; Draeger et al., 1986; Sergeant, 1988; Sergeant & van der Meere, 1989; van der Meere & Sergeant, 1988a, 1988b). Moreover, if attention was conceptualized as involving the perception, filtering, and processing of information, no substantial evidence could be found in these studies for any such deficits. These findings, coupled with the realization that both instructional and motivational factors in an experiment played a strong role in determining the presence and degree of ADHD symptoms, led some investigators to hypothesize that deficits in motivation might be a better model for explaining the symptoms seen in ADHD (Glow & Glow, 1979; Rosenthal & Allen, 1978; Sroufe, 1975). Following this line of reasoning, others pursued a behavioral or functional analysis of these symptoms, resulting in hypothesized deficits in the stimulus control over behavior, particularly by rules and instructions. I argued that such deficits arose from neurological factors (Barkley, 1988a), whereas others argued that they arose from poor training of the child by parents (Willis & Lovaas, 1977).

I initially raised the possibility that rule-governed behavior might account for many of the deficits in ADHD, but later amended this view to include the strong probability that response to behavioral consequences might also be impaired and could conceivably account for the problems with following rules (Barkley, 1981, 1984, 1990). Others independently advanced the notion that a deficit in responding to behavioral consequences, not attention, might be the difficulty in ADHD (Benninger, 1989; Haenlein & Caul, 1987; Quay, 1988b; Sagvolden, Wultz, Moser, Moser, & Morkrid, 1989; Sergeant, 1988; van der Meere & Sergeant, 1988b). That is, ADHD might arise out of an insensitivity to consequences (reinforcement, punishment, or both). This insensitivity

was viewed as being neurological in origin. Yet this idea was not new, having been advanced some 10–20 years earlier by investigators in Australia (Glow & Glow, 1979), by those studying children with conduct problems (see Patterson, 1982, for a review), and by Wender (1971) in his classic text on MBD (see above). What was original in these more recent ideas was a greater specificity of their hypotheses and increasing evidence supporting them. Others continued to argue against the merits of a Skinnerian or functional analysis of the deficits in ADHD (Douglas, 1989), and for the continued explanatory value of cognitive models of attention in accounting for the deficits in ADHD.

The appeal of the motivational model came from several different sources: (1) its greater explanatory value in accounting for the more recent research findings on situational variability in attention in ADHD; (2) its consistency with neuroanatomical studies suggesting decreased activation of brain reward centers and their cortical–limbic regulating circuits (Lou et al., 1984, 1989); (3) its consistency with studies of the functions of dopamine pathways in regulating locomotor behavior and incentive or operant learning (Benninger, 1989); and (4) its greater prescriptive power in suggesting potential treatments for the ADHD symptoms. Whether or not ADHD would be labeled a motivational deficit, there was little doubt that these new theories based on the construct of motivation required altering the way in which this disorder was to be conceptualized. From here on, any attempts at theory construction would need to incorporate some components and processes dealing with motivation or effort.

Other Historical Developments of the Era

The Increasing Importance of Social Ecology

The 1980s also witnessed considerably greater research into the social-ecological impact of ADHD symptoms on the children, their parents (Barkley, 1989b; Barkley, Karlsson, & Pollard, 1985; Mash & Johnston, 1982), teachers (Whalen et al., 1980; Whalen, Henker, & Dotemoto, 1981), siblings (Mash & Johnston, 1983), and peers (Cunningham et al., 1985; Henker & Whalen, 1980). These investigations further explored the effects of stimulant medications on these social systems; they buttressed

the conclusion that children with ADHD elicit significant negative, controlling, and hostile or rejecting interactions from others, which can be greatly reduced by stimulant medication. From these studies emerged the view that the disabilities associated with ADHD do not rest solely in a child, but in the interface between the child's capabilities and the environmental demands made within the social-ecological context in which that child must perform (Whalen & Henker, 1980). Changing the attitudes, behaviors, and expectations of caregivers, as well as the demands they make on children with ADHD in their care, should result in changes in the degree to which such children are disabled by their behavioral deficits.

Theoretical Advances

During this decade, Herbert Quay adopted Jeffrey Gray's neuropsychological model of anxiety (Gray, 1982, 1987, 1994) to explain the origin of the poor inhibition evident in ADHD (Quay, 1988a, 1988b, 1997). Gray identified both a behavioral inhibition system (BIS) and a behavioral activation system (BAS) as being critical to understanding emotion. He also stipulated mechanisms for basic nonspecific arousal and for the appraisal of incoming information that must be critical elements of any attempt to model the emotional functions of the brain. According to this theory, signals of reward serve to increase activity in the BAS, thus giving rise to approach behavior and the maintenance of such behavior. Active avoidance and escape from aversive consequences (negative reinforcement) likewise activate this system. Signals of impending punishment (particularly conditioned punishment) as well as frustrative nonreward (an absence of previously predictable reward) increase activity in the BIS. Another system is the fight–flight system, which reacts to unconditioned punitive stimuli.

Quay's use of this model for ADHD stated that the impulsiveness characterizing the disorder could arise from diminished activity in the brain's BIS. This model predicted that those with ADHD should prove less sensitive to such signals, particularly in passive avoidance paradigms (Quay, 1988a). The theory also specifies predictions that can be used to test and even falsify the model as it applies to ADHD. For instance, Quay (1988a, 1988b) predicted that there should be greater resistance to extinction

following periods of continuous reinforcement in those with ADHD, but less resistance when training conditions involve partial reward. They should also demonstrate a decreased ability to inhibit behavior in passive avoidance paradigms when avoidance of the punishment is achieved through the inhibition of responding. And those with ADHD should also demonstrate diminished inhibition to signals of pain and novelty, as well as to conditioned signals of punishment. Finally, Quay predicted increased rates of responding by those with ADHD under fixed-interval or fixed-ratio schedules of consequences. Some of these predictions were supported by subsequent research; others either remained to be investigated more fully and rigorously, or have not been completely supported by the available evidence (see Milich, Hartung, Martin, & Haigler, 1994; Quay, 1997). Nevertheless, the theory remains a viable one for explaining the origin of the inhibitory deficits in ADHD and continues to deserve further research.

Further Developments in Nature, Etiology, and Course

Another noteworthy development in this decade was the greater sophistication of research designs attempting to explore the unique features of ADHD relative to other psychiatric conditions, rather than just in comparison to the absence of disorder. As Rutter (1983, 1989) noted repeatedly, the true test of the validity of a syndrome of ADHD is the ability to differentiate its features from other psychiatric disorders of children, such as mood or anxiety disorders, learning disorders, and particularly CD. Those studies that undertook such comparisons indicated that situational hyperactivity was not consistent in discriminating among psychiatric populations, but that difficulties with attention and pervasive (home and school) hyperactivity were more reliable in doing so and were often associated with patterns of neuropsychological immaturity (Firestone & Martin, 1979; Gittelman, 1988; McGee, Williams, & Silva, 1984a, 1984b; Rutter, 1989; Taylor, 1988; Werry, 1988).

The emerging interest in comparing children with ADD + H to those with ADD - H furthered this line of inquiry by demonstrating relatively unique features of each group in contrast to each other (see Chapter 3) and to groups of children with learning disabili-

ties and no disability (Barkley, DuPaul, & McMurray, 1990, 1991). Further strengthening the position of ADHD as a psychiatric syndrome was evidence from family aggregation studies that relatives of children with ADHD had a different pattern of psychiatric disturbance from those of children with CD or mixed ADHD and CD (Biederman, Munir, & Knee, 1987; Lahey et al., 1988). Children with pure ADHD were more likely to have relatives with ADHD, academic achievement problems, and dysthymia, whereas those children with CD had a greater prevalence of relatives with CD, antisocial behavior, substance abuse, depression, and marital dysfunction. This finding led to speculation that ADHD had a different etiology from CD. The former was said to arise out of a biologically based disorder of temperament or a neuropsychological delay; the latter from inconsistent, coercive, and dysfunctional child rearing and management, which was frequently associated with parental psychiatric impairment (Hinshaw, 1987; Loeber, 1990; Patterson, 1982, 1986).

Equally elegant research was done on potential etiologies of ADHD. Several studies on cerebral blood flow revealed patterns of underactivity in the prefrontal areas of the CNS and their rich connections to the limbic system via the striatum (Lou et al., 1984, 1989). Other studies (Hunt, Cohen, Anderson, & Minderaa, 1988; Rapoport & Zametkin, 1988; Shaywitz, Shaywitz, Cohen, & Young, 1983; Shekim, Glaser, Horwitz, Javaid, & Dylund, 1988; Zametkin & Rapoport, 1986) on brain neurotransmitters provided further evidence that deficiencies in dopamine, norepinephrine, or both may be involved in explaining these patterns of brain underactivity—patterns arising in precisely those brain areas in which dopamine and norepinephrine are most involved. Drawing these lines of evidence together even further was the fact that these brain areas are critically involved in response inhibition, motivational learning, and response to reinforcement. More rigorous studies on the hereditary transmission of ADHD were published (Goodman & Stevenson, 1989), indicating a strong heritability for ADHD symptoms.

Follow-up studies appearing in this decade were also more methodologically sophisticated, and hence more revealing not only of widespread maladjustment in children with ADHD as they reached adolescence and adulthood, but of potential mechanisms involved in

the differential courses shown within this population (Barkley, Fischer, et al., 1990; Barkley, Fischer, Edelbrock, & Smallish, 1991; Fischer, Barkley, Edelbrock, & Smallish, 1990; Gittelman et al., 1985; Lambert, 1988; Weiss & Hechtman, 1993). These findings are discussed in Chapter 4. Again, neuropsychological delays, the presence and pervasiveness of early aggression, and mother-child conflict were associated with a different, and more negative, outcome in later childhood and adolescence than was ADHD alone (Campbell, 1987; Paternite & Loney, 1980).

There was also a movement during this decade away from the strict reliance on clinic-referred samples of children with ADHD to the use of community-derived samples. This change was prompted by the widely acknowledged bias that occurs among clinic-referred samples of children with ADHD as a result of the process of referral itself. It is well known that children who are referred are often more (though not always the most) impaired, have more numerous comorbid conditions, are likely to have associated family difficulties, and are skewed toward those socioeconomic classes that value the utilization of mental health care resources. Such biases can create findings that are not representative of the nature of the disorder in its natural state. For instance, it has been shown that the ratio of boys to girls within clinic-referred samples of children with ADHD may range from 5:1 to 9:1, and that girls with ADHD within these samples are as likely to be aggressive or oppositional as boys (see Chapter 2). By contrast, in samples of children with ADHD derived from community- or school-based samples, the ratio of boys to girls is only 2.5:1, and girls with ADHD are considerably less likely to be aggressive than boys. For these and other reasons, a greater emphasis on studying epidemiological samples of children and the rates and nature of ADHD within them (Offord et al., 1987) arose toward the latter half of the 1980s.

Developments in Assessment

The 1980s also witnessed some advances in the tools of assessment, in addition to those for treatment. The Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach & Edelbrock, 1983, 1986) emerged as a more comprehensive, more rigorously developed, and better-normed alternative to the Conners rating scales (Barkley, 1988a). It

would become widely adopted in research on child psychopathology in general, not just in ADHD, by the end of this decade. Other rating scales more specific to ADHD were also developed, such as the ADD-H Comprehensive Teacher Rating Scale (ACTeRS; Ullmann et al., 1984), the Home and School Situations Questionnaires (Barkley & Edelbrock, 1987; DuPaul & Barkley, 1992), the Child Attention Profile (see Barkley, 1988a), and the ADHD Rating Scale (DuPaul, 1991).

Gordon (1983) developed, normed, and commercially marketed a small, portable, computerized device that administered two tests believed to be sensitive to the deficits in ADHD. One was a CPT measuring vigilance and impulsivity, and the other was a direct reinforcement of low rates (DRL) test assessing impulse control. This device became the first commercially available objective means of assessment for children with ADHD. Although the DRL test showed some promise in early research (Gordon, 1979), it was subsequently shown to be insensitive to stimulant medication effects (Barkley, Fischer, Newby, & Breen, 1988) and was eventually deemphasized as useful in the diagnosis in ADHD. The CPT, by contrast, showed satisfactory discrimination of children with ADHD from nondisabled groups and was sensitive to medication effects (Barkley et al., 1988; Gordon & Mettelman, 1988). Although cautionary statements would be made that more research evidence was needed to evaluate the utility of the instrument (Milich, Pelham, & Hinshaw, 1985), and that its false-negative rate (misses of children with legitimate ADHD) might be greater than that desired in a diagnostic tool, the device and others like it (Conners, 1995; Greenberg & Waldman, 1992) found a wide clinical following by the next decade.

Greater emphasis was also given to developing direct behavioral observation measures of ADHD symptoms that could be taken in the classroom or clinic, and that would be more objective and useful adjuncts to the parent and teacher rating scales in the diagnostic process. Abikoff, Gittelman-Klein, and Klein (1977) and O'Leary (1981) developed classroom observation codes with some promise for discriminating children with ADHD from children with other or no disabilities (Gittelman, 1988). Roberts (1979), drawing on the earlier work of Routh and Schroeder (1976) and Kalverboer (1988), refined a laboratory playroom observation procedure that would be found to discrim-

inate children with ADHD not only from non-disabled children, but also from children with aggression or mixed aggression and ADHD. This coding system had excellent 2-year stability coefficients. Somewhat later I streamlined the system (Barkley, 1988c) for more convenient clinical or classroom use and found it to be sensitive to stimulant medication effects (Barkley et al., 1988), to differentiate between children with ADD + H and ADD – H (Barkley, DuPaul, & McMurray, 1991), and to correlate well with parent and teacher ratings of ADHD symptoms (Barkley, 1991). Nevertheless, problems with developing normative data and the practical implementation of such a procedure in busy clinic practices remained hindrances to its widespread adoption.

Developments in Therapy

Developments also continued in the realm of treatments for ADHD. Comparisons of single versus combined treatments were more common during the decade (Barkley, 1989c), as was the use of more sophisticated experimental designs (Hinshaw, Henker, & Whalen, 1984; Pelham, Schnedler, Bologna, & Contreras, 1980) and mixed interventions (Satterfield, Satterfield, & Cantwell, 1981). Several of these developments in treatment require historical mention. The first was the emergence of a new approach to the treatment of ADHD: cognitive-behavioral therapy, or CBT (Camp, 1980; Douglas, 1980a; Kendall & Braswell, 1985; Meichenbaum, 1988). Founded on the work of Russian neuropsychologists (Vygotsky and Luria), North American developmental and cognitive psychologists (Flavell, Beach, & Chinsky, 1966), and early cognitive-behavioral theories (Meichenbaum, 1977), the CBT approach stressed the need to develop self-directed speech in impulsive children to guide their definition of and attention to immediate problem situations, to generate solutions to these problems, and to guide their behavior as the solutions were performed (see Chapter 15). Self-evaluation, self-correction, and self-directed use of consequences were also viewed as important (Douglas, 1980a, 1980b). Although the first reports of the efficacy of this approach appeared in the late 1960s and the 1970s (Bornstein & Quevillon, 1976; Meichenbaum & Goodman, 1971), it was not until the 1980s that the initial claims of success with nonclinical populations of impulsive chil-

dren were more fully tested in clinical populations of children with ADHD. The initial results were disappointing (Abikoff, 1987; Gittelman & Abikoff, 1989). Generally, they indicated some degree of improvement in impulsiveness on cognitive laboratory tasks; however, the improvement was insufficient to be detected in teacher or parent ratings of school and home ADHD behaviors, and CBT was certainly not as effective as stimulant medication (Brown, Wynne, & Medenis, 1985). Many continued to see some promise in these techniques (Barkley, 1981, 1989b; Meichenbaum, 1988; Whalen, Henker, & Hinshaw, 1985), particularly when they were implemented in natural environments by important caregivers (parents and teachers); others ended the decade with a challenge to those who persisted in their support of the CBT approach to provide further evidence for its efficacy (Gittelman & Abikoff, 1989). Such evidence would not be forthcoming (see Chapter 15). Later, even the conceptual basis for the treatment came under attack as being inconsistent with Vygotsky's theory of the internalization of language (Diaz & Berk, 1995).

A second development in treatment was the publication of a specific parent training format for families of children with ADHD and oppositional behavior. A specific set of steps for training parents of children with ADHD in child behavior management skills was developed (Barkley, 1981) and refined (Barkley, 1997c). The approach was founded on a substantial research literature (Barkley, 1997c; Forehand & McMahon, 1981; Patterson, 1982) demonstrating the efficacy of differential attention and time-out procedures for treating oppositional behavior in children—a behavior frequently associated with ADHD. These two procedures were coupled with additional components based on a theoretical formulation of ADHD as a developmental disorder that is typically chronic and associated with decreased rule-governed behavior and an insensitivity to certain consequences, particularly mild or social reinforcement. These components included counseling parents to conceptualize ADHD as a developmentally disabling condition; implementing more powerful home token economies to reinforce behavior, rather than relying on attention alone; using shaping techniques to develop nondisruptive, independent play; and training parents in cognitive-behavioral skills to teach their children during daily manage-

ment encounters, particularly in managing disruptive behavior in public places (Anastopoulos & Barkley, 1990; see Chapter 12 for a detailed description of this program). Because of the demonstrated impact of parental and family dysfunction on the severity of children's ADHD symptoms, on the children's risk for developing ODD and CD, and on the parents' responsiveness to treatments for the children, clinicians began to pay closer attention to intervening in family systems rather than just in child management skills. Noteworthy among these attempts were the modifications to the previously described parent training program by Charles Cunningham at McMaster University Medical Center (Cunningham, 1990; see Chapter 13 for a detailed description of this approach). Arthur Robin at Wayne State University and the Children's Hospital of Michigan, and Sharon Foster at West Virginia University (Robin & Foster, 1989), also emphasized the need for work on family systems as well as on problem-solving and communication skills in treating the parent-adolescent conflicts so common in families of teenagers with ADHD (see Chapter 14 for a discussion of this approach).

A similar increase in more sophisticated approaches to the classroom management of children with ADHD occurred in this era (Barkley, Copeland, & Sivage, 1980; Pelham et al., 1980; Pfiffner & O'Leary, 1987; Whalen & Henker, 1980). These developments were based on earlier promising studies in the 1970s with contingency management methods in hyperactive children (Allyon et al., 1975; see Chapter 15 for the details of such an approach). Although these methods may not produce the degree of behavioral change seen with the stimulant medications (Gittelman et al., 1980), they provide a more socially desirable intervention that can be a useful alternative when children have mild ADHD and cannot take stimulants or their parents decline the prescription. More often, these methods serve as an adjunct to medication therapy to further enhance academic achievement.

The fourth area of treatment development was in social skills training for children with ADHD (see Chapter 15). Hinshaw et al. (1984) developed a program for training children with ADHD in anger control techniques. This program demonstrated some initial short-term effectiveness in assisting these children to deal with this common deficit in their social skills

and emotional control (Barkley et al., 2000). Related approaches to social skills training for children with ADHD also showed initially promising results (Pfiffner & McBurnett, 1997), but subsequent research did not bear out this promise and suggested that some children with ADHD may even become more aggressive after participation in such group training formats (see Chapter 15).

Finally, medication treatments for children with ADHD expanded to include the use of the tricyclic antidepressants, particularly for those children with characteristics that contraindicated using a stimulant medication (e.g., Tourette syndrome or other tic disorders) or for those with anxiety/depression (Pliszka, 1987). The work of Joseph Biederman and his colleagues at Massachusetts General Hospital (Biederman, Gastfriend, & Jellinek, 1986; Biederman, Baldessarini, Wright, Knee, & Harmatz, 1989) on the safety and efficacy of the tricyclic antidepressants encouraged the rapid adoption of these drugs by many practitioners (see Ryan, 1990), particularly when the stimulants, such as methylphenidate (Ritalin) were receiving such negative publicity in the popular media (see the next section). Simultaneously, initially positive research reports appeared on the use of the antihypertensive drug clonidine in the treatment of children with ADHD, particularly those with very high levels of hyperactive-impulsive behavior and aggression (Hunt, Caper, & O'Connell, 1990; Hunt, Minderaa, & Cohen, 1985) (see Chapter 18).

Developments in Public Awareness

Several noteworthy developments also occurred in the public forum during this decade. Chief and most constructive among these was the blossoming of numerous parent support associations for families with ADHD. Although less than a handful existed in the early 1980s, within 9 years there would be well over 100 such associations throughout the United States alone. By the end of the decade, these would begin to organize into national networks and political action organizations known as CHADD (originally Children with ADD, now Children and Adults with ADHD) and the Attention Deficit Disorder Association (ADDA). With this greater public/parent activism, initiatives were taken to have state and federal laws reevaluated and, it was hoped, changed to in-

clude ADHD as an educational disability in need of special educational services in public schools.

When Public Law 94-142 was passed in 1975, it included the concept of MBD under the category of learning disabilities that would be eligible for special educational services. But it did not include hyperactivity, ADD, or ADHD in its description of learning or behavioral disorders eligible for mandated special services in public school. This oversight would lead many public schools to deny access for children with ADD/ADHD to such services, and would cause much parental and teacher exasperation in trying to get educational recognition and assistance for this clearly academically disabling disorder. Other parents would initiate lawsuits against private schools for learning-disabled students for educational malpractice in failing to provide special services for children with ADHD (Skinner, 1988). By the early 1990s, these lobbying efforts would be partially successful in getting the U.S. Department of Education to reinterpret Public Law 94-142—and its 1990 reauthorization as IDEA—as including children with ADHD under the category of “Other Health Impaired” because of their difficulties in alertness and attention. Upon this reinterpretation, children with ADHD could now be considered eligible for special educational services, provided that the ADHD resulted in a significant impairment in academic performance. Such efforts to obtain special educational resources for ADHD in children and adolescents stemmed from their tremendous risk for academic underachievement, failure, retention, suspension, and expulsion, not to mention negative social and occupational outcomes (Barkley, Fischer, et al., 1990, 1991; Cantwell & Satterfield, 1978; Weiss & Hechtman, 1986).

The Church of Scientology Campaign

Yet with this increased public activism also came a tremendously destructive trend in the United States, primarily fueled by the Church of Scientology and its Citizens Commission on Human Rights (CCHR). This campaign capitalized on the mass media’s general tendency to uncritically publish alarming or sensational anecdotes, as well as on the public’s gullibility for such anecdotes. Drawing on evidence of an increase in stimulant medication use with school children as well as on the extant public concern

over drug abuse, members of CCHR effectively linked these events together to play on the public’s general concern about using behavior-modifying drugs with children. In a campaign reminiscent of the gross overstatement seen in the earlier “reefer madness” campaign by the U.S. government against marijuana, members of CCHR selectively focused on the rare cases of adverse reactions to stimulants and greatly exaggerated both the number and degree of them to persuade the public that these reactions were commonplace. They also argued that massive overprescribing was posing a serious threat to schoolchildren, though actual evidence of such overprescribing was never presented. By picketing scientific and public conferences on ADHD, actively distributing leaflets to parents and students in many North American cities, seeking out appearances on many national television talk shows, and placing numerous letters to newspapers decrying the evils of Ritalin and the myth of ADHD (Bass, 1988; CCHR, 1987; Cowart, 1988; Dockx, 1988), CCHR members and others took this propaganda directly to the public. Ritalin, they claimed, was a dangerous and addictive drug often used by intolerant educators and parents and by money-hungry psychiatrists as a chemical straitjacket to subdue normally exuberant children (Clark, 1988; CCHR, 1987; Dockx, 1988). Dramatic, exaggerated, or unfounded claims were made that Ritalin could frequently result in violence or murder, suicide, Tourette syndrome, permanent brain damage or emotional disturbance, seizures, high blood pressure, confusion, agitation, and depression (CCHR, 1987; Clark, 1988; Dockx, 1988; Laccetti, 1988; “Ritalin Linked,” 1988; Toufexis, 1989; Williams, 1988). It was also claimed that the increasing production and prescription of Ritalin were leading to increased abuse of these drugs by the general public (Associated Press, 1988; Cowart, 1988; “Rise in Ritalin Use,” 1987). Great controversy was said to exist among the scientific and professional practice communities on this disorder and the use of medication. No evidence was presented in these articles, however, that demonstrated a rise in Ritalin abuse or linked it with the increased prescribing of the medication. Moreover, close inspection of professional journals and conferences revealed that no major or widespread controversy ever existed within the professional or scientific fields over the nature of the disorder or the effectiveness

of stimulant medication. Yet lawsuits were threatened, initiated, or assisted by the CCHR against practitioners for medical negligence and malpractice, and against schools for complicity in “pressuring” parents to have their children placed on these medicines (Bass, 1988; Cowart, 1988; Henig, 1988; *Nightline*, 1988; Twyman, 1988). A major lawsuit (\$125 million) was also filed by the CCHR against the American Psychiatric Association for fraud in developing the criteria for ADHD (Henig, 1988; “Psychiatrist Sued,” 1987), though the suit would later be dismissed.

So effective was this national campaign by the CCHR, so widespread were newspaper and television stories on adverse Ritalin reactions, and so easily could public sentiment be misled about a disorder and its treatment by a fringe political-religious group and overzealous, scandal-mongering journalists that within 1 year the public attitude toward Ritalin was dramatically altered. Ritalin was seen as a dangerous and overprescribed drug, and the public believed that there was tremendous professional controversy over its use. The minor benefits to come out of this distorted reporting were that some practitioners would become more rigorous in their assessments and more cautious in their prescribing of medication. Schools also became highly sensitized to the percentage of their enrollment receiving stimulant medication, and in some cases encouraged exploration of alternative behavioral means of managing children.

Yet even the few modestly positive effects of this campaign were greatly outweighed by the damaging effects on parents and children. Many parents were scared into unilaterally discontinuing the medication with their children without consulting their treating physicians. Others rigidly refused to consider the treatment as one part of their child’s treatment plan if recommended, or were harassed into such refusal by well-meaning relatives misled by the distorted church propaganda and media reports. Some adolescents with ADHD began refusing the treatment, even if it had been beneficial to them, after being alarmed by these stories. Some physicians stopped prescribing the medications altogether out of concern for the threats of litigation, thereby depriving many children within their care of the clear benefits of this treatment approach. Most frustrating to watch was the unnecessary anguish created for parents whose children were already on the

medication or who were contemplating its use. The psychological damage done to those children whose lives could have been improved by this treatment was incalculable. The meager, poorly organized, and sporadically disseminated response of the mental health professions was primarily defensive in nature (Weiner, 1988) and (as usual) too little, too late to change the tide of public opinion. It would take years to even partially reverse this regression in public opinion toward ADHD and its treatment by medication, as well as the chilling effect all this had on physicians’ prescribing of the medication. Public suspicion and concern over medication use for ADHD remains even today.

The Prevailing View at the End of the 1980s

This decade closed with the professional view of ADHD as a developmentally disabling condition with a generally chronic nature, a strong biological or hereditary predisposition, and a significant negative impact on academic and social outcomes for many children. However, its severity, comorbidity, and outcome were viewed as significantly affected by environmental (particularly familial) factors. Growing doubts about the central role of attention deficits in the disorder arose late in the decade, while increasing interest focused on possible motivational factors or reinforcement mechanisms as the core difficulty in ADHD. Effective treatment was now viewed as requiring multiple methods and professional disciplines working in concert over longer time intervals, with periodic reintervention as required, to improve the long-term prognosis for ADHD. The view that environmental causes were involved in the genesis of the disorder was weakened by increasing evidence for the heritability of the condition and its neuroanatomical localization. Even so, evidence that familial/environmental factors were associated with outcome was further strengthened. Developments in treatment would expand the focus of interventions to parental disturbances and family dysfunction, as well as to the children’s anger control and social skills. A potentially effective role for the use of tricyclic antidepressants and antihypertensive medications was also demonstrated, expanding the armamentarium of symptomatic interventions for helping children with ADHD.

Despite these tremendous developments in the scientific and professional fields, the gen-

eral public became overly sensitized to and excessively alarmed by the increasing use of stimulant medication as a treatment for this disorder. Fortunately, the explosive growth of parent support/political action associations for ADHD arose almost simultaneously with this public controversy over Ritalin and held the promise of partially counteracting its effects, as well as of making the education of children with ADHD a national political priority at the start of the 1990s. These associations also offered the best hope that the general public could be provided with a more accurate depiction of ADHD and its treatment. Perhaps now the public could be made to understand that hyperactive, disruptive child behaviors could arise out of a biologically based disability that could be diminished or amplified by the social environment, rather than being entirely due to bad parenting and diet, as the simplistic yet pervasive societal view would maintain.

THE PERIOD 1990 TO 1999

During the 1990s, a number of noteworthy developments occurred in the history of ADHD, chief among them being the increase in research on the neurological and genetic basis of the disorder and on ADHD as it occurs in clinic-referred adults.

Neuroimaging Research

Researchers had long suspected that ADHD was associated in some way with abnormalities or developmental delays in brain functioning. Supporting such an interpretation in the 1990s were numerous neuropsychological studies showing deficits in performance by children with ADHD on tests that were presumed to assess frontal lobe or executive functions (for reviews, see Barkley, 1997b; Barkley et al., 1992; Goodyear & Hynd, 1992). Moreover, psychophysiological research in earlier decades had suggested brain underactivity, particularly in functioning related to the frontal lobes (Hastings & Barkley, 1978; Klorman, 1992). And thus there was good reason to suspect that delayed or disturbed functioning in the brain, and particularly the frontal lobes, might be involved in this disorder.

In 1990, Alan Zametkin and his colleagues at the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) published a landmark study

(Zametkin et al., 1990). The authors evaluated brain metabolic activity in 25 adults with ADHD who had a childhood history of the disorder and who also had children with the disorder. The authors used positron emission tomography (PET), an exceptionally sensitive technique for detecting states of brain activity and its localization within the cerebral hemispheres. The results of this study indicated significantly reduced brain metabolic activity in adults with ADHD relative to a control group, primarily in frontal and striatal regions. Such results were certainly consistent in many, though not all, respects with the earlier demonstrations of reduced cerebral blood flow in the frontal and striatal regions in children with ADHD (Lou et al., 1984, 1989). Significant in the Zametkin et al. (1990) study, however, was its use of a much better-defined sample of patients with ADHD and its focus on adults with ADHD. Although later attempts by this research team to replicate their original results with teenagers were consistent with these initial results for girls with ADHD, no differences were found in boys with ADHD (see Ernst, 1996, for a review). Sample sizes in these studies were quite small, however, almost ensuring some difficulties with the reliable demonstration of the original findings. Despite these difficulties, the original report stands out as one of the clearest demonstrations to date of reduced brain activity, particularly in the frontal regions, in ADHD.

At the same time as the NIMH research using PET scans was appearing, other researchers were employing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to evaluate brain structures in children with ADHD. Hynd and his colleagues were the first to use this method, and they focused on the total brain volume as well as specific regions in the anterior and posterior brain sections. Children with ADHD were found to have abnormally smaller anterior cortical regions, especially on the right side, and they lacked the normal right-left frontal asymmetry (Hynd, Semrud-Clikeman, Lorys, Novey, & Eliopoulos, 1990). Subsequent research by this team focused on the size of the corpus callosum, finding that both the anterior and posterior portions were smaller in children with ADHD (Hynd et al., 1991); however, in a later study, only the posterior region was found to be significantly smaller (Semrud-Clikeman et al., 1994). Additional studies were reported by Hynd et al. (1993), who found a smaller left

caudate region in children with ADHD, and Giedd et al., (1994), who found smaller anterior regions of the corpus callosum (rostrum and rostral body).

More recently, two research teams published studies using MRI with considerably larger samples of children with ADHD (Castellanos et al., 1994, 1996; Filipek et al., 1997). These studies documented significantly smaller right prefrontal lobe and striatal regions in these children. Castellanos et al. (1996) also found smaller right-sided regions of structures in the basal ganglia, such as the striatum, as well as the right cerebellum. Filipek et al. (1997) observed the left striatal region to be smaller than the right. Despite some inconsistencies across these studies, most have implicated the prefrontal–striatal network as being smaller in children with ADHD, with the right prefrontal region being smaller than the left. Such studies have placed on a considerably firmer foundation the view that ADHD does indeed involve impairments in the development of the brain, particularly in the prefrontal–striatal regions, and that these impairments are likely to have originated in embryological development (Castellanos et al., 1996). Advances in neuroimaging technology continue to provide exciting and revealing new developments in the search for the structural differences in the brain that underlie this disorder (see Chapter 6). For instance, the advent of functional MRI (fMRI), with its greater sensitivity for localization of activity, has already resulted in a number of newly initiated investigations into possible impairments in these brain regions in children and adults with ADHD.

Genetic Research

Since the 1970s, studies have indicated that children with hyperactivity, ADD, or ADHD seem to have parents with a greater frequency of psychiatric disorders, including ADHD. Cantwell (1975) and Morrison and Stewart (1973) both reported higher rates of hyperactivity in the biological parents of hyperactive children than in adoptive parents of such children. Yet both studies were retrospective, and both failed to study the biological parents of the adopted hyperactive children as a comparison group (Pauls, 1991). In the 1990s, a number of studies, particularly those by Biederman and colleagues, clarified and strengthened this evidence of the familial nature of ADHD. Be-

tween 10% and 35% of the immediate family members of children with ADHD were found to have the disorder, with the risk to siblings of these children being approximately 32% (Biederman, Faraone, & Lapey, 1992; Biederman, Keenan, & Faraone, 1990; Pauls, 1991; Welner, Welner, Stewart, Palkes, & Wish, 1977). Even more striking, research has shown that if a parent has ADHD, the risk to the offspring is 57% (Biederman et al., 1995). Thus family aggregation studies find that ADHD clusters among biological relatives of children or adults with the disorder, strongly implying a hereditary basis to this condition.

At the same time that these studies were appearing, several studies of twins were focusing on the heritability of the dimensions of behavior underlying ADHD (i.e., hyperactive–impulsive and inattentive) behavior, or on the clinical diagnosis of ADHD itself. Large-scale twin studies on this issue have been quite consistent in their findings of a high heritability for ADHD symptoms or for the clinical diagnosis, with minimal or no contribution made by the shared environment (Edelbrock, Rende, Plomin, & Thompson, 1995; Levy & Hay, 1992). For instance, Gilger, Pennington, and DeFries (1992) found that if one twin was diagnosed as having ADHD, the concordance for the disorder was 81% in monozygotic twins and 29% in dizygotic twins. Stevenson (1994) summarized the status of twin studies on symptoms of ADHD by stating that the average heritability is .80 for symptoms of this disorder (range .50–.98). More recent large-scale twin studies are remarkably consistent with this conclusion, demonstrating that the majority of variance (70–90%) in the trait of hyperactivity–impulsivity is due to genetic factors (averaging approximately 80%), and that such a genetic contribution may increase as scores for this trait become more extreme, although this latter point is debatable (Faraone, 1996; Gjone, Stevenson, & Sundet, 1996; Gjone, Stevenson, Sundet, & Eilertsen, 1996; Rhee, Waldman, Hay, & Levy, 1995; Silberg et al., 1996; Thapar, Hervas, & McGuffin, 1995; van den Oord, Verhulst, & Boomsma, 1996). Thus twin studies added substantially more evidence to that already found in family aggregation studies supporting a strong genetic basis to ADHD and its behavioral symptoms. More recent twin studies have still further buttressed the strong genetic contribution to ADHD (see Chapter 5). Equally important is the evidence

consistently appearing in such research that whatever environmental contributions may be made to ADHD symptoms fall more within the realm of unique (nonshared) environmental effects than within that of common or shared effects.

Also in this decade, a few studies began using molecular genetic techniques to analyze DNA taken from children with ADHD and their family members to identify genes that may be associated with the disorder. The initial focus of this research was on the dopamine Type 2 gene, given findings of its increased association with alcoholism, Tourette syndrome, and ADHD (Blum, Cull, Braverman, & Comings, 1996; Comings et al., 1991), but others failed to replicate this finding (Gelernter et al., 1991; Kelsoe et al., 1989). More recently, the dopamine transporter gene was implicated in ADHD (Cook et al., 1995; Cook, Stein, & Leventhal, 1997). Another gene related to dopamine, the D4RD (repeater gene) was found to be overrepresented in the seven-repetition form of the gene in children with ADHD (LaHoste et al., 1996). The latter finding has been replicated in a number of additional studies (see Chapter 5) and indicates that the presence of this allele increases the risk for ADHD by 1.5. Clearly, research into the molecular genetics involved in the transmission of ADHD across generations continues to be an exciting and fruitful area of research endeavor. Such research offers promise for the eventual development not only of genetic tests for ADHD and subtyping of ADHD into potentially more homogeneous and useful genotypes, but also of more specific pharmacological agents for treating ADHD.

ADHD in Adults

Although papers dealing with the adult equivalents of childhood hyperactivity/MBD date back to the late 1960s and the 1970s (see above), they did not initiate widespread acceptance of these adult equivalents in the field of adult psychiatry and clinical psychology. It was not until the 1990s that the professional fields and the general public recognized ADHD in adults as a legitimate disorder. This was due in large part to a best-selling book by Edward Hallowell and John Ratey (1994), *Driven to Distraction*, which brought the disorder to the public's attention. More serious and more rigorous scientific research was also conducted on

adults with ADHD across this decade. In addition, at this time the greater clinical professional community began to consider the disorder a legitimate clinical condition worthy of differential diagnosis and treatment (Goldstein, 1997; Nadeau, 1995; Wender, 1995).

This broadening acceptance of ADHD in adults continues to the present time and is likely to increase further in the decades ahead. It seems to have been strengthened in some part by the repeated publications throughout the 1990s of follow-up studies that documented the persistence of the disorder into adolescence in up to 70% and into adulthood in up to as many as 66% of childhood cases (Barkley et al., 1990, 2002; Mannuzza, Gittelman-Klein, Bessler, Malloy, & LaPadula, 1993; Weiss & Hechtman, 1993). And it can be attributed as well to published studies on clinically referred adults diagnosed with the disorder (Biederman et al., 1993; Murphy & Barkley, 1996; Shekim, Asarnow, Hess, Zauha, & Wheeler, 1990; Spencer, Biederman, Wilens, & Faraone, 1994). But it also probably resulted in part from pressure from the general public, which was made more cognizant of this disorder in adults through various media. These media included the publication of other best-selling popular books on the subject (Kelly & Ramundo, 1992; Murphy & LeVert, 1994; Weiss, 1992); numerous media accounts of the condition in adults; the efforts of large-scale parent support groups discussed earlier, such as CHADD, to promote greater public awareness of this issue; and the advent of Internet chat rooms, web pages, and bulletin boards devoted to this topic (Gordon, 1997). Adults who obtain such information and seek out evaluation and treatment for their condition are simply not satisfied any longer with outdated opinions from adult mental health specialists that the disorder does not exist in adults and is commonly outgrown by adolescence, as was the widespread belief in the 1960s.

Also notable in the 1990s was the publication of more rigorous studies demonstrating the efficacy of the stimulants (Spencer et al., 1995) and the antidepressants (Wilens et al., 1996) in the management of adult ADHD. Such studies confirmed the initial clinical speculations in the 1970s, as well as the conclusions from earlier, smaller studies by Paul Wender and his colleagues in the 1970s and 1980s (de-

scribed earlier), that such medications were efficacious for this disorder in adults (Wender, Reimherr, & Wood, 1981; Wender, Reimherr, Wood, & Ward, 1985). Thus the adult form of ADHD was found not only to share many patterns of symptoms and comorbid disorders with the childhood form, but also to respond just as well to the same medications that proved themselves so useful in the management of childhood ADHD (see Chapter 22).

Other Developments

The 1990s were marked by other significant developments in the field of ADHD. In 1994, new diagnostic criteria for the disorder were set forth in DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). These criteria contained several improvements over those in the earlier DSM-III-R. These criteria are discussed critically in the next chapter (see Table 2.1), but suffice it to say here that they reintroduced criteria for the diagnosis of a purely inattentive form of ADHD, similar to ADD – H in DSM-III. The diagnostic criteria also now require evidence of symptoms' pervasiveness across settings, as well as the demonstration of impairment in a major domain of life functioning (home, school, work). Based on a much larger field trial than any of their predecessors, the DSM-IV criteria for ADHD are the most empirically based in the history of this disorder (see Chapter 2).

A further development during this decade was the undertaking by the NIMH of a multisite study of ADHD that focused on various combinations of long-term treatments (Arnold et al., 1997; MTA Cooperative Group, 1999; see Chapter 20). This study (the Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD, or MTA) determined what combinations of treatments were most effective for what subgroups of ADHD, based on those treatment strategies with the greatest empirical support in the prior treatment literature. Another long-term treatment study reported findings of great significance to the field: The Swedish government commissioned the longest treatment study of stimulant medication ever undertaken, the results of which indicated that amphetamine treatment remained effective for the entire 15 months of the investigation (see Gillberg et al., 1997). More sobering was the report that an intensive, year-long treatment program using primarily CBT strategies produced no substantial treat-

ment effects, either at posttreatment or at follow-up (Braswell et al., 1997). Similarly, a year-long intensive early intervention program for hyperactive-aggressive children found no significant impact of parent training either at posttreatment or at 2-year follow-up (Barkley et al., 2000, 2002); the school-based portion of this multimethod program produced some immediate treatment gains, but by 2-year follow-up these had dissipated (Shelton et al., 2000). Finally, a multisite study of stimulant medication with and without intensive behavioral and psychosocial interventions was reported to have found that the psychosocial interventions added little or nothing to treatment outcome beyond that achieved by stimulant medication alone (Abikoff & Hechtman, 1995). Its final results were not reported until 2004 (see Chapter 20), but were in keeping with the findings of the MTA that the combination of the treatments was generally no better than medication treatment alone. Although these studies do not entirely undermine the earlier studies on the effectiveness of behavioral interventions for children with ADHD, they do suggest that some of those interventions produce minimal or no improvement when used on a large-scale basis; that the extent of improvement is difficult to detect when adjunctive stimulant medication is also used; and that treatment effects may not be maintained over time following treatment termination.

The 1990s also witnessed the emergence of trends that were to be further developed over the next decade. These trends included a renewed interest in theory development related to ADHD (Barkley, 1997a, 1997b; Quay, 1988b, 1997; Sergeant & van der Meere, 1994), as well as an expanding recognition and treatment of the disorder in countries outside the United States and Canada (Fonseca et al., 1995; Shalev, Hartman, Stavsky, & Sergeant, 1995; Toone & van der Linden, 1997; Vermeersch & Fombonne, 1995). A new stimulant combination, Adderall, appeared on the market in this decade that showed promise as being as effective for ADHD as the other stimulants (Swanson et al., 1998), and at least three new nonstimulant medications and an additional stimulant were in development or in Phase II clinical trials by several pharmaceutical companies during this decade. There also appeared to be an increasing interest in the use of peers as treatment agents in several new behavioral intervention programs for academic

performance and peer conflict in school settings (DuPaul & Henningson, 1993; see Chapters 15 and 16, this volume).

The Prevailing View at the End of the 1990s

It seems clear that there was a shift during the 1990s back toward viewing ADHD as far more influenced by neurological and genetic factors than by social or environmental ones. Clearly, the interaction of these sources of influence is generally well accepted by professionals at this time, but greater emphasis is now being placed on the former than on the latter in understanding the potential causation of the disorder. Moreover, evidence began accruing that the influence of the environment on the symptoms of the disorder fall chiefly in the realm of unique or nonshared factors, rather than among the more oft-considered but now weakly supported common or shared family factors.

There was also a discernible shift over this decade toward the recognition that a deficit in behavioral inhibition may be the characteristic of ADHD that distinguishes it most clearly from other mental and developmental disorders (Barkley, 1997b; Nigg, 2001; Pennington & Ozonoff, 1996; Schachar, Tannock, & Logan, 1993), and that this deficit is associated with a significant disruption in the development of typical self-regulation. It is also noteworthy that the subtype of ADHD comprising chiefly inattention without hyperactive-impulsive behavior may possibly be a qualitatively distinct disorder from the subtype with hyperactive-impulsive behavior or the subtype with combined behavior (Barkley et al., 1992; Goodyear & Hynd, 1992; Lahey & Carlson, 1992). The issue of comorbidity became an increasingly important one in subgrouping children with ADHD, leading to greater understanding in the manner in which disorders coexisting with ADHD may influence family functioning, academic success, developmental course and outcome, and even treatment response. In contrast to the attitudes apparent in the middle of the 20th century, the view of ADHD at the close of this century was a less developmentally benign one, owing in large part to multiple follow-up studies that documented the pervasiveness of difficulties with adaptive functioning in the adult lives of many (though by no means all) persons clinically diagnosed with ADHD in childhood.

And there is little doubt that the use of phar-

macology in the management of the disorder continued its dramatic rise in popularity, owing in no small part to the repeated demonstration of the efficacy of stimulants in the treatment of the disorder; the greater recognition of subtypes of ADHD, as well as girls and adults with ADHD; and the rather sobering results of multimethod intensive psychosocial intervention programs. Even so, combinations of medication with psychosocial and educational treatment programs remained the norm in recommendations for the management of the disorder across the 1990s, much as they were in the 1980s.

The expansion, solidification, and increased political activity and power of the patient and family support organizations, such as CHADD, across this decade were indeed a marvel to behold. They clearly led to far wider public recognition of the disorder, as well as to controversies over its existence, definition, and treatment with stimulant medications; still, the general trend toward greater public acceptance of ADHD as a developmental disability remained a largely optimistic one. Moreover, such political activity resulted in increased eligibility of those with ADHD for entitlements, under the IDEA, and legal protections, under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336).

THE PERIOD 2000 TO THE PRESENT

At this writing we are just 6 years into the new century, but already many exciting and important developments in the field of ADHD have occurred. Since they are covered in detail elsewhere throughout this volume, they receive only brief topical mention here for their importance to the history of the disorder. Trends from the 1990s have certainly continued into the 21st century, with far more research on heredity, molecular genetics, and neuroimaging being published, along with some initial efforts to link these fields together (see Chapter 5). Not only has the hereditary basis of ADHD become firmly established by many recent studies, but several recent papers may have discovered additional candidate genes for the disorder (DBH Taq I allele) and new chromosomal regions deserving of greater investigation (e.g., 16p13). Although no new theories of ADHD have been proposed, the existing theories, along with advances in neuroimaging of the disorder, have driven even more research on

the neuropsychology of ADHD; the results have been an explosion in the size of this literature, and the publication of meta-analyses of various segments of it (Frazier et al., 2004; Hervey, Epstein, & Curry, 2004; see Chapter 3). Indeed, no segment of the literature on ADHD has grown as impressively as that of neuropsychology. This literature continues to support the view that ADHD comprises a problem with behavioral (executive) inhibition (Nigg, 2001), while suggesting that the attention problems associated with the disorder are likely to represent deficits in a broader neuropsychological domain of executive functioning, especially working memory. Combining neuropsychological measures with functional neuroimaging methods such as PET and fMRI offers greater promise in further revealing the neurological basis for the symptoms of the disorder and the nature of medication responses.

Efforts at subtyping ADHD have also received far more research since 2000 (see Chapter 4; see also Milich, Ballentine, & Lynam, 2001, and associated commentaries), leading to the possibility that a qualitatively new subtype if not a new disorder may have been substantiated. Known as “sluggish cognitive tempo,” or SCT, this subset accounts for approximately 30–50% of those children now diagnosed as having the Predominantly Inattentive Type of ADHD. They are characterized by a cognitive sluggishness and social passivity, in sharp contrast to the distractible, impulsive, overactive, and emotional difficulties so characteristic of those with the Combined Type of the disorder. With advances in molecular genetics has also come the possibility of genetically subtyping samples of individuals with ADHD into those who do and do not possess a particular candidate allele, so as to study the impact of the allele over time on the psychological and social phenotype of the disorder and its developmental course. Such longitudinal studies are now underway, including in my own research team.

Further work has also occurred on comorbid disorders and the impact they may have on risk for impairments, life course, and even treatment response in ADHD (see Chapter 4; see also Angold, Costello, & Erkanli, 1999). It now appears that the overlap of ADHD with the learning disorders (reading, spelling, math) may stem from separate etiologies of each that arise together in particular cases, in contrast to the earlier, more simplistic view that one type

of disorder may be causing the other. For now, existing evidence suggests that the two sets of disorders are not genetically linked to each other. ADHD, however, may be a direct contributor to a progressive increase in problems with reading (and even story and video) comprehension, perhaps through its detrimental effects on working memory. The case for Major Depressive Disorder gives us fairly substantial evidence that ADHD may create a genetic susceptibility to this disorder, albeit one that may require exposure to stress, social disruption, or traumatic events to become fully manifest. By contrast, the link to anxiety disorders is significantly weaker and perhaps driven in part by referral bias (how samples are obtained) rather than by ADHD’s carrying a substantial risk for anxiety, though some associated risk remains present (odds ratio of 1.3). The overlap of ADHD with Bipolar I Disorder remains controversial as of this writing, owing in large part to definitional and diagnostic ambiguity about how childhood Bipolar I Disorder is to be recognized, in contrast with the more well-established criteria for adult-onset manic-depression; challenges include the absence or minimal importance of mania in childhood cases, and its chronic rather than episodic course. What exists suggests a one-way comorbidity in which Bipolar I disorder carries a very high risk for comorbid ADHD, even though ADHD carries a low risk for Bipolar I Disorder. And the link of ADHD to ODD and CD continues to be well established by ongoing research.

The domain of treatment has seen several advances, not the least of which has been the continued reporting of findings from the MTA (see Chapter 20), although controversy exists as to how they should be interpreted. No one doubts that this monumental study found that medication treatment was superior to psychosocial treatment or community care as usual in the initial results. Disagreement appears to continue over whether the combination of medication with psychosocial components resulted in important benefits that were not as evident in the medication-only condition. Although my coauthors and I in Chapter 20 continue to adhere to the view that many cases require combined therapy and that it offers advantages for especially comorbid cases, the point is certainly conceded that some cases may do sufficiently well on medications as to require little additional psychosocial care.

Another advance in treatment was the devel-

opment of sustained-release delivery systems for the previously extant stimulant medications (see Chapter 17). These new delivery systems are chemical engineering marvels (sustained-release pellets, osmotic pumps, etc.); within the few years of their initial introduction to the marketplace, they have become the standard of care for medication management, at least in the United States. Such delivery systems allow single doses of medication to manage ADHD symptoms effectively for periods of 8–12 hours. This eliminates the need for school dosing and its numerous associated problems, not the least of which was stigmatization of children who required midday doses.

And no recording of the history of ADHD for the current decade would be complete without mentioning the development of the first new medication for management of ADHD symptoms, the norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor atomoxetine (Strattera). First approved for use in the United States in January 2003 by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, atomoxetine was the first drug approved for management of ADHD in adults, along with use in children and teens. Over the next several years, the drug is slated for approval for use in numerous other countries. Attractive to many is the fact that this medication has no abuse potential and therefore is not a scheduled drug in the United States, making it far easier to prescribe than stimulants, which are Schedule II. As one of the most successful medications ever launched for a neuroscience indication, atomoxetine had captured 19% of the U.S. market share for ADHD drugs at this writing, making it nearly as widely used as the sustained-release delivery system of methylphenidate (Concerta) or that for amphetamine (Adderall XR). Other nonstimulant medications are now being studied for their potential effectiveness in managing ADHD.

The international recognition of ADHD has grown sharply since 2000, owing to the development of parent support groups in many countries, and efforts by CHADD to assist them in doing so. But substantial credit must also be given to the increasing access to the Internet and the information on ADHD that it can bring nearly instantaneously into any home connected to it by personal computer. As I remarked recently while lecturing to nearly 1,000 mental health professionals and parents in Rome, Italy (Barkley, 2004), there was a time when each country had its own view of mental

disorders, their causes, and their management. Hence the United States might view ADHD in one way, Sweden in another, and Italy, France, Germany, or Spain each in its own different way. Such walls between different countries' understandings of ADHD are now figuratively crashing down, with the democratizing spread of the Internet and the scientific (and non-scientific!) information it can bring to any user. This means that there is no longer going to be an Italian view of ADHD or a U.S. view, but an international view, founded on the most recent scientific advances as they become available on the Internet. Italian professionals, for instance, many of whom still practice a psychoanalytic view of childhood disorders as arising from early upbringing, can no longer count on this view's going unchallenged by parents of children in their practices. These parents can readily discover on the Internet that such views have no scientific credibility; that long-term, analytically focused psychotherapy is not effective for ADHD; and that medications and more empirically based psychosocial accommodations are the cutting edge treatments. If they cannot obtain them in their country, they can quickly locate a neighboring one that is better informed and where such therapies may be accessible. We should expect to see more such developments on the international scene in the coming years.

But so, too, can we expect the same sort of media sensationalizing and misrepresentation, baseless social criticism, and even Church of Scientology-like active counterpropaganda as this expanding international recognition unfolds. This leads to the mention of another landmark historical development since 2000: the creation in 2002 of an International Consensus Statement on ADHD, signed by more than 80 of the world's leading scientists specializing in the disorder. I organized this consensus group out of my own growing frustration and my sense that many other professionals have had the same experiences as my colleagues and I have had in dealing with superficial, biased, or sensational media accounts of ADHD. This is not to say that some journalists have not done admirable work in presenting the science of ADHD to their readers. Many have done so. But every signer has personally experienced as well the opposite circumstance—conflicting views of ADHD described as if they were some sporting event, with two sides being presented on the issues as if there was nothing but contro-

versy in the professional community over the existence of ADHD, its causes, or its treatment with medication, when nothing could be further from the truth. The International Consensus Statement, appearing as Appendix A to this chapter, confronts such misrepresentations head on by showing that conclusions about the nature, causes, and management of ADHD, like those represented in this volume, are science-based and shared widely by the clinical scientific community researching ADHD. They are not just one person's perspective that can be contrasted against the opposing views of some nonexpert professional, ignorant social critic, or intentionally biased fringe political organization, as if both points of view have merit. Readers are encouraged to make copies of Appendix A and provide it to media representatives when they are contacted about potential stories on ADHD.

ADHD has undoubtedly become a mature disorder and topic of scientific study, widely accepted throughout the mental health and pediatric profession as a legitimate developmental disability. At this time, it is unmistakably one of the most well-studied childhood disorders; it is also the object of healthy, sustained research initiatives into its adult counterparts, which should eventually lead to as widespread an acceptance of adult ADHD as has occurred for the childhood version of the disorder. Further discoveries concerning its nature, causes, and developmental course promise tremendous advances in our insight not only into this disorder, but also into the very nature and development of human self-regulation more generally and its rather substantial neurological, genetic, and unique environmental underpinnings. Along with these advances will undoubtedly come new treatments and combinations of treatments. These, let us hope, will greatly limit the impairments experienced by many who suffer from ADHD across their lifespan.

KEY CLINICAL POINTS

- ✓ ADHD has a long and exceptionally rich history of clinical and scientific publications, numbering in the thousands since the initial descriptions of clinical patients by George Still in 1902.
- ✓ Early conceptualizations of ADHD focused on defective moral control of behavior and

deficits in behavioral inhibition. Later views emphasized its association with brain damage, particularly to the frontal lobes, followed by an emphasis on brain dysfunction and then hyperactivity. The focus has broadened more recently to include inattention and impulsive behavior.

- ✓ Advances in developing diagnostic criteria have resulted in more precise specification of symptoms, along with two symptom lists; an emphasis on childhood onset of the disorder in most cases; and a requirement for both cross-setting pervasiveness of symptoms, and evidence of impairment in one or more major life activities.
- ✓ More recent theories of ADHD have viewed behavioral inhibition as central to the disorder, while also suggesting that deficits in executive functioning and self-regulation are likely to account for part or all of the inattentive symptoms associated with the disorder.
- ✓ Recent efforts at subtyping have identified a Predominantly Inattentive Type of the disorder that may be distinct from the more classical Hyperactive–Impulsive Type or Combined Type. This is particularly so for a subset of inattentive children manifesting sluggish cognitive tempo, social passivity, and other distinguishing clinical features.
- ✓ Research using neuroimaging techniques has served to isolate particular brain regions (especially the frontal–striatal–cerebellar network, and possibly other regions) as underlying the disorder, and particularly as involved in the difficulties with inhibition and executive functioning.
- ✓ Increasing research on heredity and genetics has clearly shown a striking hereditary basis to ADHD, along with the identification of several candidate genes that hold some promise in explaining some aspects of the disorder.
- ✓ Research into the neuropsychology of ADHD has increased substantially as well in the past decade; it supports the view of ADHD (primarily the Combined Type) as not only an inhibitory disorder, but one associated with deficits in executive functioning.
- ✓ Further research, especially on prenatal neurological hazards and postnatal injuries and environmental toxins, suggests that some

cases of ADHD may arise from brain injury rather than genetics.

- ✓ Numerous longitudinal studies now support the conclusion that ADHD is a relatively chronic disorder affecting many domains of major life activities from childhood through adolescence and into adulthood.
- ✓ Within the past decade, new medications and delivery systems have been developed that broaden the range of treatment options for managing the heterogeneity of clinical cases, as well as for sustaining medication effects for longer periods across the day (with less need for in-school dosing).
- ✓ Advances in psychosocial treatment research have revealed specific subsets of individuals with ADHD who may be more or less likely to benefit from these empirically proven interventions. They have also revealed the limitations of these approaches for generalization and maintenance of treatment effects if they are not specifically programmed into the treatment protocol.
- ✓ ADHD is now recognized as a universal disorder, with an ever-growing international acceptance of both its existence and its status as a chronic disabling condition, for which combinations of medications and psychosocial treatments and accommodations may offer the most effective approach to management.

REFERENCES

- Abikoff, E. (1987). An evaluation of cognitive behavior therapy for hyperactive children. In B. Lahey & A. Kazdin (Eds.), *Advances in clinical child psychology* (Vol. 10, pp. 171–216). New York: Plenum Press.
- Abikoff, H., Gittelman-Klein, R., & Klein, D. (1977). Validation of a classroom observation code for hyperactive children. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 45*, 772–783.
- Abikoff, H., & Hechtman, L. (1995, June). *Multimodal treatment study of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder*. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Society for Research in Child and Adolescent Psychopathology, London.
- Accardo, P. J., & Blondis, T. A. (2000). The Strauss syndrome, minimal brain dysfunction, and the hyperactive child: A historical introduction to attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder. In P. J. Accardo, T. A. Blondis, B. Y. Whitman, & M. A. Stein (Eds.), *Attention deficits and hyperactivity in children and adults: Diagnosis, treatment, management* (pp. 1–12). New York: Dekker.
- Achenbach, T. M., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1983). *Manual for the Child Behavior Profile and Child Behavior Checklist*. Burlington, VT: Authors.
- Achenbach, T. M., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1986). Empirically based assessment of the behavioral/emotional problems of 2- and 3-year-old children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 15*, 629–650.
- Ackerman, P. T., Dykman, R. A., & Oglesby, D. M. (1983). Sex and group differences in reading and attention disordered children with and without hyperkinesis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 16*, 407–415.
- Allyon, T., Layman, D., & Kandel, H. (1975). A behavioral-educational alternative to drug control of hyperactive children. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 8*, 137–146.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1968). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1980). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (3rd ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1987). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (3rd ed., rev.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Anastopoulos, A. D., & Barkley, R. A. (1990). Counseling and parent training. In R. A. Barkley, *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (pp. 397–431). New York: Guilford Press.
- Angold, A., Costello, E. J., & Erkanli, A. (1999). Comorbidity. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 40*, 57–88.
- Arnold, L. E., Abikoff, H. B., Cantwell, D. P., Connors, C. K., Elliott, G., Greenhill, L. L., et al. (1997). National Institute of Mental Health collaborative multimodal treatment study of children with ADHD (the MTA). *Archives of General Psychiatry, 54*, 865–870.
- Associated Press. (1988, January). To many, Ritalin is a “chemical billy club.” *Worcester Telegram and Gazette* [Worcester, MA].
- August, G. J., & Stewart, M. A. (1983). Family subtypes of childhood hyperactivity. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 171*, 362–368.
- Barkley, R. A. (1977). A review of stimulant drug research with hyperactive children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 18*, 137–165.
- Barkley, R. A. (Ed.). (1978). Special issue on hyperactivity. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 3*.
- Barkley, R. A. (1981). *Hyperactive children: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1982). Guidelines for defining hyperactivity in children (attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity). In B. Lahey & A. Kazdin (Eds.), *Ad-*

- vances in clinical child psychology* (Vol. 5, pp. 137–180). New York: Plenum Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1984). *Do as we say, not as we do: The problem of stimulus control and rule-governed behavior in attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity*. Paper presented at the Highpoint Hospital Conference on Attention Deficit and Conduct Disorders, Toronto.
- Barkley, R. A. (1988a). Child behavior rating scales and checklists. In M. Rutter, A. H. Tuma, & I. Lann (Eds.), *Assessment and diagnosis in child psychopathology* (pp. 113–155). New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1988b). Tic disorders and Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. In E. J. Mash & L. G. Terdal (Eds.), *Behavioral assessment of childhood disorders* (2nd ed., pp. 552–585). New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1988c). Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. In E. J. Mash & L. G. Terdal (Eds.), *Behavioral assessment of childhood disorders* (2nd ed., pp. 69–104). New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1989a). The problem of stimulus control and rule-governed behavior in children with attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. In L. M. Bloomington & J. M. Swanson (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder* (Vol. 4, pp. 203–234). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1989b). Hyperactive girls and boys: Stimulant drug effects on mother–child interactions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 30, 379–390.
- Barkley, R. A. (1989c). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. In E. J. Mash & R. A. Barkley (Eds.), *Treatment of childhood disorders* (pp. 39–72). New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1990). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1991). The ecological validity of laboratory and analogue assessments of ADHD symptoms. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 19, 149–178.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997a). Inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: Constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. *Psychological Bulletin*, 121, 65–94.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997b). *ADHD and the nature of self-control*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997c). *Defiant children: A clinician's manual for assessment and parent training* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (1998). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. (2004, November). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children*. Workshop presented in Rome, Italy.
- Barkley, R. A., Copeland, A., & Sivage, C. (1980). A self-control classroom for hyperactive children. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 10, 75–89.
- Barkley, R. A., & Cunningham, C. E. (1979). The effects of methylphenidate on the mother–child interactions of hyperactive children. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 36, 201–208.
- Barkley, R. A., DuPaul, G. J., & McMurray, M. B. (1990). A comprehensive evaluation of attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 58, 775–789.
- Barkley, R. A., DuPaul, G. J., & McMurray, M. B. (1991). Attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: Clinical response to three doses of methylphenidate. *Pediatrics*, 87, 519–531.
- Barkley, R. A., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1987). Assessing situational variation in children's behavior problems: The Home and School Situations Questionnaires. In R. Prinz (Ed.), *Advances in behavioral assessment of children and families* (Vol. 3, pp. 157–176). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Edelbrock, C. S., & Smallish, L. (1990). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: I. An 8-year prospective followup study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29, 546–557.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Edelbrock, C. S., & Smallish, L. (1991). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: III. Mother–child interactions, family conflicts, and maternal psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 32, 233–256.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Newby, R., & Breen, M. (1988). Development of a multi-method clinical protocol for assessing stimulant drug responses in ADHD children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 17, 14–24.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Smallish, L., & Fletcher, K. (2002). The persistence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder into young adulthood as a function of reporting source and definition of disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 111, 279–289.
- Barkley, R. A., Grodzinsky, G., & DuPaul, G. (1992). Frontal lobe functions in attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: A review and research report. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 20, 163–188.
- Barkley, R. A., Karlsson, J., & Pollard, S. (1985). Effects of age on the mother–child interactions of hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 13, 631–638.
- Barkley, R. A., Karlsson, J., Pollard, S., & Murphy, J. V. (1985). Developmental changes in the mother–child interactions of hyperactive boys: Effects of two dose levels of Ritalin. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 26, 705–715.
- Barkley, R. A., Shelton, T. L., Crosswait, C., Moorehouse, M., Fletcher, K., Barrett, S., et al. (2000). Early psycho-educational intervention for children with disruptive behavior: Preliminary post-treatment outcome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 41, 319–332.
- Barkley, R. A., & Ullman, D. G. (1975). A comparison

- of objective measures of activity level and distractibility in hyperactive and nonhyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 3, 213–244.
- Bass, A. (1988, March 28). Debate over Ritalin is heating up: Experts say critics are lashing out for all the wrong reasons. *Boston Globe*, pp. 36–38.
- Bender, L. (1942). Postencephalitic behavior disorders in children. In J. B. Neal (Ed.), *Encephalitis: A clinical study*. New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Benninger, R. J. (1989). Dopamine and learning: Implications for attention deficit disorder and hyperkinetic syndrome. In T. Sagvolden & T. Archer (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Clinical and basic research* (pp. 323–338). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bettelheim, B. (1973). Bringing up children. *Ladies' Home Journal*, p. 23.
- Biederman, J., Baldessarini, R. J., Wright, V., Knee, D., & Harmatz, J. S. (1989). A double-blind placebo controlled study of desipramine in the treatment of ADD: I. Efficacy. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 28, 777–784.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., & Lapey, K. (1992). Comorbidity of diagnosis in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 1, 335–360.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Mick, E., Spencer, T., Wilens, T., Kiely, K., et al. (1995). High risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children of parents with childhood onset of the disorder: A pilot study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, 431–435.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Spencer, T., Wilens, T., Norman, D., Lapey, K. A., et al. (1993). Patterns of psychiatric comorbidity, cognition, and psychosocial functioning in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 1792–1798.
- Biederman, J., Gastfriend, D. R., & Jellinek, M. S. (1986). Desipramine in the treatment of children with attention deficit disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 6, 359–363.
- Biederman, J., Keenan, K., & Faraone, S. V. (1990). Parent-based diagnosis of attention deficit disorder predicts a diagnosis based on teacher report. *American Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29, 698–701.
- Biederman, J., Munir, K., & Knee, D. (1987). Conduct and oppositional defiant disorder in clinically referred children with attention deficit disorder: A controlled family study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 26, 724–727.
- Birch, H. G. (1964). *Brain damage in children: The biological and social aspects*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Blau, A. (1936). Mental changes following head trauma in children. *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry*, 35, 722–769.
- Block, G. H. (1977). Hyperactivity: A cultural perspective. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 110, 236–240.
- Blum, K., Cull, J. G., Braverman, E. R., & Comings, D. E. (1996). Reward deficiency syndrome. *American Scientist*, 84, 132–145.
- Bond, E. D., & Appel, K. E. (1931). *The treatment of behavior disorders following encephalitis*. New York: Commonwealth Fund.
- Bornstein, P. H., & Quevillon, R. P. (1976). The effects of a self-instructional package on overactive preschool boys. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 9, 179–188.
- Bradley, W. (1937). The behavior of children receiving benzedrine. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 94, 577–585.
- Bradley, W., & Bowen, C. (1940). School performance of children receiving amphetamine (benzedrine) sulfate. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 10, 782–788.
- Braswell, L., August, G. J., Bloomquist, M. L., Realmuto, G. M., Skare, S. S., & Crosby, R. D. (1997). School-based secondary prevention for children with disruptive behavior: Initial outcomes. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 25, 197–208.
- Brown, R. T., Wynne, M. E., & Medenis, R. (1985). Methylphenidate and cognitive therapy: A comparison of treatment approaches with hyperactive boys. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 13, 69–88.
- Burks, H. (1960). The hyperkinetic child. *Exceptional Children*, 27, 18.
- Byers, R. K., & Lord, E. E. (1943). Late effects of lead poisoning on mental development. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, 66, 471–494.
- Camp, B. W. (1980). Two psychoeducational treatment programs for young aggressive boys. In C. Whalen & B. Henker (Eds.), *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment* (pp. 191–220). New York: Academic Press.
- Campbell, S. B. (1973). Mother-child interaction in reflective, impulsive, and hyperactive children. *Developmental Psychology*, 8, 341–349.
- Campbell, S. B. (1975). Mother-child interactions: A comparison of hyperactive, learning disabled, and normal boys. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 45, 51–57.
- Campbell, S. B. (1987). Parent-referred problem three-year olds: Developmental changes in symptoms. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 28, 835–846.
- Campbell, S. B., Douglas, V. I., & Morganstern, G. (1971). Cognitive styles in hyperactive children and the effect of methylphenidate. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 12, 55–67.
- Campbell, S. B., & Ewing, L. J. (1990). Follow-up of hard-to-manage preschoolers: Adjustment at age nine years and predictors of continuing symptoms. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 31, 891–910.
- Cantwell, D. P. (1975). *The hyperactive child*. New York: Spectrum.
- Cantwell, D. P. (1981). Foreword. In R. A. Barkley, *Hy-*

- peractive children: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment.* New York: Guilford Press.
- Cantwell, D. P., & Satterfield, J. H. (1978). The prevalence of academic underachievement in hyperactive children. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 3, 168–171.
- Carlson, C. (1986). Attention deficit disorder without hyperactivity: A review of preliminary experimental evidence. In B. Lahey & A. Kazdin (Eds.), *Advances in clinical child psychology* (Vol. 9, pp. 153–176). New York: Plenum Press.
- Castellanos, F. X., Giedd, J. N., Eckburg, P., Marsh, W. L., Vaituzis, C., Kaysen, D., et al. (1994). Quantitative morphology of the caudate nucleus in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 1791–1796.
- Castellanos, F. X., Giedd, J. N., Marsh, W. L., Hamburger, S. D., Vaituzis, A. C., Dickstein, D. P., et al. (1996). Quantitative brain magnetic resonance imaging in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 53, 607–616.
- Chelune, G. J., Ferguson, W., Koon, R., & Dickey, T. O. (1986). Frontal lobe disinhibition in attention deficit disorder. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 16, 221–234.
- Chess, S. (1960). Diagnosis and treatment of the hyperactive child. *New York State Journal of Medicine*, 60, 2379–2385.
- Childers, A. T. (1935). Hyper-activity in children having behavior disorders. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 5, 227–243.
- Citizens Commission on Human Rights (CCHR). (1987). *Ritalin: A warning to parents*. Los Angeles: Church of Scientology.
- Clark, D. (1988, January). [Guest on the syndicated television show *Sally Jessy Raphael*]. New York: Multimedia Entertainment.
- Clements, S. D. (1966). *Task Force One: Minimal brain dysfunction in children* (National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Blindness, Monograph No. 3). Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare.
- Comings, D. E., Comings, B. G., Muhleman, D., Dietz, G., Shahbahrami, B., Tast, D., et al. (1991). The dopamine D2 receptor locus as a modifying gene in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 266, 1793–1800.
- Conners, C. K. (1969). A teacher rating scale for use in drug studies with children. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 126, 884–888.
- Conners, C. K. (1980). *Food additives and hyperactive children*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Conners, C. K. (1995). *The Conners Continuous Performance Test*. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems.
- Conners, C. K., & Rothschild, G. H. (1968). Drugs and learning in children. In J. Hellmuth (Ed.), *Learning disorders* (Vol. 3, pp. 191–223). Seattle, WA: Special Child.
- Conrad, P. (1975). The discovery of hyperkinesis: Notes on the medicalization of deviant behavior. *Social Problems*, 23, 12–21.
- Cook, E. H., Stein, M. A., Krasowski, M. D., Cox, N. J., Olkon, D. M., Kieffer, J. E., et al. (1995). Association of attention deficit disorder and the dopamine transporter gene. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 56, 993–998.
- Cook, E. H., Stein, M. A., & Leventhal, D. L. (1997). Family-based association of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and the dopamine transporter. In K. Blum & E. P. Noble (Eds.), *Handbook of psychiatric genetics* (pp. 297–310). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Corkum, P. V., & Siegel, L. S. (1993). Is the continuous performance task a valuable research tool for use with children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 34, 1217–1239.
- Costello, E. J., Loeber, R., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (1991). Pervasive and situational hyperactivity—Confounding effect of informant: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 32, 367–376.
- Cowart, V. S. (1988). The Ritalin controversy: What's made this drug's opponents hyperactive? *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 259, 2521–2523.
- Cruikshank, W. M., & Dolphin, J. E. (1951). The educational implications of psychological studies of cerebral palsied children. *Exceptional Children*, 18, 3–11.
- Cunningham, C. E. (1990). A family systems approach to parent training. In R. A. Barkley, *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (pp. 432–461). New York: Guilford Press.
- Cunningham, C. E., & Barkley, R. A. (1978). The effects of Ritalin on the mother-child interactions of hyperkinetic twin boys. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 20, 634–642.
- Cunningham, C. E., & Barkley, R. A. (1979). The interactions of hyperactive and normal children with their mothers during free play and structured task. *Child Development*, 50, 217–224.
- Cunningham, C. E., Siegel, L. S., & Offord, D. R. (1985). A developmental dose response analysis of the effects of methylphenirdate on the peer interactions of attention deficit disordered boys. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 26, 955–971.
- Danforth, J. S., Barkley, R. A., & Stokes, T. F. (1991). Observations of parent-child interactions with hyperactive children: Research and clinical implications. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 11, 703–727.
- Diaz, R. M., & Berk, L. E. (1995). A Vygotskian critique of self-instructional training. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 369–392.
- Dockx, P. (1988, January 11). Are schoolchildren getting unnecessary drugs? *Woonsocket Sun Chronicle* [Woonsocket, RI], p. 15.
- Dolphin, J. E., & Cruickshank, W. M. (1951a). The figure background relationship in children with cerebral palsy. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 7, 228–231.

- Dolphin, J. E., & Cruickshank, W. M. (1951b). Pathology of concept formation in children with cerebral palsy. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 56*, 386–392.
- Dolphin, J. E., & Cruickshank, W. M. (1951c). Visuomotor perception of children with cerebral palsy. *Quarterly Journal of Child Behavior, 3*, 189–209.
- Douglas, V. I. (1972). Stop, look, and listen: The problem of sustained attention and impulse control in hyperactive and normal children. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 4*, 259–282.
- Douglas, V. I. (Ed.). (1976). Special issue on hyperactivity. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 4*.
- Douglas, V. I. (1980a). Higher mental processes in hyperactive children: Implications for training. In R. Knights & D. Bakker (Eds.), *Treatment of hyperactive and learning disordered children* (pp. 65–92). Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Douglas, V. I. (1980b). Treatment and training approaches to hyperactivity: Establishing internal or external control. In C. Whalen & B. Henker (Eds.), *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment* (pp. 283–318). New York: Academic Press.
- Douglas, V. I. (1983). Attention and cognitive problems. In M. Rutter (Ed.), *Developmental neuropsychiatry* (pp. 280–329). New York: Guilford Press.
- Douglas, V. I. (1988). Cognitive deficits in children with attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. A. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 65–82). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Douglas, V. I. (1989). Can Skinnerian psychology account for the deficits in attention deficit disorder?: A reply to Barkley. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. M. Swanson (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder* (Vol. 4, pp. 235–253). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Douglas, V. I., & Peters, K. G. (1979). Toward a clearer definition of the attentional deficit of hyperactive children. In G. A. Hale & M. Lewis (Eds.), *Attention and the developments of cognitive skills* (pp. 173–248). New York: Plenum Press.
- Draeger, S., Prior, M., & Sanson, A. (1986). Visual and auditory attention performance in hyperactive children: Competence or compliance. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 14*, 411–424.
- Dubey, D. R., & Kaufman, K. F. (1978). Home management of hyperkinetic children. *Journal of Pediatrics, 93*, 141–146.
- DuPaul, G. J. (1991). Parent and teacher ratings of ADHD symptoms: Psychometric properties in a community-based sample. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 20*, 242–253.
- DuPaul, G. J., & Barkley, R. A. (1992). Situational variability of attention problems: Psychometric properties of the Revised Home and School Situations Questionnaires. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 21*, 178–188.
- DuPaul, G. J., Barkley, R. A., & McMurray, M. B. (1994). Response of children with ADHD to methylphenidate: Interaction with internalizing symptoms. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 33*, 894–903.
- DuPaul, G. J., & Henningson, P. N. (1993). Peer tutoring effects on the classroom performance of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *School Psychology Review, 22*, 134–143.
- Dykman, R. A., Ackerman, P. T., & Holcomb, P. J. (1985). Reading disabled and ADD children: Similarities and differences. In D. B. Gray & J. F. Kavanagh (Eds.), *Biobehavioral measures of dyslexia* (pp. 47–62). Parkton, MD: York Press.
- Ebaugh, F. G. (1923). Neuropsychiatric sequelae of acute epidemic encephalitis in children. *American Journal of Diseases of Children, 25*, 89–97.
- Edelbrock, C. S., Rende, R., Plomin, R., & Thompson, L. (1995). A twin study of competence and problem behavior in childhood and early adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 36*, 775–786.
- Ernst, M. (1996). Neuroimaging in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. In G. R. Lyon & J. M. Rumsey (Eds.), *Neuroimaging: A window to the neurological foundations of learning and behavior in children* (pp. 95–118). Baltimore: Brookes.
- Faraone, S. V. (1996). Discussion of “Genetic influence on parent-reported attention-related problems in a Norwegian general population twin sample.” *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 35*, 596–598.
- Feingold, B. (1975). *Why your child is hyperactive*. New York: Random House.
- Ferrier, D. (1876). *The functions of the brain*. New York: Putnam.
- Filipek, P. A., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Steingard, R. J., Renshaw, P. F., Kennedy, D. N., & Biederman, J. (1997). Volumetric MRI analysis comparing subjects having attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with normal controls. *Neurology, 48*, 589–601.
- Firestone, P., & Martin, J. E. (1979). An analysis of the hyperactive syndrome: A comparison of hyperactive, behavior problem, asthmatic, and normal children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 7*, 261–273.
- Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., Edelbrock, C. S., & Smallish, L. (1990). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: II. Academic, attentional, and neuropsychological status. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 58*, 580–588.
- Flavell, J. H., Beach, D. R., & Chinsky, J. M. (1966). Spontaneous verbal rehearsal in a memory task as a function of age. *Child Development, 37*, 283–299.
- Fonseca, A. C., Simões, A., Rebelo, J. A., Ferreira, J. A., Cardoso, F., & Temudo, P. (1995). Hyperactivity and conduct disorder among Portuguese children and adolescents: Data from parents’ and teachers’ reports. In J. Sergeant (Ed.), *Eunethydis: European approaches to hyperkinetic disorder* (pp. 115–129). Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Forehand, R., & McMahon, R. (1981). *Helping the noncompliant child*. New York: Guilford Press.

- Frazier, T. W., Demaree, H. A., & Youngstrom, E. A. (2004). Meta-analysis of intellectual and neuropsychological test performance in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychology, 18*, 543–555.
- Freibergs, V. (1965). *Concept learning in hyperactive and normal children*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, McGill University.
- Freibergs, V., & Douglas, V. I. (1969). Concept learning in hyperactive and normal children. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 74*, 388–395.
- Gelernter, J. O., O'Malley, S., Risch, N., Kranzler, H. R., Krystal, J., Merikangas, K., et al. (1991). No association between an allele at the D2 dopamine receptor gene (DRD2) and alcoholism. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 266*, 1801–1807.
- Giedd, J. N., Castellanos, F. X., Casey, B. J., Kozuch, P., King, A. C., Hamburger, S. D., et al. (1994). Quantitative morphology of the corpus callosum in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 151*, 665–669.
- Gilger, J. W., Pennington, B. F., & DeFries, J. C. (1992). A twin study of the etiology of comorbidity: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and dyslexia. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 31*, 343–348.
- Gillberg, C., Melander, H., von Knorring, A.-L., Janols, L.-O., Thernlund, G., Hagglof, B., et al. (1997). Long-term stimulant treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 54*, 857–864.
- Gittelman, R. (1988). The assessment of hyperactivity: The DSM-III approach. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 9–28). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Gittelman, R., & Abikoff, H. (1989). The role of psychostimulants and psychosocial treatments in hyperkinesis. In T. Sagvolden & T. Archer (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Clinical and basic research* (pp. 167–180). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gittelman, R., Abikoff, H., Pollack, E., Klein, D., Katz, S., & Mattes, J. (1980). A controlled trial of behavior modification and methylphenidate in hyperactive children. In C. Whalen & B. Henker (Eds.), *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment* (pp. 221–246). New York: Academic Press.
- Gittelman-Klein, R., Klein, D. F., Abikoff, H., Katz, S., Gloisten, C., & Kates, W. (1976). Relative efficacy of methylphenidate and behavior modification in hyperkinetic children: An interim report. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 4*, 261–279.
- Gittelman, R., Mannuzza, S., Shenker, R., & Bonagura, N. (1985). Hyperactive boys almost grown up: I. Psychiatric status. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 42*, 937–947.
- Gjone, H., Stevenson, J., & Sundet, J. M. (1996). Genetic influence on parent-reported attention-related problems in a Norwegian general population twin sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 35*, 588–596.
- Gjone, H., Stevenson, J., Sundet, J. M., & Eilertsen, D. E. (1996). Changes in heritability across increasing levels of behavior problems in young twins. *Behavior Genetics, 26*, 419–426.
- Glow, P. H., & Glow, R. A. (1979). Hyperkinetic impulse disorder: A developmental defect of motivation. *Genetic Psychological Monographs, 100*, 159–231.
- Goldstein, S. (1997). *Managing attention and learning disorders in late adolescence and adulthood*. New York: Wiley.
- Goldstein, S., & Goldstein, M. (1998). *Managing attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: A guide for practitioners*. New York: Wiley.
- Gomez, R. L., Janowsky, D., Zetin, M., Huey, L., & Clopton, P. L. (1981). Adult psychiatric diagnosis and symptoms compatible with the hyperactive syndrome: A retrospective study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 42*, 389–394.
- Goodman, J. R., & Stevenson, J. (1989). A twin study of hyperactivity: II. The aetiological role of genes, family relationships, and perinatal adversity. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 30*, 691–709.
- Goodyear, P., & Hynd, G. (1992). Attention deficit disorder with (ADD/H) and without (ADD/WO) hyperactivity: Behavioral and neuropsychological differentiation. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 21*, 273–304.
- Gordon, M. (1979). The assessment of impulsivity and mediating behaviors in hyperactive and non-hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 7*, 317–326.
- Gordon, M. (1983). *The Gordon Diagnostic System*. DeWitt, NY: Gordon Systems.
- Gordon, M. (1997). ADHD in cyberspace. *ADHD Report, 5*(4), 4–6.
- Gordon, M., & Mettelman, B. B. (1988). The assessment of attention: I. Standardization and reliability of a behavior based measure. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 44*, 682–690.
- Gray, J. A. (1982). *The neuropsychology of anxiety*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gray, J. A. (1987). *The psychology of fear and stress* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Gray, J. A. (1994). Three fundamental emotional systems. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The nature of emotion: Fundamental questions* (pp. 243–247). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Greenberg, L. M., & Waldman, I. D. (1992). *Developmental normative data on the Test of Variables of Attention (T.O.V.A.)*. Minneapolis: Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota Medical School.
- Haenlein, M., & Caul, W. F. (1987). Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity: A specific hypothesis of reward dysfunction. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 26*, 356–362.

- Hallowell, E. M., & Ratey, J. J. (1994). *Driven to distraction*. New York: Pantheon.
- Halperin, J. M., Gittelman, R., Klein, D. F., & Rudel, R. G. (1984). Reading-disabled hyperactive children: A distinct subgroup of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity? *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 12, 1–14.
- Hartcollis, P. (1968). The syndrome of minimal brain dysfunction in young adult patients. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, 32, 102–114.
- Hastings, J., & Barkley, R. A. (1978). A review of psychophysiological research with hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 7, 413–447.
- Henig, R. M. (1988, March 15). Courts enter the hyperactivity fray: The drug Ritalin helps control behavior, but is it prescribed needlessly? *The Washington Post*, p. 8.
- Henker, B., & Whalen, C. (1980). The changing faces of hyperactivity: Retrospect and prospect. In C. Whalen & B. Henker (Eds.), *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment* (pp. 321–364). New York: Academic Press.
- Herbert, M. (1964). The concept and testing of brain damage in children: A review. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 5, 197–217.
- Hertzog, M. E., Bortner, M., & Birch, H. G. (1969). Neurologic findings in children educationally designated as “brain damaged.” *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 39, 437–447.
- Hervey, A. S., Epstein, J. N., & Curry, J. F. (2004). Neuropsychology of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychology*, 18, 495–503.
- Hinshaw, S. P. (1987). On the distinction between attentional deficits/hyperactivity and conduct problems/aggression in child psychopathology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 101, 443–447.
- Hinshaw, S. P., Henker, B., & Whalen, C. K. (1984). Cognitive-behavioral and pharmacologic interventions for hyperactive boys: Comparative and combined effects. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 52, 739–749.
- Hoffman, H. (1865). Die Geschichte vom Zappel-Philipp. In H. Hoffman, *Der Struwwelpeter*. Erlangen, Germany: Pestalozzi-Verlag.
- Huessy, H. J. (1974). The adult hyperkinetic [Letter to the editor]. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 131, 724–725.
- Humphries, T., Kinsbourne, M., & Swanson, J. (1978). Stimulant effects on cooperation and social interaction between hyperactive children and their mothers. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 19, 13–22.
- Hunt, R. D., Caper, L., & O’Connell, P. (1990). Clonidine in child and adolescent psychiatry. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 1, 87–102.
- Hunt, R. D., Cohen, D. J., Anderson, G., & Minderaa, R. B. (1988). Noradrenergic mechanisms in ADD + H. In L. M. Bloomingdale (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorder: Vol. 3: New research in attention, treatment, and psychopharmacology* (pp. 129–148). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Hunt, R. D., Minderaa, R., & Cohen, D. J. (1985). Clonidine benefits children with attention deficit disorder and hyperactivity: Report of a double-blind placebo crossover therapeutic trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 24, 617–629.
- Hynd, G. W., Hern, K. L., Novey, E. S., Eliopoulos, D., Marshall, R., Gonzalez, J. J., et al. (1993). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and asymmetry of the caudate nucleus. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 8, 339–347.
- Hynd, G. W., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Lorys, A. R., Novey, E. S., & Eliopoulos, D. (1990). Brain morphology in developmental dyslexia and attention deficit disorder/hyperactivity. *Archives of Neurology*, 47, 919–926.
- Hynd, G. W., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Lorys, A. R., Novey, E. S., Eliopoulos, D., & Lyttinen, H. (1991). Corpus callosum morphology in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: Morphometric analysis of MRI. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 24, 141–146.
- James, W. (1950). *The principles of psychology*. New York: Dover. (Original work published 1890)
- Kahn, E., & Cohen, L. H. (1934). Organic drivenness: A brain stem syndrome and an experience. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 210, 748–756.
- Kalverboer, A. F. (1988). Hyperactivity and observational studies. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 29–42). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Kelly, K., & Ramundo, P. (1992). *You mean I’m not lazy, stupid, or crazy?* Cincinnati, OH: Tyrell & Jerem.
- Kelsoe, J. R., Ginns, E. I., Egeland, J. A., Gerhard, D. S., Goldstein, A. M., Bale, S. J., et al. (1989). Re-evaluation of the linkage relationship between chromosome 11p loci and the gene for bipolar affective disorder in the Old Order Amish. *Nature*, 342, 238–243.
- Kendall, P. C., & Braswell, L. (1985). *Cognitive-behavioral therapy for impulsive children*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Kessler, J. W. (1980). History of minimal brain dysfunction. In H. Rie & E. Rie (Eds.), *Handbook of minimal brain dysfunctions: A critical view* (pp. 18–52). New York: Wiley.
- Kinsbourne, M. (1977). The mechanism of hyperactivity. In M. Blau, I. Rapin, & M. Kinsbourne (Eds.), *Topics in child neurology* (pp. 289–306). New York: Spectrum.
- Kirk, S. A. (1963). Behavioral diagnoses and remediation of learning disabilities. In *Proceedings of the annual meeting: Conference on exploration into the problems of the perceptually handicapped child* (Vol. 1, pp. 1–7). Evanston, IL.
- Klorman, R. (1992). Cognitive event-related potentials

- in attention deficit disorder. In S. E. Shaywitz & B. A. Shaywitz (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder comes of age: Toward the twenty-first century* (pp. 221–244). Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
- Knights, R. M., & Bakker, D. (Eds.). (1976). *The neuropsychology of learning disorders*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Knights, R. M., & Bakker, D. (Eds.). (1980). *Treatment of hyperactive and learning disordered children*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Knobel, M., Wolman, M. B., & Mason, E. (1959). Hyperkinesis and organicity in children. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1, 310–321.
- Laccetti, S. (1988, August 13). Parents who blame son's suicide on Ritalin use will join protest. *The Atlanta Journal*, pp. B1, B7.
- Lahey, B. B., & Carlson, C. L. (1992). Validity of the diagnostic category of attention deficit disorder without hyperactivity: A review of the literature. In S. E. Shaywitz & B. A. Shaywitz (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder comes of age: Toward the twenty-first century* (pp. 119–144). Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
- Lahey, B. B., Pelham, W. E., Schaughency, E. A., Atkins, M. S., Murphy, H. A., Hynd, G. W., et al. (1988). Dimensions and types of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity in children: A factor and cluster-analytic approach. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27, 330–335.
- LaHoste, G. J., Swanson, J. M., Wigal, S. B., Glabe, C., Wigal, T., King, N., et al. (1996). Dopamine D4 receptor gene polymorphism is associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 1, 121–124.
- Lambert, N. M. (1988). Adolescent outcomes for hyperactive children. *American Psychologist*, 43, 786–799.
- Laufer, M., & Denhoff, E. (1957). Hyperkinetic behavior syndrome in children. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 50, 463–474.
- Laufer, M., Denhoff, E., & Solomons, G. (1957). Hyperkinetic impulse disorder in children's behavior problems. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 19, 38–49.
- Levin, P. M. (1938). Restlessness in children. *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry*, 39, 764–770.
- Levy, F., & Hay, D. (1992, February). *ADHD in twins and their siblings*. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Society for Research in Child and Adolescent Psychopathology, Sarasota, FL.
- Loeber, R. (1990). Development and risk factors of juvenile antisocial behavior and delinquency. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 10, 1–42.
- Loney, J. (1983). Research diagnostic criteria for childhood hyperactivity. In S. B. Guze, F. J. Earls, & J. E. Barrett (Eds.), *Childhood psychopathology and development* (pp. 109–137). New York: Raven Press.
- Loney, J., Langhorne, J., & Paternite, C. (1978). An empirical basis for subgrouping the hyperkinetic/minimal brain dysfunction syndrome. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 87, 431–444.
- Loney, J., & Milich, R. (1982). Hyperactivity, inattention, and aggression in clinical practice. In D. Routh & M. Wolraich (Eds.), *Advances in developmental and behavioral pediatrics* (Vol. 3, pp. 113–147). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Lou, H. C., Henriksen, L., & Bruhn, P. (1984). Focal cerebral hypoperfusion in children with dysphasia and/or attention deficit disorder. *Archives of Neurology*, 41, 825–829.
- Lou, H. C., Henriksen, L., Bruhn, P., Borner, H., & Nielsen, J. B. (1989). Striatal dysfunction in attention deficit and hyperkinetic disorder. *Archives of Neurology*, 46, 48–52.
- Mann, H. B., & Greenspan, S. I. (1976). The identification and treatment of adult brain dysfunction. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 133, 1013–1017.
- Mannuzza, S., Gittelman-Klein, R., Bessler, A., Malloy, P., & LaPadula, M. (1993). Adult outcome of hyperactive boys: Educational achievement, occupational rank, and psychiatric status. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 50, 565–576.
- Marwitt, S. J., & Stenner, A. J. (1972). Hyperkinesis: Delineation of two patterns. *Exceptional Children*, 38, 401–406.
- Mash, E. J., & Johnston, C. (1982). A comparison of mother-child interactions of younger and older hyperactive and normal children. *Child Development*, 53, 1371–1381.
- Mash, E. J., & Johnston, C. (1983). Sibling interactions of hyperactive and normal children and their relationship to reports of maternal stress and self-esteem. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 12, 91–99.
- Mattes, J. A. (1980). The role of frontal lobe dysfunction in childhood hyperkinesis. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 21, 358–369.
- Maynard, R. (1970, June 29). Omaha pupils given "behavior" drugs. *The Washington Post*.
- McGee, R., Williams, S., Moffitt, T., & Anderson, J. (1989). A comparison of 13-year old boys with attention deficit and/or reading disorder on neuropsychological measures. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 17, 37–53.
- McGee, R., Williams, S., & Silva, P. A. (1984a). Behavioral and developmental characteristics of aggressive, hyperactive, and aggressive-hyperactive boys. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 23, 270–279.
- McGee, R., Williams, S., & Silva, P. A. (1984b). Background characteristics of aggressive, hyperactive, and aggressive-hyperactive boys. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 23, 280–284.
- Meichenbaum, D. (1977). *Cognitive behavior modification: An integrative approach*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Meichenbaum, D. (1988). Cognitive behavioral modification with attention deficit hyperactive children. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 127–140). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Meichenbaum, D., & Goodman, J. (1971). Training impulsive children to talk to themselves: A means of

- developing self-control. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 77, 115–126.
- Mendelson, W., Johnson, N., & Stewart, M. A. (1971). Hyperactive children as teenagers: A follow-up study. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 153, 273–279.
- Menkes, M., Rowe, J., & Menkes, J. (1967). A five-year follow-up study on the hyperactive child with minimal brain dysfunction. *Pediatrics*, 39, 393–399.
- Meyer, E., & Byers, R. K. (1952). Measles encephalitis: A follow-up study of sixteen patients. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, 84, 543–579.
- Milich, R., Ballentine, A. C., & Lynam, D. R. (2001). ADHD/combined type and ADHD/predominantly inattentive type are distinct and unrelated disorders. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 463–488.
- Milich, R., Hartung, C. M., Martin, C. A., & Haigler, E. D. (1994). Behavioral disinhibition and underlying processes in adolescents with disruptive behavior disorders. In D. K. Routh (Ed.), *Disruptive behavior disorders in childhood* (pp. 109–138). New York: Plenum Press.
- Milich, R., & Loney, J. (1979). The role of hyperactive and aggressive symptomatology in predicting adolescent outcome among hyperactive children. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 4, 93–112.
- Milich, R., Pelham, W., & Hinshaw, S. (1985). Issues in the diagnosis of attention deficit disorder: A cautionary note. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin*, 22, 1101–1104.
- Milich, R., Wolraich, M., & Lindgren, S. (1986). Sugar and hyperactivity: A critical review of empirical findings. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 6, 493–513.
- Molitch, M., & Eccles, A. K. (1937). Effect of benzedrine sulphate on intelligence scores of children. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 94, 587–590.
- Morrison, J. R., & Minkoff, K. (1975). Explosive personality as a sequel to the hyperactive child syndrome. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 16, 343–348.
- Morrison, J. R., & Stewart, M. (1973). The psychiatric status of the legal families of adopted hyperactive children. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 28, 888–891.
- MTA Cooperative Group. (1999). A 14-month randomized clinical trial of treatment strategies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 56, 1073–1086.
- Murphy, K. R., & Barkley, R. A. (1996). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 37, 393–401.
- Murphy, K. R., & LeVert, S. (1994). *Out of the fog*. New York: Hyperion.
- Nadeau, K. (1995). *A comprehensive guide to adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder*. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- National Advisory Committee on Hyperkinesia and Food Additives. (1980). [Report]. New York: Nutrition Foundation.
- Nigg, J. T. (2001). Is ADHD an inhibitory disorder? *Psychological Bulletin*, 125, 571–596.
- Nightline. (1988). [Segment on Ritalin controversy]. New York: American Broadcasting Company.
- Offord, D. R., Boyle, M. H., Szatmari, P., Rae-Grant, N., Links, P. S., Cadman, D. T., et al. (1987). Ontario Child Health Study: Six month prevalence of disorder and rates of service utilization. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 44, 832–836.
- O'Leary, K. D. (1981). Assessment of hyperactivity: Observational and rating scale methodologies. In S. A. Miller (Ed.), *Nutrition and behavior* (pp. 291–298). Philadelphia: Franklin Institute Press.
- O'Leary, K. D., Pelham, W. E., Rosenbaum, A., & Price, G. H. (1976). Behavioral treatment of hyperkinetic children: An experimental evaluation of its usefulness. *Clinical Pediatrics*, 15, 510–515.
- Ounsted, C. (1955). The hyperkinetic syndrome in epileptic children. *Lancet*, 53, 303–311.
- Packer, S. (1978). Treatment of minimal brain dysfunction in a young adult. *Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal*, 23, 501–502.
- Parry, P. A., & Douglas, V. I. (1976). *The effects of reward on the performance of hyperactive children*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, McGill University.
- Pasamanick, B., Rogers, M., & Lilienfeld, A. M. (1956). Pregnancy experience and the development of behavior disorder in children. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 112, 613–617.
- Paternite, C., & Loney, J. (1980). Childhood hyperkinesia: Relationships between symptomatology and home environment. In C. K. Whalen & B. Henker (Eds.), *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment* (pp. 105–141). New York: Academic Press.
- Patterson, G. R. (1982). *Coercive family process*. Eugene, OR: Castalia.
- Patterson, G. R. (1986). Performance models for antisocial boys. *American Psychologist*, 41, 432–444.
- Pauls, D. L. (1991). Genetic factors in the expression of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 1, 353–360.
- Pelham, W. E. (1977). Withdrawal of a stimulant drug and concurrent behavior intervention in the treatment of a hyperactive child. *Behavior Therapy*, 8, 473–479.
- Pelham, W. E., Schnedler, R., Bologna, N., & Contreras, A. (1980). Behavioral and stimulant treatment of hyperactive children: A therapy study with methylphenidate probes in a within subject design. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 13, 221–236.
- Pennington, B. F., & Ozonoff, S. (1996). Executive functions and developmental psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 37, 51–87.
- Piffner, L. J., & McBurnett, K. (1997). Social skills training with parent generalization: Treatment effects for children with attention deficit disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 65, 749–757.

- Pfiffner, L. J., & O'Leary, S. G. (1987). The efficacy of all-positive management as a function of the prior use of negative consequences. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 20, 265–271.
- Pliszka, S. R. (1987). Tricyclic antidepressants in the treatment of children with attention deficit disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 26, 127–132.
- Pliszka, S. R. (1998). Comorbidity of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with psychiatric disorder: an overview. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 59(Suppl. 7), 50–58.
- Pontius, A. A. (1973). Dysfunction patterns analogous to frontal lobe system and caudate nucleus syndromes in some groups of minimal brain dysfunction. *Journal of the American Medical Women's Association*, 26, 285–292.
- Prechtel, H., & Stemmer, C. (1962). The choreiform syndrome in children. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 8, 149–159.
- Psychiatrist sued over attention span drug. (1987, November 10). *Investors' Daily*, p. 26.
- Quay, H. C. (1988a). The behavioral reward and inhibition systems in childhood behavior disorder. In L. M. Bloomingtondale (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorder: Vol. 3. New research in treatment, psychopharmacology, and attention* (pp. 176–186). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Quay, H. C. (1988b). Attention deficit disorder and the behavioral inhibition system: The relevance of the neuropsychological theory of Jeffrey A. Gray. In L. M. Bloomingtondale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 117–126). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Quay, H. F. (1997). Inhibition and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 25, 7–14.
- Quitkin, F., & Klein, D. F. (1969). Two behavioral syndromes in young adults related to possible minimal brain dysfunction. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 7, 131–142.
- Rapin, I. (1964). Brain damage in children. In J. Brennemann (Ed.), *Practice of pediatrics* (Vol. 4). Hagerstown, MD: Prior.
- Rapoport, J. L., & Zimetkin, A. (1988). Drug treatment of attention deficit disorder. In L. M. Bloomingtondale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 161–182). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Rhee, S. H., Waldman, I. D., Hay, D. A., & Levy, F. (1995). Sex differences in genetic and environmental influences on DSM-III-R attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Behavior Genetics*, 25, 285.
- Rie, H. E., & Rie, E. D. (Eds.). (1980). *Handbook of minimal brain dysfunction: A critical review*. New York: Wiley.
- Rise in Ritalin use could mean drug abuse. (1987, December 6). *Worcester Telegram and Gazette* [Worcester, MA].
- Ritalin linked to bludgeoning death of teenager. (1988, March 8). *The Call* [Woonsocket, RI], p. 3.
- Roberts, M. A. (1979). *A manual for the Restricted Academic Playroom Situation*. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- Robin, A., & Foster, S. (1989). *Negotiating parent-adolescent conflict*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Rosenthal, R. H., & Allen, T. W. (1978). An examination of attention, arousal, and learning dysfunctions of hyperkinetic children. *Psychological Bulletin*, 85, 689–715.
- Ross, D. M., & Ross, S. A. (1976). *Hyperactivity: Research, theory, and action*. New York: Wiley.
- Ross, D. M., & Ross, S. A. (1982). *Hyperactivity: Current issues, research, and theory*. New York: Wiley.
- Routh, D. K. (1978). Hyperactivity. In P. Magrab (Ed.), *Psychological management of pediatric problems* (pp. 3–48). Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Routh, D. K., & Schroeder, C. S. (1976). Standardized playroom measures as indices of hyperactivity. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 4, 199–207.
- Rutter, M. (1977). Brain damage syndromes in childhood: Concepts and findings. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 18, 1–21.
- Rutter, M. (1982). Syndromes attributable to “minimal brain dysfunction” in childhood. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 139, 21–33.
- Rutter, M. (1983). Introduction: Concepts of brain dysfunction syndromes. In M. Rutter (Ed.), *Developmental neuropsychiatry* (pp. 1–14). New York: Guilford Press.
- Rutter, M. (1988). DSM-III-R: A postscript. In M. Rutter, A. H. Tuma, & I. S. Lann (Eds.), *Assessment and diagnosis in child psychopathology* (pp. 453–464). New York: Guilford Press.
- Rutter, M. (1989). Attention deficit disorder/hyperkinetic syndrome: Conceptual and research issues regarding diagnosis and classification. In T. Sagvolden & T. Archer (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Clinical and basic research* (pp. 1–24). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ryan, N. D. (1990). Heterocyclic antidepressants in children and adolescents. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 1, 21–32.
- Rybak, W. S. (1977). More adult minimal brain dysfunction. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 134, 96–97.
- Safer, D. J., & Allen, R. (1976). *Hyperactive children*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Sagvolden, T., Wultz, B., Moser, E. I., Moser, M., & Morkrid, L. (1989). Results from a comparative neuropsychological research program indicate altered reinforcement mechanisms in children with ADD. In T. Sagvolden & T. Archer (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Clinical and basic research* (pp. 261–286). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Satterfield, J. H., Satterfield, B. T., & Cantwell, D. P. (1981). Three-year multimodality treatment study of 100 hyperactive boys. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 98, 650–655.

- Schachar, R. J. (1986). Hyperkinetic syndrome: Historical development of the concept. In E. A. Taylor (Ed.), *The overactive child* (pp. 19–40). Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- Schachar, R. J., Rutter, M., & Smith, A. (1981). The characteristics of situationally and pervasively hyperactive children: Implications for syndrome definition. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 22, 375–392.
- Schachar, R. J., Tannock, R., & Logan, G. (1993). Inhibitory control, impulsiveness, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 13, 721–739.
- Schrag, P., & Divoky, D. (1975). *The myth of the hyperactive child*. New York: Pantheon.
- Semrud-Clikeman, M., Filipek, P. A., Biederman, J., Steingard, R., Kennedy, D., Renshaw, P., et al. (1994). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Magnetic resonance imaging morphometric analysis of the corpus callosum. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33, 875–881.
- Sergeant, J. (1988). From DSM-III attentional deficit disorder to functional defects. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 183–198). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Sergeant, J., & van der Meere, J. J. (1989). The diagnostic significance of attentional processing: Its significance for ADD + H classification—A future DSM. In T. Sagvolden & T. Archer (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Clinical and basic research* (pp. 151–166). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Sergeant, J., & van der Meere, J. J. (1994). Toward an empirical child psychopathology. In D. K. Routh (Ed.), *Disruptive behavior disorders in children* (pp. 59–86). New York: Plenum Press.
- Shaffer, D. (1994). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 633–638.
- Shalev, R. S., Hartman, C. A., Stavsky, M., & Sergeant, J. A. (1995). Conners Rating Scales of Israeli children. In J. Sergeant (Ed.), *Eunethydis: European approaches to hyperkinetic disorder* (pp. 131–147). Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., Cohen, D. J., & Young, J. G. (1983). Monoaminergic mechanisms in hyperactivity. In M. Rutter (Ed.), *Developmental neuropsychiatry* (pp. 330–347). New York: Guilford Press.
- Shekim, W. O., Asarnow, R. F., Hess, E., Zauha, K., & Wheeler, N. (1990). A clinical and demographic profile of a sample of adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, residual state. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 31, 416–425.
- Shekim, W. O., Glaser, E., Horwitz, E., Javaid, J., & Dylund, D. B. (1988). Psychoeducational correlates of catecholamine metabolites in hyperactive children. In L. M. Bloomingdale (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorder: New research in attention, treatment, and psychopharmacology* (Vol. 3, pp. 149–150). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Shelley, E. M., & Riester, A. (1972). Syndrome of minimal brain damage in young adults. *Diseases of the Nervous System*, 33, 335–339.
- Shelton, T. L., Barkley, R. A., Crosswait, C., Moorehouse, M., Fletcher, K., Barrett, S., et al. (2000). Multimethod psychoeducational intervention for preschool children with disruptive behavior: Two-year post-treatment follow-up. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 28, 253–266.
- Shirley, M. (1939). A behavior syndrome characterizing prematurely born children. *Child Development*, 10, 115–128.
- Silberg, J., Rutter, M., Meyer, J., Maes, H., Hewitt, J., Simonoff, E., et al. (1996). Genetic and environmental influences on the covariation between hyperactivity and conduct disturbance in juvenile twins. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 37, 803–816.
- Skinner, N. (1988, June 22). Dyslexic boy's parents sue school. *Roanoke Gazette* [Roanoke, VA].
- Solomons, G. (1965). The hyperactive child. *Journal of the Iowa Medical Society*, 55, 464–469.
- Spencer, T., Biederman, J., Wilens, T., & Faraone, S. V. (1994). Is attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults a valid disorder? *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 1, 326–335.
- Spencer, T., Wilens, T., Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Ablon, S., & Lapey, K. (1995). A double-blind, crossover comparison of methylphenidate and placebo in adults with childhood onset attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 52, 434–443.
- Spitzer, R. L., Davies, M., & Barkley, R. A. (1990). The DSM-III-R field trial for the disruptive behavior disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29, 690–697.
- Sprague, R. L., Barnes, K. R., & Werry, J. S. (1970). Methylphenidate and thioridazine: Learning, activity, and behavior in emotionally disturbed boys. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 40, 613–628.
- Sroufe, L. A. (1975). Drug treatment of children with behavior problems. In F. Horowitz (Ed.), *Review of child development research* (Vol. 4, pp. 347–408). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Stevenson, J. (1994, June). *Genetics of ADHD*. Paper presented at the Professional Group for ADD and Related Disorders, London.
- Stewart, M. A., deBlois, S., & Cummings, C. (1980). Psychiatric disorder in the parents of hyperactive boys and those with conduct disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 21, 283–292.
- Stewart, M. A. (1970). Hyperactive children. *Scientific American*, 222, 94–98.
- Still, G. F. (1902). Some abnormal psychical conditions in children. *Lancet*, i, 1008–1012, 1077–1082, 1163–1168.
- Strauss, A. A., & Lehtinen, L. E. (1947). *Psychopathology and education of the brain-injured child*. New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Strecker, E., & Ebaugh, F. (1924). Neuropsychiatric

- sequelae of cerebral trauma in children. *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry*, 12, 443–453.
- Stryker, S. (1925). Encephalitis lethargica: The behavior residuals. *Training School Bulletin*, 22, 152–157.
- Swanson, J. M., McBurnett, K., Christian, D. L., & Wigal, T. (1995). Stimulant medications and the treatment of children with ADHD. In T. H. Ollendick & R. J. Prinz (Eds.), *Advances in clinical child psychology* (Vol. 17, pp. 265–322). New York: Plenum Press.
- Swanson, J. M., Wigal, S., Greenhill, L., Browne, R., Waslick, B., Lerner, M., et al. (1998). Analog classroom assessment of Adderall in children with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 37, 519–526.
- Tannock, R. (2000). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with anxiety disorders. In T. E. Brown (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorders and comorbidities in children, adolescents, and adults* (pp. 125–170). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Taylor, E. A. (1983). Drug response and diagnostic validation. In M. Rutter (Ed.), *Developmental neuropsychiatry* (pp. 348–368). New York: Guilford Press.
- Taylor, E. A. (Ed.). (1986). *The overactive child*. Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- Taylor, E. A. (1988). Diagnosis of hyperactivity: A British perspective. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 141–160). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Taylor, E. A. (1989). On the epidemiology of hyperactivity. In T. Sagvolden & T. Archer (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Clinical and basic research* (pp. 31–52). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thapar, A., Hervas, A., & McGuffin, P. (1995). Childhood hyperactivity scores are highly heritable and show sibling competition effects: Twin study evidence. *Behavior Genetics*, 25, 537–544.
- Toone, B. K., & van der Linden, J. H. (1997). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder or hyperkinetic disorder in adults. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 170, 489–491.
- Toufexis, A. (1989, January 16). Worries about overactive kids: Are too many youngsters being misdiagnosed and medicated? *Time*, p. 65.
- Tredgold, A. F. (1908). *Mental deficiency (amentia)*. New York: Wood.
- Trites, R. L. (1979). *Hyperactivity in children: Etiology, measurement, and treatment implications*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Twyman, A. S. (1988, May 4). Use of drug prompts suit. *Newton Graphic* [Newton, MA], p. 28.
- Ullmann, R. K., Sletor, E. K., & Sprague, R. (1984). A new rating scale for diagnosis and monitoring of ADD children. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin*, 20, 160–164.
- van den Oord, E. J. C. G., Verhulst, F. C., & Boomsma, D. I. (1996). A genetic study of maternal and paternal ratings of problem behaviors in 3-year-old twins. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 105, 349–357.
- van der Meere, J., & Sergeant, J. (1988a). Focused attention in pervasively hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 16, 627–640.
- van der Meere, J., & Sergeant, J. (1988b). Controlled processing and vigilance in hyperactivity: Time will tell. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 16, 641–656.
- Vermeersch, S., & Fombonne, E. (1995). Attention and aggressive problems among French school-aged children. In J. Sergeant (Ed.), *Eumethydis: European approaches to hyperkinetic disorder* (pp. 37–49). Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Voelker, S. L., Lachar, D., & Gdowski, C. L. (1983). The Personality Inventory for Children and response to methylphenidate: Preliminary evidence for predictive validity. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 8, 161–169.
- Weiner, J. (1988, May 14). Diagnosis, treatment of ADHD requires skill. *Worcester Telegram and Gazette* [Worcester, MA], p. 14.
- Weiss, G., & Hechtman, L. (1979). The hyperactive child syndrome. *Science*, 205, 1348–1354.
- Weiss, G., & Hechtman, L. (1986). *Hyperactive children grown up*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Weiss, G., & Hechtman, L. (1993). *Hyperactive children grown up* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Weiss, L. (1992). *ADD in adults*. Dallas, TX: Taylor.
- Welner, Z., Welner, A., Stewart, M., Palkes, H., & Wish, E. (1977). A controlled study of siblings of hyperactive children. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 165, 110–117.
- Wender, P. H. (1971). *Minimal brain dysfunction*. New York: Wiley.
- Wender, P. H. (1973). Minimal brain dysfunction in children. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 20, 187–202.
- Wender, P. H. (1995). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Wender, P. H., Reimherr, F. W., & Wood, D. R. (1981). Attention deficit disorder (“minimal brain dysfunction”) in adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 38, 449–456.
- Wender, P. H., Reimherr, F. W., Wood, D. R., & Ward, M. (1985). A controlled study of methylphenidate in the treatment of attention deficit disorder, residual type, in adults. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 142, 547–552.
- Werner, H., & Strauss, A. A. (1941). Pathology of figure-ground relation in the child. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 36, 236–248.
- Werry, J. S. (1988). Differential diagnosis of attention deficits and conduct disorders. In L. M. Bloomingdale & J. A. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, intervention* (pp. 83–96). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Werry, J. S. (1992). History, terminology, and manifestations at different ages. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 1, 297–310.
- Werry, J. S., & Sprague, R. (1970). Hyperactivity. In C.

- G. Costello (Ed.), *Symptoms of psychopathology* (pp. 397–417). New York: Wiley.
- Whalen, C. K., & Henker, B. (1980). *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment*. New York: Academic Press.
- Whalen, C. K., Henker, B., & Dotemoto, S. (1980). Methylphenidate and hyperactivity: Effects on teacher behaviors. *Science*, *208*, 1280–1282.
- Whalen, C. K., Henker, B., & Dotemoto, S. (1981). Teacher response to methylphenidate (Ritalin) versus placebo status of hyperactive boys in the classroom. *Child Development*, *52*, 1005–1014.
- Whalen, C. K., Henker, B., & Hinshaw, S. (1985). Cognitive behavioral therapies for hyperactive children: Premises, problems, and prospects. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *13*, 391–410.
- Wilens, T., Biederman, J., Prince, J., Spencer, T. J., Faraone, S. V., Warburton, R., et al. (1996). Six-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of desipramine for adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *153*, 1147–1153.
- Williams, L. (1988, January 15). Parents and doctors fear growing misuse of drug used to treat hyperactive kids. *The Wall Street Journal*, p. 10.
- Willis, T. J., & Lovaas, I. (1977). A behavioral approach to treating hyperactive children: The parent's role. In J. B. Millichap (Ed.), *Learning disabilities and related disorders* (pp. 119–140). Chicago: Year Book Medical.
- Wolraich, M. L., Wilson, D. B., & White, J. W. (1995). The effect of sugar on behavior or cognition in children: A meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *274*, 1617–1621.
- Wood, D. R., Reimherr, F. W., Wender, P. H., & Johnson, G. E. (1976). Diagnosis and treatment of minimal brain dysfunction in adults: A preliminary report. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *33*, 1453–1460.
- World Health Organization. (1978). *International classification of diseases* (9th rev.). Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- Zametkin, A. J., Nordahl, T. E., Gross, M., King, A. C., Semple, W. E., Rumsey, J., et al. (1990). Cerebral glucose metabolism in adults with hyperactivity of childhood onset. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *323*, 1361–1366.
- Zametkin, A., & Rapoport, J. L. (1986). The pathophysiology of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity: A review. In B. Lahey & A. Kazdin (Eds.), *Advances in clinical child psychology* (Vol. 9, pp. 177–216). New York: Plenum Press.
- Zentall, S. S. (1985). A context for hyperactivity. In K. D. Gadow & I. Bialer (Eds.), *Advances in learning and behavioral disabilities* (Vol. 4, pp. 273–343). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

APPENDIX A.

International Consensus Statement on ADHD

We, the undersigned consortium of international scientists, are deeply concerned about the periodic inaccurate portrayal of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in media reports. This is a disorder with which we are all very familiar and toward which many of us have dedicated scientific studies if not entire careers. We fear that inaccurate stories rendering ADHD as myth, fraud, or benign condition may cause thousands of sufferers not to seek treatment for their disorder. It also leaves the public with a general sense that this disorder is not valid or real or consists of a rather trivial affliction.

We have created this consensus statement on ADHD as a reference on the status of the scientific findings concerning this disorder, its validity, and its adverse impact on the lives of those diagnosed with the disorder as of this writing (January 2002).

Occasional coverage of the disorder casts the story in the form of a sporting event with evenly matched competitors. The views of a handful of nonexpert doctors that ADHD does not exist are contrasted against mainstream scientific views that it does, as if both views had equal merit. Such attempts at balance give the public the impression that there is substantial scientific disagreement over whether ADHD is a real medical condition. In fact, there is no such disagreement—at least no more so than there is over whether smoking causes cancer, for example, or whether a virus causes HIV/AIDS.

The U.S. Surgeon General, the American Medical Association, the American Psychiatric Association, the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, the American Psychological Association, and the American Academy of Pediatrics, among others, all recognize ADHD as a valid disorder. Although some of these organizations have issued guidelines for evaluation and management of the disorder for their membership, this is the first consensus statement

issued by an independent consortium of leading scientists concerning the status of the disorder. Among scientists who have devoted years, if not entire careers, to the study of this disorder there is no controversy regarding its existence.

ADHD and Science

We cannot overemphasize the point that, as a matter of science, the notion that ADHD does not exist is simply wrong. All of the major medical associations and government health agencies recognize ADHD as a genuine disorder because the scientific evidence indicating it is so overwhelming.

Various approaches have been used to establish whether a condition rises to the level of a valid medical or psychiatric disorder. A very useful one stipulates that there must be scientifically established evidence that those suffering the condition have a serious deficiency in or failure of a physical or psychological mechanism that is universal to humans. That is, all humans normally would be expected, regardless of culture, to have developed that mental ability.

And there must be equally incontrovertible scientific evidence that this serious deficiency leads to harm to the individual. Harm is established through evidence of increased mortality, morbidity, or impairment in the major life activities required of one's developmental stage in life. Major life activities are those domains of functioning such as education, social relationships, family functioning, independence and self-sufficiency, and occupational functioning that all humans of that developmental level are expected to perform.

As attested to by the numerous scientists signing this document, there is no question among the world's leading clinical researchers that ADHD involves a serious deficiency in a set of psychological abilities and that these deficiencies pose serious harm to most individuals possessing the disorder. Current evidence indicates that deficits in behavioral inhibition and sustained attention are central to this

Address all correspondence to Russell A. Barkley, PhD, Department of Psychiatry and Neurology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, Massachusetts 01655; e-mail: barkleyr@ummhc.org.

disorder—facts demonstrated through hundreds of scientific studies. And there is no doubt that ADHD leads to impairments in major life activities, including social relations, education, family functioning, occupational functioning, self-sufficiency, and adherence to social rules, norms, and laws. Evidence also indicates that those with ADHD are more prone to physical injury and accidental poisonings. This is why no professional medical, psychological, or scientific organization doubts the existence of ADHD as a legitimate disorder.

The central psychological deficits in those with ADHD have now been linked through numerous studies using various scientific methods to several specific brain regions (the frontal lobe, its connections to the basal ganglia, and their relationship to the central aspects of the cerebellum). Most neurological studies find that as a group those with ADHD have less brain electrical activity and show less reactivity to stimulation in one or more of these regions. And neuro-imaging studies of groups of those with ADHD also demonstrate relatively smaller areas of brain matter and less metabolic activity of this brain matter than is the case in control groups used in these studies.

These same psychological deficits in inhibition and attention have been found in numerous studies of identical and fraternal twins conducted across various countries (US, Great Britain, Norway, Australia, etc.) to be primarily inherited. The genetic contribution to these traits is routinely found to be among the highest for any psychiatric disorder (70–95% of trait variation in the population), nearly approaching the genetic contribution to human height. One gene has recently been reliably demonstrated to be associated with this disorder and the search for more is underway by more than 12 different scientific teams worldwide at this time.

Numerous studies of twins demonstrate that family environment makes no significant separate contribution to these traits. This is not to say that the home environment, parental management abilities, stressful life events, or deviant peer relationships are unimportant or have no influence on individuals having this disorder, as they certainly do. Genetic tendencies are expressed in interaction with the environment. Also, those having ADHD often have other associated disorders and problems, some of which are clearly related to their social environments. But it is to say that the underlying psychological deficits that comprise ADHD itself are not solely or primarily the result of these environmental factors.

This is why leading international scientists, such as the signers below, recognize the mounting evidence of neurological and genetic contributions to this disorder. This evidence, coupled with countless studies on the harm posed by the disorder and hundreds of studies on the effectiveness of medication, buttresses the need in many, though by no means all, cases for management of the disorder with multiple therapies. These include medication combined with educational, family, and other social accommodations. This is in striking contrast to the wholly unscientific views of some social critics in periodic media accounts that ADHD constitutes a fraud, that medicating those afflicted is questionable if not reprehensible, and that any behavior problems associated with ADHD are merely the result of problems in the home, excessive viewing of TV or playing of video games, diet, lack of love and attention, or teacher/school intolerance.

ADHD is not a benign disorder. For those it afflicts, ADHD can cause devastating problems. Follow-up studies of clinical samples suggest that sufferers are far more likely than normal people to drop out of school (32–40%), to rarely complete college (5–10%), to have few or no friends (50–70%), to underperform at work (70–80%), to engage in antisocial activities (40–50%), and to use tobacco or illicit drugs more than normal. Moreover, children growing up with ADHD are more likely to experience teen pregnancy (40%) and sexually transmitted diseases (16%), to speed excessively and have multiple car accidents, to experience depression (20–30%) and personality disorders (18–25%) as adults, and in hundreds of other ways mismanage and endanger their lives.

Yet despite these serious consequences, studies indicate that less than half of those with the disorder are receiving treatment. The media can help substantially to improve these circumstances. It can do so by portraying ADHD and the science about it as accurately and responsibly as possible while not purveying the propaganda of some social critics and fringe doctors whose political agenda would have you and the public believe there is no real disorder here. To publish stories that ADHD is a fictitious disorder or merely a conflict between today's Huckleberry Finns and their caregivers is tantamount to declaring the earth flat, the laws of gravity debatable, and the periodic table in chemistry a fraud. ADHD should be depicted in the media as realistically and accurately as it is depicted in science—as a valid disorder having varied and substantial adverse impact on those who

may suffer from it through no fault of their own or their parents and teachers.

Sincerely,

Russell A. Barkley, PhD
Professor
Departments of Psychiatry and Neurology
University of Massachusetts Medical School
55 Lake Avenue North
Worcester, MA 01655

Edwin H. Cook, Jr, MD
Professor
Departments of Psychiatry and Pediatrics
University of Chicago
5841 S. Maryland Avenue
Chicago, IL

Adele Diamond, PhD
Professor of Psychiatry
Director, Center for Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience
University of Massachusetts Medical School
Shriver Center, Trapelo Road
Waltham, MA

Alan Zametkin, MD
Child Psychiatrist
Kensington, MD

Anita Thapar, MB BCh, MRCPsych, PhD
Professor, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Section
Department of Psychological Medicine
University of Wales College of Medicine
Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN, United Kingdom

Ann Teeter, EdD
Director of Training, School of Psychology
University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee
Milwaukee, WI 53201

Arthur D. Anastopoulos, PhD
Professor, Co-Director of Clinical Training
Department of Psychology
University of North Carolina at Greensboro
P. O. Box 26164
Greensboro, NC 27402-6164

Avi Sadeh, DSc
Director, Clinical Child Psychology Graduate Program
Director, The Laboratory for Children's Sleep Disorders
Department of Psychology
Tel-Aviv University
Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978
Israel

Bennett L. Leventhal, MD
Irving B. Harris
Professor of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
Director, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
Vice Chairman, Department of Psychiatry
The University of Chicago
5841 S. Maryland Ave.
Chicago, IL 60637

Betsy Hoza, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Psychology, #1364
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN 47907-1364

Blythe Corbett, PhD
M.I.N.D. Institute
University of California, Davis
4860 Y Street, Suite 3020
Sacramento, CA 95817

Brooke Molina, PhD
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry and Psychology
Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
3811 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Bruce Pennington, PhD
Professor
Department of Psychology
University of Denver
2155 South Race Street
Denver, CO 80208

Carl E. Paternite, PhD
Professor of Psychology
Miami University
Oxford, OH 45056

Carol Whalen, PhD
Professor
Department of Psychology and Social Behavior
University of California at Irvine
3340 Social Ecology II
Irvine, CA 02215

56 I. THE NATURE OF ADHD

Caryn Carlson, PhD
Professor
Department of Psychology
University of Texas at Austin
Mezes 330
Austin, TX 78712

Charlotte Johnston, PhD
Professor
Department of Psychology
University of British Columbia
2136 West Mall
Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z4

Christopher Gillberg, MD
Professor
Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
University of Gothenburg
Gothenburg, Sweden

Cynthia Hartung, PhD
Assistant Professor
Oklahoma State University
215 North Murray
Stillwater, OK 74078

Daniel A. Waschbusch, PhD
Assistant Professor of Psychology
Director, Child Behaviour Program
Department of Psychology
Dalhousie University
Halifax, Canada NS, B3H 4R1

Daniel F. Connor, MD
Associate Professor
Department of Psychiatry
University of Massachusetts Medical School
55 Lake Avenue North
Worcester, MA 01655

Deborah L. Anderson, PhD
Assistant Professor
Department Pediatrics
Medical University of South Carolina
Charleston, SC 29425

Donald R. Lynam, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Psychology
University of Kentucky
125 Kastle Hall
Lexington, KY 40506-0044

Eric J. Mash, PhD
Professor
Department of Psychology
University of Calgary
2500 University Drive N.W.
Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4

Eric Taylor
Professor of Psychiatry
Institute of Psychiatry
London, England

Erik Willcutt, PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Psychology
Muenzinger Hall D-338
345 UCB
University of Colorado
Boulder, CO 80309

Florence Levy, MD
Associate Professor, School of Psychiatry
University of New South Wales
Avoca Clinic
Joynton Avenue
Zetland, NSW 2017, Australia

Gabrielle Carlson, MD
Professor and Director
Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
State University of New York at Stony Brook
Putnam Hall
Stony Brook, NY 11794

George J. DuPaul, PhD
Professor of School Psychology
Lehigh University
111 Research Drive, Hilltop Campus
Bethlehem, PA 18015

Harold S. Koplewicz, MD
Arnold and Debbie Simon Professor of Child and
Adolescent
Psychiatry and Director of the NYU Child Study
Center, New York 10016

Hector R. Bird, MD
Professor of Clinical Psychiatry
Columbia University
College of Physicians and Surgeons
1051 Riverside Drive (Unit 78)
New York, NY 10032

Herbert Quay, PhD
 Professor Emeritus
 University of Miami
 2525 Gulf of Mexico Drive, #5C
 Long Boat Key, FL 34228

Howard Abikoff, PhD
 Pevaroff Cohn Professor of Child and Adolescent
 Psychiatry, NYU School of Medicine
 Director of Research, NYU Child Study Center
 550 First Avenue
 New York, NY 10016

J. Bart Hodgins, PhD
 Clinical Assistant Professor of Psychology and
 Pediatrics
 Civitan International Research Center
 University of Alabama at Birmingham
 Birmingham, AL 35914

James J. McGough, MD
 Associate Professor of Clinical Psychiatry
 UCLA School of Medicine
 760 Westwood Plaza
 Los Angeles, CA 90024

Jan Loney, PhD
 Professor Emeritus
 State University of New York at Stony Brook
 Lodge Associates (Box 9)
 Mayslick, KY 41055

Jeffrey Halperin, PhD
 Professor, Department of Psychology
 Queens College, CUNY
 65-30 Kissena Avenue
 Flushing, NY 11367

John Piacentini, PhD
 Associate Professor
 Department of Psychiatry
 UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute
 760 Westwood Plaza
 Los Angeles, CA 90024-1759

John S. Werry, MD
 Professor Emeritus
 Department of Psychiatry
 University of Auckland
 Auckland, New Zealand

Jose J. Bauermeister, PhD
 Professor, Department of Psychology
 University of Puerto Rico
 San Juan, PR 00927

Joseph Biederman, MD
 Professor and Chief
 Joint Program in Pediatric Psychopharmacology
 Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard
 Medical School
 15 Parkman Street, WACC725
 Boston, MA 02114

Joseph Sergeant, PhD
 Chair of Clinical Neuropsychology
 Free University
 Van der Boecharst Straat 1
 De Boelenlaan 1109
 1018 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Keith McBurnett, PhD
 Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry
 University of California at San Francisco
 Children's Center at Langley Porter
 401 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0984
 San Francisco, CA 94143

Ken C. Winters, PhD
 Associate Professor and Director, Center for
 Adolescent Substance Abuse Research
 Department of Psychiatry
 University of Minnesota
 F282/2A West, 2450 Riverside Avenue
 Minneapolis, MN 55454

Kevin R. Murphy, PhD
 Associate Professor
 Department of Psychiatry
 University of Massachusetts Medical School
 55 Lake Avenue North
 Worcester, MA 01655

Laurence Greenhill, MD
 Professor of Clinical Psychiatry
 Columbia University
 Director, Research Unit on Pediatric
 Psychopharmacology
 New York State Psychiatric Institute
 1051 Riverside Drive
 New York, NY 10032

Lawrence Lewandowski, PhD
 Meredith Professor of Teaching Excellence
 Department of Psychology
 Syracuse University
 Syracuse, NY

58 I. THE NATURE OF ADHD

Lily Hechtman MD, FRCP
Professor of Psychiatry and Pediatrics, and Director
of Research, Division of Child Psychiatry
McGill University and Montreal Children's Hospital
4018 St. Catherine St. West
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3Z-1P2

Linda Piffner, PhD
Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry
University of California at San Francisco
Children's Center at Langley Porter
401 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0984
San Francisco, CA 94143

Lisa L. Weyandt, PhD
Professor, Department of Psychology
Central Washington University
400 East 8th Avenue
Ellensburg, WA 98926-7575

Marc Atkins, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Psychiatry
Institute for Juvenile Research
University of Illinois at Chicago
840 South Wood Street, Suite 130
Chicago, IL 60612-7347

Margot Prior, PhD
Professor
Department of Psychology
Royal Children's Hospital
Parkville, 3052 VIC
Australia

Mark A. Stein, PhD
Chair of Psychology
Children's National Medical Center
Professor of Psychiatry and Pediatrics
George Washington University Medical School
111 Michigan Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20010

Mark D. Rapport, PhD
Professor and Director of Clinical Training
Department of Psychology
University of Central Florida
P. O. Box 161390
Orlando, Florida 32816-1390

Mariellen Fischer, PhD
Professor, Department of Neurology
Medical College of Wisconsin
9200 W. Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53226

Mary A. Fristad, PhD, ABPP
Professor, Psychiatry and Psychology
Director, Research and Psychological Services
Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
The Ohio State University
1670 Upham Drive Suite 460G
Columbus, OH 43210-1250

Mary Solanto-Gardner, PhD
Associate Professor
Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
The Mt. Sinai Medical Center
One Gustave L. Levy Place
New York, NY 10029-6574

Michael Aman, PhD
Professor of Psychology and Psychiatry
The Nisonger Center
Ohio State University
1581 Dodd Drive
Columbus, OH

Michael Gordon, PhD
Professor of Psychiatry
Director, Child & Adolescent Psychiatric Services,
and Director, ADHD Program
SUNY Upstate Medical University
750 East Adams Street
Syracuse, NY 13210

Michelle DeKlyen, PhD
Office of Population Research
Princeton University
286 Wallace
Princeton, NJ 08544

Mina Dulcan, MD
Professor
Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
2300 Children's Plaza #10
Children's Memorial Hospital
Chicago, IL 60614

Oscar Bukstein, MD
Associate Professor
Department of Psychiatry
Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic
3811 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Patrick H. Tolan, PhD
Director, Institute for Juvenile Research
Professor, Department of Psychiatry
University of Illinois at Chicago
840 S. Wood Street
Chicago, IL 60612

Philip Firestone, PhD
 Professor
 Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry
 University of Ottawa
 120 University Priv.
 Ottawa, Canada K1N 6N5

Richard Milich, PhD
 Professor of Psychology
 Department of Psychology
 University of Kentucky
 Lexington, KY 40506-0044

Rob McGee, PhD
 Associate Professor
 Department of Preventive and Social Medicine
 University of Otago Medical School
 Box 913 Dunedin
 New Zealand

Ronald T. Brown, PhD
 Associate Dean, College of Health Professions
 Professor of Pediatrics
 Medical University of South Carolina
 19 Hagood Avenue, P. O. Box 250822
 Charleston, SC 29425

Rosemary Tannock, PhD
 Brain and Behavior Research
 Hospital for Sick Children
 55 University Avenue
 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X8

Russell Schachar, MD
 Professor of Psychiatry
 Hospital for Sick Children
 555 University Avenue
 Toronto, Ontario
 Canada M5G 1X8

Salvatore Mannuzza, MD
 Research Professor of Psychiatry
 New York University School of Medicine
 550 First Avenue
 New York, NY 10016

Sandra K. Loo, PhD
 Research Psychologist
 University of California, Los Angeles
 Neuropsychiatric Institute
 760 Westwood Plaza, Rm 47-406
 Los Angeles, CA 90024

Sheila Eyberg, PhD, ABPP
 Professor of Clinical & Health Psychology
 University of Florida
 Box 100165
 600 SW Archer Blvd.
 Gainesville, FL 32610

Stephen Houghton, PhD
 Professor of Psychology
 Director, Centre for Attention and Related
 Disorders
 The University of Western Australia
 Perth, Australia

Stephen P. Hinshaw, PhD
 Professor
 Department of Psychology, #1650
 University of California at Berkeley
 3210 Tolman Hall
 Berkeley, CA 94720-1650

Stephen Shapiro, PhD
 Department of Psychology
 Auburn University
 226 Thach
 Auburn, AL 36849-5214

Stephen V. Faraone, PhD
 Associate Professor of Psychology
 Harvard University
 750 Washington Street, Suite 255
 South Easton, MA 02375

Steven R. Pliszka, MD
 Associate Professor and Chief
 Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
 University of Texas Health Sciences Center
 7703 Floyd Curl Drive
 San Antonio, TX 78229-3900

Steven W. Evans, PhD
 Associate Professor of Psychology
 MSC 1902
 James Madison University
 Harrisonburg, VA 22807

Susan Campbell, PhD
 Professor
 Department of Psychology
 4015 O'Hara Street
 University of Pittsburgh
 Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Terje Sagvolden, PhD
Professor

Department of Physiology
University of Oslo
N-0316 Oslo, Norway

Terri L. Shelton, PhD
Director

Center for the Study of Social Issues
University of North Carolina – Greensboro
Greensboro, NC 27402

Thomas E. Brown, PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Psychiatry
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven, CT

Thomas Joiner, PhD
The Bright–Burton Professor of Psychology
Florida State University
Tallahassee, FL 32306-1270

Thomas M. Lock, MD
Associate Professor of Clinical Pediatrics
Acting Chief, Division of Developmental Pediatrics
and Rehabilitation
Acting Director, Robert Warner Rehabilitation
Center
State University of New York at Buffalo School of
Medicine and Biomedical Sciences
936 Delaware Ave.
Buffalo, NY 14209

Thomas Spencer, MD
Associate Professor and Assistant Director,
Pediatric Psychopharmacology
Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts General
Hospital
15 Parkman Street, WACC725
Boston, MA 02114

William Pelham, Jr, PhD
Professor of Psychology
Center for Children and Families
State University of New York at Buffalo
318 Diefendorf Hall, 3435 Main Street, Building 20
Buffalo, NY 14214

CONSENSUS STATEMENT—SUPPORTING REFERENCES

- Accardo, P. J., Blondis, T. A., Whitman, B. Y., & Stein, M. A. (2000). *Attention deficits and hyperactivity in children and adults*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Achenbach, T. M. (1991). *Manual for the revised child behavior profile and child behavior checklist*. Burlington, VT: Author.
- Achenbach, T. M., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1983). *Manual for the child behavior profile and child behavior checklist*. Burlington, VT: Achenbach (author).
- Achenbach, T. M., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1987). Empirically based assessment of the behavioral/emotional problems of 2- and 3-year-old children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *15*, 629–650.
- Achenbach, T. M., McConaughy, S. H., & Howell, C. T. (1987). Child/adolescent behavioral and emotional problems: Implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. *Psychological Bulletin*, *101*, 213–232.
- Altepeter, T. S., & Breen, M. J. (1992). Situational variation in problem behavior at home and school in attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity: A factor analytic study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *33*, 741–748.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1968). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1980). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (3rd ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1987). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (3rd ed., Rev.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Anderson, C. A., Hinshaw, S. P., & Simmel, C. (1994). Mother–child interactions in ADHD and comparison boys: Relationships with overt and covert externalizing behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *22*, 247–265.
- Angold, A., Costello, E. J., & Erkanli, A. (1999). Comorbidity. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *40*, 57–88.
- Antrop, I., Roeyers, H., Van Oost, P., & Buysse, A. (2000). Stimulant seeking and hyperactivity in children with ADHD. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *41*, 225–231.
- Applegate, B., Lahey, B. B., Hart, E. L., Waldman, I., Biederman, J., Hynd, G. W., et al. (1997). Validity of the age-of-onset criterion for ADHD: A report of the DSM-IV field trials. *Journal of American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 1211–1221.
- Aronen, E. T., Paaavonen, J., Fjallberg, M., Soininen, M., Torronen, J. (2000). Sleep and psychiatric symptoms in school-age children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 502–508.
- August, G. J., & Stewart, M. A. (1983). Family subtypes of childhood hyperactivity. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *171*, 362–368.
- August, G. J., Stewart, M. A., & Holmes, C. S. (1983). A four-year follow-up of hyperactive boys with and without conduct disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *143*, 192–198.
- Aylward, E. H., Reiss, A. L., Reader, M. J., Singer, H. S., Brown, J. E., & Denckla, M. B. (1996). Basal ganglia volumes in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child Neurology*, *11*, 112–115.
- Ball, J. D., & Koloian, B. (1995). Sleep patterns among ADHD children. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *15*, 681–691.
- Ball, J. D., Tiernan, M., Janusz, J., & Furr, A. (1997). Sleep patterns among children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A reexamination of parent perceptions. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *22*, 389–398.
- Baloh, R., Sturm, R., Green, B., & Gleser, G. (1975). Neuropsychological effects of chronic asymptomatic increased lead absorption. *Archives of Neurology*, *32*, 326–330.
- Barkley, R. A. (1985). The social interactions of hyperactive children: Developmental changes, drug effects, and situational variation. In R. McMahon & R. Peters (Eds.), *Childhood*

- disorders: Behavioral–developmental approaches (pp. 218–243). New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Barkley, R. A. (1988). The effects of methylphenidate on the interactions of preschool ADHD children with their mothers. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27, 336–341.
- Barkley, R. A. (1989a). The problem of stimulus control and rule-governed behavior in children with attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. In J. Swanson & L. Bloomingdale (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorders* (pp. 203–234). New York: Pergamon.
- Barkley, R. A. (1989b). Hyperactive girls and boys: Stimulant drug effects on mother–child interactions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 30, 379–390.
- Barkley, R. A. (1990). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment*. New York: Guilford.
- Barkley, R. A. (1994). Impaired delayed responding: A unified theory of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. In D. K. Routh (Ed.), *Disruptive behavior disorders: Essays in honor of Herbert Quay* (pp. 11–57). New York: Plenum.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997a). Behavioral inhibition sustained, attention, and executive functions: Constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. *Psychological Bulletin*, 121, 65–94.
- Barkley, R. A. (1997b). *ADHD and the nature of self-control*. New York: Guilford.
- Barkley, R. A. (1998). *Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford.
- Barkley, R. A. (1999a). Response inhibition in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 5, 177–184.
- Barkley, R. A. (1999b). Theories of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. In H. Quay & A. Hogan (Eds.), *Handbook of disruptive behavior disorders* (pp. 295–316). New York: Plenum.
- Barkley, R. A. (2001a). The inattentive type of ADHD as a distinct disorder: What remains to be done. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 489–493.
- Barkley, R. A. (2001b). Genetics of childhood disorders: XVII. ADHD, Part I: The executive functions and ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 1064–1068.
- Barkley, R. A. (2001c). The executive functions and self-regulation: An evolutionary neuropsychological perspective. *Neuropsychology Review*, 11, 1–29.
- Barkley, R. A., Anastopoulos, A. D., Guevremont, D. G., & Fletcher, K. F. (1991). Adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Patterns of behavioral adjustment, academic functioning, and treatment utilization. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 30, 752–761.
- Barkley, R. A., Anastopoulos, A. D., Guevremont, D. G., & Fletcher, K. F. (1992). Adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Mother–adolescent interactions, family beliefs and conflicts, and maternal psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 20, 263–288.
- Barkley, R. A., & Biederman, J. (1997). Towards a broader definition of the age of onset criterion for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 1204–1210.
- Barkley, R. A., & Cunningham, C. E. (1979a). Stimulant drugs and activity level in hyperactive children. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 49, 491–499.
- Barkley, R. A., & Cunningham, C. E. (1979b). The effects of methylphenidate on the mother–child interactions of hyperactive children. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 36, 201–208.
- Barkley, R., Cunningham, C., & Karlsson, J. (1983). The speech of hyperactive children and their mothers: Comparisons with normal children and stimulant drug effects. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 16, 105–110.
- Barkley, R. A., DuPaul, G. J., & McMurray, M. B. (1990). A comprehensive evaluation of attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 58, 775–789.
- Barkley, R. A., & Edelbrock, C. S. (1987). Assessing situational variation in children's behavior problems: The Home and School Situations Questionnaires. In R. Prinz (Ed.), *Advances in behavioral assessment of children and families* (Vol. 3, pp. 157–176). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Barkley, R. A., Edwards, G., Laneri, M., Fletcher, K., & Metevia, L. (2001). Executive functioning, temporal discounting, and sense of time in adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and oppositional defiant disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 29, 541–556.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Edelbrock, C. S., & Smallish, L. (1990). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: I. An 8 year prospective follow-up study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29, 546–557.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Edelbrock, C. S., & Smallish, L. (1991). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: III. Mother–child interactions, family conflicts, and maternal psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 32, 233–256.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Fletcher, K., & Smallish, L. (in press). Persistence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder into adulthood as a function of reporting source and definition of disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*.
- Barkley, R. A., Fischer, M., Smallish, L., & Fletcher, K. (in press). Does the treatment of ADHD with stimulant medication contribute to illicit drug use and abuse in adulthood? Results from a 15-year prospective study. *Pediatrics*.
- Barkley, R. A., Grodzinsky, G., & DuPaul, G. (1992). Frontal lobe functions in attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: A review and research report. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 20, 163–188.
- Barkley, R. A., Guevremont, D. G., Anastopoulos, A. D., DuPaul, G. J., & Shelton, T. L. (1993). Driving-related risks and outcomes of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adolescents and young adults: A 3–5 year follow-up survey. *Pediatrics*, 92, 212–218.
- Barkley, R. A., Karlsson, J., & Pollard, S. (1985). Effects of age on the mother–child interactions of hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 13, 631–638.
- Barkley, R. A., Karlsson, J., Pollard, S., & Murphy, J. V. (1985). Developmental changes in the mother–child interactions of hyperactive boys: Effects of two dose levels of Ritalin. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 26, 705–715.
- Barkley, R. A., Licho, R., McGough, J. J., Tuite, P., Feifel, D., Mishkin, F., et al. (2002). *Excessive dopamine transporter density in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder assessed by SPECT with [¹²³I] altopane*. University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA.
- Barkley, R. A., Murphy, K. R., & Bush, T. (2001). Time perception and reproduction in young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Neuropsychology*, 15, 351–360.
- Barkley, R. A., Murphy, K. R., DuPaul, G. R., & Bush, T. (in press). Driving in young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Knowledge, performance, adverse outcomes and the role of executive functions. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*.
- Barkley, R. A., Murphy, K. R., & Kwasnik, D. (1996a). Psychological functioning and adaptive impairments in young adults with ADHD. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 1, 41–54.
- Barkley, R. A., Murphy, K. R., & Kwasnik, D. (1996b). Motor vehicle driving competencies and risks in teens and young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics*, 98, 1089–1095.

- Barkley, R. A., Shelton, T. L., Crosswait, C., Moorehouse, M., Fletcher, K., Barrett, S., et al. (in press). Preschool children with high levels of disruptive behavior: Three-year outcomes as a function of adaptive disability. *Development and Psychopathology*, 14, 45–68.
- Bate, A. J., Mathias, J. L., & Crawford, J. R. (2001). Performance of the Test of Everyday Attention and standard tests of attention following severe traumatic brain injury. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 15, 405–422.
- Baumgaertel, A., Wolraich, M. L., & Dietrich, M. (1995). Comparison of diagnostic criteria for attention deficit disorders in a German elementary school sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 34, 629–638.
- Baving, L., Laucht, M., & Schmidt, M. H. (1999). A typical frontal brain activation in ADHD: Preschool and elementary school boys and girls. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38, 1363–1371.
- Bayliss, D. M., & Roodenrys, S. (2000). Executive processing and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: An application of the supervisory attentional system. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 17, 161–180.
- Beauchaine, T. P., Katkin, E. S., Strassberg, Z., & Snarr, J. (2001). Disinhibitory psychopathology in male adolescents: Discriminating conduct disorder from attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder through concurrent assessment of multiple autonomic states. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 110, 610–624.
- Befera, M., & Barkley, R. A. (1984). Hyperactive and normal girls and boys: Mother-child interactions, parent psychiatric status, and child psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 26, 439–452.
- Beiser, M., Dion, R., & Gotowiec, A. (2000). The structure of attention-deficit and hyperactivity symptoms among native and non-native elementary school children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 28, 425–537.
- Beitchman, J. H., Wekerle, C., & Hood, J. (1987). Diagnostic continuity from preschool to middle childhood. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 26, 694–699.
- Bennett, L. A., Wolin, S. J., & Reiss, D. (1988). Cognitive, behavioral, and emotional problems among school-age children of alcoholic parents. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 145, 185–190.
- Benton, A. (1991). Prefrontal injury and behavior in children. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 7, 275–282.
- Berk, L. E., & Potts, M. K. (1991). Development and functional significance of private speech among attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and normal boys. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 19, 357–377.
- Bhatia, M. S., Nigam, V. R., Bohra, N., & Malik, S. C. (1991). Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity among paediatric outpatients. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 32, 297–306.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Keenan, K., & Tsuang, M. T. (1991). Evidence of a familial association between attention deficit disorder and major affective disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 48, 633–642.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., & Lapey, K. (1992). Comorbidity of diagnosis in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. In G. Weiss (Ed.), *Child and adolescent psychiatric clinics of North America: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder* (pp. 335–360). Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Mick, E., Spencer, T., Wilens, T., Kiely, K., et al. (1995). High risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children of parents with childhood onset of the disorder: A pilot study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, 431–435.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Mick, E., Williamson, S., Wilens, T. E., Spencer, T. J., et al. (1999). Clinical correlates of ADHD in females: Findings from a large group of girls ascertained from pediatric and psychiatric referral sources. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38, 966–975.
- Biederman, J., Faraone, S., Milberger, S., Curtis, S., Chen, L., Marrs, A., et al. (1996). Predictors of persistence and remission of ADHD into adolescence: Results from a four-year prospective follow-up study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 343–351.
- Biederman, J., Keenan, K., & Faraone, S. V. (1990). Parent-based diagnosis of attention deficit disorder predicts a diagnosis based on teacher report. *American Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29, 698–701.
- Biederman, J., Milberger, S., Faraone, S. V., Guite, J., & Warburton, R. (1994). Associations between childhood asthma and ADHD: Issues of psychiatric comorbidity and familiarity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33, 842–848.
- Biederman, J., Newcorn, J., & Sprich, S. (1991). Comorbidity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with conduct, depressive, anxiety, and other disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 148, 564–577.
- Biederman, J., Wilens, T., Mick, E., Spencer, T., & Faraone, S. V. (1999). Pharmacotherapy of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder reduces risk for substance use disorder. *Pediatrics*, 104–109.
- Biederman, J., Wozniak, J., Kiely, K., Ablon, S., Faraone, S., Mick, E., et al. (1995). CBCL clinical scales discriminate prepubertal children with structured-interview-derived diagnosis of mania from those with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 34, 464–471.
- Bijur, P., Golding, J., Haslum, M., & Kurzon, M. (1988). Behavioral predictors of injury in school-age children. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, 142, 1307–1312.
- Borger, N., & van der Meere, J. (2000). Visual behaviour of ADHD children during an attention test: An almost forgotten variable. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 41, 525–532.
- Braaten, E. B., & Rosen, L. A. (2000). Self-regulation of affect in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and non-ADHD boys: Differences in empathic responding. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 313–321.
- Breen, M. J. (1989). Cognitive and behavioral differences in ADHD boys and girls. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 30, 711–716.
- Breslau, N., Brown, G. G., DelDotto, J. E., Kumar, S., Exhuthachan, S., Andreski, P., et al. (1996). Psychiatric sequelae of low birth weight at 6 years of age. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 24, 385–400.
- Breton, J., Bergeron, L., Valla, J. P., Berthiaume, C., Gaudet, N., Lambert, J., et al. (1999). Quebec children mental health survey: Prevalence of DSM-III-R mental health disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 40, 375–384.
- Briggs-Gowan, M. J., Horwitz, S. M., Schwab-Stone, M. E., Leventhal, J. M., & Leaf, P. J. (2000). Mental health in pediatric settings: Distribution of disorders and factors related to service use. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 841–849.
- Bu-Haroon, A., Eapen, V., & Bener, A. (1999). The prevalence of hyperactivity symptoms in the United Arab Emirates. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 53, 439–442.
- Buhrmester, D., Camparo, L., Christensen, A., Gonzalez, L. S., & Hinshaw, S. P. (1992). Mothers and fathers interacting in dyads and triads with normal and hyperactive sons. *Developmental Psychology*, 28, 500–509.
- Burke, J. D., Loeber, R., & Lahey, B. B. (2001). Which aspects of ADHD are associated with tobacco use in early adolescence? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 42, 493–502.
- Burks, H. (1960). The hyperkinetic child. *Exceptional Children*, 27, 18.

- Burns, G. L., Boe, B., Walsh, J. A., Sommers-Flannagan, R., & Teegarden, L. A. (2001). A confirmatory factor analysis on the DSM-IV ADHD and ODD symptoms: What is the best model for the organization of these symptoms? *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *29*, 339–349.
- Burns, G. L., & Walsh, J. A. (in press). The influence of ADHD-hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms on the development of oppositional defiant disorder symptoms in a two-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*.
- Burt, S. A., Krueger, R. F., McGue, M., & Iacono, W. G. (2001). Sources of covariation among attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder: The importance of shared environment. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *110*, 516–525.
- Cadesky, E. B., Mota, V. L., & Schachar, R. J. (2000). Beyond words: How do children with ADHD and/or conduct problems process nonverbal information about affect? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 1160–1167.
- Cadoret, R. J., & Stewart, M. A. (1991). An adoption study of attention deficit/hyperactivity/aggression and their relationship to adult antisocial personality. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *32*, 73–82.
- Campbell, S. B. (1990). *Behavior problems in preschool children*. New York: Guilford.
- Campbell, S. B., March, C. L., Pierce, E. W., Ewing, L. J., & Szumowski, E. K. (1991). Hard-to-manage preschool boys: Family context and the stability of externalizing behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *19*, 301–318.
- Campbell, S. B., Schleifer, M., & Weiss, G. (1978). Continuities in maternal reports and child behaviors over time in hyperactive and comparison groups. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *6*, 33–45.
- Campbell, S. B., Szumowski, E. K., Ewing, L. J., Gluck, D. S., & Breaux, A. M. (1982). A multidimensional assessment of parent-identified behavior problem toddlers. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *10*, 569–592.
- Cantwell, D. (1975). *The hyperactive child*. New York: Spectrum.
- Cantwell, D. P., & Baker, L. (1992). Association between attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and learning disorders. In S. E. Shaywitz & B. A. Shaywitz (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder comes of age: Toward the twenty-first century* (pp. 145–164). Austin, TX: Pro-ed.
- Carlson, C. L., Lahey, B. B., & Neeper, R. (1986). Direct assessment of the cognitive correlates of attention deficit disorders with and without hyperactivity. *Journal of Behavioral Assessment and Psychopathology*, *8*, 69–86.
- Carlson, C. L., & Mann, M. (in press). *Sluggish cognitive tempo predicts a different pattern of impairment in the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Predominantly Inattentive Type*. University of Texas at Austin.
- Carlson, C. L., & Tamm, L. (2000). Responsiveness of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder to reward and response cost: Differential impact on performance and motivation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *68*, 73–83.
- Carlson, C. L., Tamm, L., & Gaub, M. (1997). Gender differences in children with ADHD, ODD, and co-occurring ADHD/ODD identified in a school population. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 1706–1714.
- Carlson, E. A., Jacobvitz, D., & Sroufe, L. A. (1995). A developmental investigation of inattentiveness and hyperactivity. *Child Development*, *66*, 37–54.
- Carlson, G. A. (1990). Child and adolescent mania—diagnostic considerations. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *31*, 331–342.
- Carte, E. T., Nigg, J. T., & Hinshaw, S. P. (1996). Neuropsychological functioning, motor speed, and language processing in boys with and without ADHD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *24*, 481–498.
- Casey, B. J., Castellanos, F. X., Giedd, J. N., Marsh, W. L., Hamburger, S. D., Schubert, A. B., et al. (1997). Implication of right frontostriatal circuitry in response inhibition and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 374–383.
- Casey, J. E., Rourke, B. P., & Del Dotto, J. E. (1996). Learning disabilities in children with attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity. *Child Neuropsychology*, *2*, 83–98.
- Casey, R. J. (1996). Emotional competence in children with externalizing and internalizing disorders. In M. Lewis & M. W. Sullivan (Eds.), *Emotional development in atypical children* (pp. 161–183). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Castellanos, F. X., Giedd, J. N., Eckburg, P., Marsh, W. L., Vaituzis, C., Kaysen, D., et al. (1994). Quantitative morphology of the caudate nucleus in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *151*, 1791–1796.
- Castellanos, F. X., Giedd, J. N., Marsh, W. L., Hamburger, S. D., Vaituzis, A. C., Dickstein, D. P., et al. (1996). Quantitative brain magnetic resonance imaging in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *53*, 607–616.
- Castellanos, F. X., Marvasti, F. F., Ducharme, J. L., Walter, J. M., Israel, M. E., Krain, A., et al. (2000). Executive function oculomotor tasks in girls with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 644–650.
- Chadwick, O., Taylor, E., Taylor, A., Heptinstall, E., & Danckaerts, M. (1999). Hyperactivity and reading disability: A longitudinal study of the nature of the association. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *40*, 1039–1050.
- Chang, H. T., Klorman, R., Shaywitz, S. E., Fletcher, J. M., Marchione, K. E., Holahan, J. M., et al. (1999). Paired-associate learning in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder as a function of hyperactivity-impulsivity and oppositional defiant disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *27*, 237–245.
- Chess, S. (1960). Diagnosis and treatment of the hyperactive child. *New York State Journal of Medicine*, *60*, 2379–2385.
- Chilcoat, H. D., & Breslau, N. (1999). Pathways from ADHD to early drug use. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *38*, 1347–1354.
- Chabot, R. J., & Serfontein, G. (1996). Quantitative electroencephalographic profiles of children with attention deficit disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *40*, 951–963.
- Chelune, G. J., Ferguson, W., Koon, R., & Dickey, T. O. (1986). Frontal lobe disinhibition in attention deficit disorder. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, *16*, 221–234.
- Clark, C., Prior, M., & Kinsella, G. J. (2000). Do executive function deficits differentiate between adolescents with ADHD and oppositional defiant/conduct disorder? A neuropsychological study using the Six Elements Test and Hayling Sentence Completion Test. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *28*, 405–414.
- Clark, M. L., Cheyne, J. A., Cunningham, C. E., & Siegel, L. S. (1988). Dyadic peer interaction and task orientation in attention-deficit-disordered children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *16*, 1–15.
- Claude, D., & Firestone, P. (1995). The development of ADHD boys: A 12-year follow-up. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, *27*, 226–249.
- Cohen, N. J., & Minde, K. (1983). The “hyperactive syndrome” in kindergarten children: Comparison of children with pervasive and situational symptoms. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *24*, 443–455.
- Cohen, N. J., Sullivan, J., Minde, K., Novak, C., & Keens, S. (1983). Mother-child interaction in hyperactive and normal kindergarten-aged children and the effect of treatment. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, *13*, 213–224.
- Cohen, N. J., Vallance, D. D., Barwick, M., Im, N., Menna, R., Horodezky, N. B., et al. (2000). The interface between

- ADHD and language impairment: An examination of language, achievement, and cognitive processing. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *41*, 353–362.
- Comings, D. E. (2000). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with Tourette Syndrome. In T. E. Brown (Ed.), *Attention-deficit disorders and comorbidities in children, adolescents, and adults* (pp. 363–392). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Comings, D. E., Comings, B. G., Muhleman, D., Dietz, G., Shahbahrani, B., Tast, D., et al. (1991). The dopamine D₂ receptor locus as a modifying gene in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *266*, 1793–1800.
- Conners, C. K., & Wells, K. (1986). *Hyperactive children: A neuropsychological approach*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Conners, D. K. (1998). Other medications in the treatment of child and adolescent ADHD. In R. A. Barkley (Ed.), *Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (pp. 564–581). New York: Guilford.
- Cook, E. H., Stein, M. A., Krasowski, M. D., Cox, N. J., Olkon, D. M., Kieffer, J. E., & Leventhal, B. L. (1995). Association of attention deficit disorder and the dopamine transporter gene. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *56*, 993–998.
- Cook, E. H., Stein, M. A., & Leventhal, D. L. (1997). Family-based association of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and the dopamine transporter. In K. Blum (Ed.), *Handbook of Psychiatric Genetics* (pp. 297–310). New York: CRC Press.
- Coolidge, F. L., Thede, L. L., & Young, S. E. (2000). Heritability and the comorbidity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with behavioral disorders and executive function deficits: A preliminary investigation. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, *17*, 273–287.
- Corkum, P., Moldofsky, H., Hogg-Johnson, S., Humphries, T., & Tannock, R. (1999). Sleep problems in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Impact of subtype, comorbidity, and stimulant medication. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *38*, 1285–1293.
- Costello, E. J., Loeber, R., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (1991). Pervasive and situational hyperactivity—Confounding effect of informant: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *32*, 367–376.
- Cruikshank, B. M., Eliason, M., & Merrifield, B. (1988). Long-term sequelae of water near-drowning. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *13*, 379–388.
- Crystal, D. S., Ostrander, R., Chen, R. S., & August, G. J. (2001). Multimethod assessment of psychopathology among DSM-IV subtypes of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Self-, parent, and teacher reports. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *29*, 189–205.
- Cuffe, S. P., McKeown, R. E., Jackson, K. L., Addy, C. L., Abramson, R., & Garrison, C. Z. (2001). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a community sample of older adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *40*, 1037–1044.
- Cunningham, C. E., Benness, B. B., & Siegel, L. S. (1988). Family functioning, time allocation, and parental depression in the families of normal and ADHD children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, *17*, 169–177.
- Cunningham, C. E., & Siegel, L. S. (1987). Peer interactions of normal and attention-deficit disordered boys during free-play, cooperative task, and simulated classroom situations. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *15*, 247–268.
- Cunningham, C. E., Siegel, L. S., & Offord, D. R. (1985). A developmental dose response analysis of the effects of methylphenidate on the peer interactions of attention deficit disordered boys. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *26*, 955–971.
- Dane, A. V., Schachar, R. J., & Tannock, R. (2000). Does actigraphy differentiate ADHD subtypes in a clinical research setting? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 752–760.
- Danforth, J. S., Barkley, R. A., & Stokes, T. F. (1991). Observations of parent-child interactions with hyperactive children: Research and clinical implications. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *11*, 703–727.
- Daugherty, T. K., & Quay, H. C. (1991). Response perseveration and delayed responding in childhood behavior disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *32*, 453–461.
- David, O. J. (1974). Association between lower level lead concentrations and hyperactivity. *Environmental Health Perspective*, *7*, 17–25.
- de la Burde, B., & Choate, M. (1972). Does asymptomatic lead exposure in children have latent sequelae? *Journal of Pediatrics*, *81*, 1088–1091.
- de la Burde, B., & Choate, M. (1974). Early asymptomatic lead exposure and development at school age. *Journal of Pediatrics*, *87*, 638–642.
- Demaray, M. K., & Elliot, S. N. (2001). Perceived social support by children with characteristics of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *School Psychology Quarterly*, *16*, 68–90.
- Demb, H. B. (1991). Use of Ritalin in the treatment of children with mental retardation. In L. L. Greenhill & B. B. Osmon (Eds.), *Ritalin: Theory and patient management* (pp. 155–170). New York: Mary Ann Liebert.
- Denckla, M. B. (1994). Measurement of executive function. In G. R. Lyon (Ed.), *Frames of reference for the assessment of learning disabilities: New views on measurement issues* (pp. 117–142). Baltimore: Brookes.
- Denckla, M. B., & Rudel, R. G. (1978). Anomalies of motor development in hyperactive boys. *Annals of Neurology*, *3*, 231–233.
- Denckla, M. B., Rudel, R. G., Chapman, C., & Krieger, J. (1985). Motor proficiency in dyslexic children with and without attentional disorders. *Archives of Neurology*, *42*, 228–231.
- Denson, R., Nanson, J. L., & McWatters, M. A. (1975). Hyperkinesis and maternal smoking. *Canadian Psychiatric Association Journal*, *20*, 183–187.
- Dolphin, J. E., & Cruickshank, W. M. (1951). Pathology of concept formation in children with cerebral palsy. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency*, *56*, 386–392.
- Douglas, V. I. (1972). Stop, look, and listen: The problem of sustained attention and impulse control in hyperactive and normal children. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, *4*, 259–282.
- Douglas, V. I. (1980). Higher mental processes in hyperactive children: Implications for training. In R. Knights & D. Bakker (Eds.), *Treatment of hyperactive and learning disordered children* (pp. 65–92). Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Douglas, V. I. (1983). Attention and cognitive problems. In M. Rutter (Ed.), *Developmental neuropsychiatry* (pp. 280–329). New York: Guilford.
- Douglas, V. I. (1999). Cognitive control processes in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. In H. C. Quay & A. Horgan (Eds.), *Handbook of disruptive behavior disorders* (pp. 105–138). New York: Plenum.
- Douglas, V. I., & Parry, P. A. (1983). Effects of reward on delayed reaction time task performance of hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *11*, 313–326.
- Douglas, V. I., & Parry, P. A. (1994). Effects of reward and non-reward on attention and frustration in attention deficit disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *22*, 281–302.
- Douglas, V. I., & Peters, K. G. (1978). Toward a clearer definition of the attentional deficit of hyperactive children. In G. A. Hale & M. Lewis (Eds.), *Attention and the development of cognitive skills* (pp. 173–248). New York: Plenum.
- Dougherty, D. D., Bonab, A. A., Spencer, T. J., Rauch, S. L., Madras, B. K., & Fischman, A. J. (1999). Dopamine transporter density in patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Lancet*, *354*, 2132–2133.

- Doyle, A. E., Faraone, S. V., DuPre, E. P., & Biederman, J. (2001). Separating attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disabilities in girls: A familial risk analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *158*, 1666–1672.
- Draeger, S., Prior, M., & Sanson, A. (1986). Visual and auditory attention performance in hyperactive children: Competence or compliance. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *14*, 411–424.
- DuPaul, G. J. (1991). Parent and teacher ratings of ADHD symptoms: Psychometric properties in a community-based sample. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, *20*, 245–253.
- DuPaul, G. J., & Barkley, R. A. (1992). Situational variability of attention problems: Psychometric properties of the Revised Home and School Situations Questionnaires. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, *21*, 178–188.
- DuPaul, G. J., Barkley, R. A., & Connor, D. F. (1998). Stimulants. In R. A. Barkley (Ed.), *Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A handbook for diagnosis and treatment* (pp. 510–551). New York: Guilford.
- DuPaul, G. J., McGoey, K. E., Eckert, T. L., & VanBrakle, J. (2001). Preschool children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Impairments in behavioral, social, and school functioning. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *40*, 508–515.
- DuPaul, G. J., Power, T. J., Anastopoulos, A. D., & Reid, R. (1999). *The ADHD Rating Scale-IV: Checklists, norms, and clinical interpretation*. New York: Guilford.
- Ebaugh, F. G. (1923). Neuropsychiatric sequelae of acute epidemic encephalitis in children. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, *25*, 89–97.
- Edelbrock, C. S., Costello, A., & Kessler, M. D. (1984). Empirical corroboration of attention deficit disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *23*, 285–290.
- Edwards, F., Barkley, R., Laneri, M., Fletcher, K., & Metevia, L. (2001). Parent-adolescent conflict in teenagers with ADHD and ODD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *29*, 557–572.
- Elia, J., Gullotta, C., Rose, J. R., et al. (1994). Thyroid function in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *33*, 169–172.
- Epstein, J. N., Goldberg, N. A., Conners, C. K., & March, J. S. (1997). The effects of anxiety on continuous performance test functioning in an ADHD clinic sample. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, *2*, 45–52.
- Erhardt, D., & Hinshaw, S. P. (1994). Initial sociometric impressions of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and comparison boys: Predictions from social behaviors and from nonbehavioral variables. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *62*, 833–842.
- Ernst, M., Cohen, R. M., Liebenauer, L. L., Jons, P. H., & Zametkin, A. J. (1997). Cerebral glucose metabolism in adolescent girls with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 1399–1406.
- Ernst, M., Liebenauer, L. L., King, A. C., Fitzgerald, G. A., Cohen, R. M., & Zametkin, A. J. (1994). Reduced brain metabolism in hyperactive girls. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *33*, 858–868.
- Ernst, M., Zametkin, A. J., Matochik, J. A., Pascualvaca, D., Jons, P. H., & Cohen, R. M. (1999). High midbrain [¹⁸F]DOPA accumulation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*, 1209–1215.
- Fallone, G., Acebo, C., Arnedt, J. T., Seifer, R., Carskadon, M. A. (2001). Effects of acute sleep restriction on behavior, sustained attention, and response inhibition in children. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, *93*, 213–229.
- Faraone, S. V., & Biederman, J. (1997). Do attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and major depression share familial risk factors? *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *185*, 533–541.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Chen, W. J., Krifcher, B., Keenan, K., Moore, C., et al. (1992). Segregation analysis of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatric Genetics*, *2*, 257–275.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Lehman, B., Keenan, K., Norman, D., Seidman, L. J., et al. (1993). Evidence for the independent familial transmission of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disabilities: Results from a family genetic study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *150*, 891–895.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Mennin, D., Russell, R., & Tsuang, M. T. (1998). Familial subtypes of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A 4-year follow-up study of children from antisocial-ADHD families. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *39*, 1045–1053.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Mick, E., Williamson, S., Wilens, T., Spencer, T., et al. (2000). Family study of girls with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *157*, 1077–1083.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., & Monuteaux, M. C. (2001). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with bipolar disorder in girls: Further evidence for a familial subtype? *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *64*, 19–26.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Weber, W., & Russell, R. L. (1998). Psychiatric, neuropsychological, and psychosocial features of DSM-IV subtypes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Results from a clinically referred sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *37*, 185–193.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Weiffenbach, B., Keith, T., Chu, M. P., Weaver, A., et al. (1999). Dopamine D4 gene 7-repeat allele and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*, 768–770.
- Faraone, S. V., Biederman, J., Wozniak, J., Mundy, E., Mennin, D., & O'Donnell, D. (1997). Is comorbidity with ADHD a marker for juvenile-onset mania? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 1046–1055.
- Fergusson, D. M., Fergusson, I. E., Horwood, L. J., & Kinzett, N. G. (1988). A longitudinal study of dentine lead levels, intelligence, school performance, and behaviour. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *29*, 811–824.
- Filipek, P. A., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Steingard, R. J., Renshaw, P. F., Kennedy, D. N., & Biederman, J. (1997). Volumetric MRI analysis comparing subjects having attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with normal controls. *Neurology*, *48*, 589–601.
- Fischer, M. (1990). Parenting stress and the child with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, *19*, 337–346.
- Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., Edelbrock, C. S., & Smallish, L. (1990). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: II. Academic, attentional, and neuropsychological status. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *58*, 580–588.
- Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., Fletcher, K., & Smallish, L. (1993a). The stability of dimensions of behavior in ADHD and normal children over an 8 year period. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *21*, 315–337.
- Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., Fletcher, K., & Smallish, L. (1993b). The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: V. Predictors of outcome. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *32*, 324–332.
- Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., Smallish, L., & Fletcher, K. R. (in press). Hyperactive children as young adults: Deficits in attention, inhibition, and response perseveration and their relationship to severity of childhood and current ADHD and conduct disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*.
- Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., Smallish, L., & Fletcher, K. R. (in press). Young adult outcome of hyperactive children as a function

- of severity of childhood conduct problems: Comorbid psychiatric disorders and interim mental health treatment. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*.
- Fletcher, K., Fischer, M., Barkley, R. A., & Smallish, L. (1996). A sequential analysis of the mother-adolescent interactions of ADHD, ADHD/ODD, and normal teenagers during neutral and conflict discussions. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *24*, 271-298.
- Frank, Y., & Ben-Nun, Y. (1988). Toward a clinical subgrouping of hyperactive and nonhyperactive attention deficit disorder: Results of a comprehensive neurological and neuropsychological assessment. *American Journal of Diseases of Children*, *142*, 153-155.
- Frank, Y., Lazar, J. W., & Seiden, J. A. (1992). Cognitive event-related potentials in learning-disabled children with or without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder [Abstract]. *Annals of Neurology*, *32*, 478.
- Frick, P. J., Kamphaus, R. W., Lahey, B. B., Loeber, R., Christ, M. A. G., Hart, E. L., et al. (1991). Academic underachievement and the disruptive behavior disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *59*, 289-294.
- Gadow, K. D., Nolan, E. E., Litcher, L., Carlson, G. A., Panina, N., Golovakha, E., et al. (2000). Comparison of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptom subtypes in Ukrainian schoolchildren. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 1520-1527.
- Garcia-Sanchez, C., Estevez-Gonzalez, A., Suarez-Romero, E., & Junque, C. (1997). Right hemisphere dysfunction in subjects with attention-deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity. *Journal of Child Neurology*, *12*, 107-115.
- Gaub, M., & Carlson, C. L. (1997). Gender differences in ADHD: A meta-analysis and critical review. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 1036-1045.
- Geller, B., & Luby, J. (1997). Child and adolescent bipolar disorder: A review of the past 10 years. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *36*, 1168-1176.
- Giedd, J. N., Castellanos, F. X., Casey, B. J., Kozuch, P., King, A. C., Hamburger, S. D., et al. (1994). Quantitative morphology of the corpus callosum in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *151*, 665-669.
- Giedd, J. N., Snell, J. W., Lange, N., Rajapakse, J. C., Casey, B. J., Kozuch, P. L., et al. (1996). Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of human brain development: Ages 4-18. *Cerebral Cortex*, *6*, 551-560.
- Gilger, J. W., Pennington, B. F., & DeFries, J. C. (1992). A twin study of the etiology of comorbidity: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and dyslexia. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *31*, 343-348.
- Gill, M., Daly, G., Heron, S., Hawi, Z., & Fitzgerald, M. (1997). Confirmation of association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and a dopamine transporter polymorphism. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *2*, 311-313.
- Gillberg, C., Carlström, G., & Rasmussen, P. (1983). Hyperkinetic disorders in seven-year-old children with perceptual, motor and attentional deficits. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *24*(2), 233-246.
- Gillberg, C. (1983). Perceptual, motor and attentional deficits in Swedish primary school children. Some child psychiatric aspects. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *24*(3), 377-403.
- Gillberg, I. C., & Gillberg, C. (1988). Generalized hyperkinesia: Follow-up study from age 7 to 13 years. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *27*(1), 55-59.
- Gillberg, C., Melander, H., von Knorring, A.-L., Janols, L.-O., Thernlund, G., Hägglöf, B., et al. (1997). Long-term stimulant treatment of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *54*(9), 857-864.
- Gillis, J. J., Gilger, J. W., Pennington, B. F., & DeFries, J. C. (1992). Attention deficit disorder in reading-disabled twins: Evidence for a genetic etiology. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *20*, 303-315.
- Gittelman, R., & Eskinazi, B. (1983). Lead and hyperactivity revisited. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *40*, 827-833.
- Gittelman, R., Mannuzza, S., Shenker, R., & Bonagura, N. (1985). Hyperactive boys almost grown up: I. Psychiatric status. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *42*, 937-947.
- Gjone, H., Stevenson, J., & Sundet, J. M. (1996). Genetic influence on parent-reported attention-related problems in a Norwegian general population twin sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *35*, 588-596.
- Gjone, H., Stevenson, J., Sundet, J. M., & Eilertsen, D. E. (1996). Changes in heritability across increasing levels of behavior problems in young twins. *Behavior Genetics*, *26*, 419-426.
- Glow, P. H., & Glow, R. A. (1979). Hyperkinetic impulse disorder: A developmental defect of motivation. *Genetic Psychological Monographs*, *100*, 159-231.
- Gomez, R., & Sanson, A. V. (1994). Mother-child interactions and noncompliance in hyperactive boys with and without conduct problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *35*, 477-490.
- Goodman, J. R., & Stevenson, J. (1989). A twin study of hyperactivity: II. The aetiological role of genes, family relationships, and perinatal adversity. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *30*, 691-709.
- Grattan, L. M., & Eslinger, P. J. (1991). Frontal lobe damage in children and adults: A comparative review. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, *7*, 283-326.
- Grenell, M. M., Glass, C. R., & Katz, K. S. (1987). Hyperactive children and peer interaction: Knowledge and performance of social skills. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *15*, 1-13.
- Gresham, F. M., MacMillan, D. L., Bocian, K. M., Ward, S. L., & Forness, S. R. (1998). Comorbidity of hyperactivity-impulsivity-inattention and conduct problems: Risk factors in social, affective, and academic domains. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *26*, 393-406.
- Grodzinsky, G. M., & Diamond, R. (1992). Frontal lobe functioning in boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, *8*, 427-445.
- Gross-Tsur, V., Shalev, R. S., & Amir, N. (1991). Attention deficit disorder: Association with familial-genetic factors. *Pediatric Neurology*, *7*, 258-261.
- Gruber, R., Sadeh, A., & Raviv, A. (2000). Instability of sleep patterns in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 495-501.
- Gustafsson, P., Thernlund, G., Ryding, E., Rosen, I., & Cederblad, M. (2000). Associations between cerebral blood-flow measured by single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), electro-encephalogram (EEG), behavior symptoms, cognition and neurological soft signs in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Acta Paediatrica*, *89*, 830-835.
- Haenlein, M., & Caul, W. F. (1987). Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity: A specific hypothesis of reward dysfunction. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *26*, 356-362.
- Halperin, J. M., & Gittelman, R. (1982). Do hyperactive children and their siblings differ in IQ and academic achievement? *Psychiatry Research*, *6*, 253-258.
- Halperin, J. M., Newcorn, J. H., Koda, V. H., Pick, L., McKay, K. E., & Knott, P. (1997). Nonadrenergic mechanisms in ADHD children with and without reading disabilities: A

- replication and extension. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 1688–1697.
- Hamlett, K. W., Pellegrini, D. S., & Conners, C. K. (1987). An investigation of executive processes in the problem solving of attention deficit disorder-hyperactive children. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 12, 227–240.
- Hart, E. L., Lahey, B. B., Loeber, R., Applegate, B., & Frick, P. J. (1995). Developmental changes in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in boys: A four-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 23, 729–750.
- Hartsough, C. S., & Lambert, N. M. (1985). Medical factors in hyperactive and normal children: Prenatal, developmental, and health history findings. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 55, 190–210.
- Harvey, W. J., & Reid, G. (1997). Motor performance of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A preliminary investigation. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 14, 189–202.
- Hastings, J., & Barkley, R. A. (1978). A review of psychophysiological research with hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 7, 337–413.
- Hauser, P., Zametkin, A. J., Martinez, P., Vitiello, B., Matochik, J., Mixson, A., & Weintraub, B. (1993). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in people with generalized resistance to thyroid hormone. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 328, 997–1001.
- Heffron, W. A., Martin, C. A., & Welsh, R. J. (1984). Attention deficit disorder in three pairs of monozygotic twins: A case report. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 23, 299–301.
- Heilman, K. M., Voeller, K. K. S., & Nadeau, S. E. (1991). A possible pathophysiological substrate of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 6, 74–79.
- Hendren, R. L., De Backer, I., & Pandina, G. J. (2000). Review of neuroimaging studies of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders from the past 10 years. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 815–828.
- Herpertz, S. C., Wenning, B., Mueller, B., Qunaibi, M., Sass, H., & Herpertz-Dahlmann, B. (2001). Psychological responses in ADHD boys with and without conduct disorder: Implications for adult antisocial behavior. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 1222–1230.
- Hinshaw, S. P. (1987). On the distinction between attentional deficits/hyperactivity and conduct problems/aggression in child psychopathology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 101, 443–447.
- Hinshaw, S. P. (1992). Externalizing behavior problems and academic underachievement in childhood and adolescence: Causal relationships and underlying mechanisms. *Psychological Bulletin*, 111, 127–155.
- Hinshaw, S. P. (1994). *Attention deficits and hyperactivity in children*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hinshaw, S. P. (2001). Is the inattentive type of ADHD a separate disorder? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 498–501.
- Hinshaw, S. P., Buhrmeister, D., & Heller, T. (1989). Anger control in response to verbal provocation: Effects of stimulant medication for boys with ADHD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 17, 393–408.
- Hinshaw, S. P., Heller, T., & McHale, J. P. (1992). Covert antisocial behavior in boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: External validation and effects of methyl-phenidate. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 60, 274–281.
- Hinshaw, S. P., & Melnick, S. M. (1995). Peer relationships in boys with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder with and without comorbid aggression. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 627–647.
- Hinshaw, S. P., Morrison, D. C., Carte, E. T., & Cornsweet, C. (1987). Factorial dimensions of the Revised Behavior Problem Checklist: Replication and validation within a kindergarten sample. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 15, 309–327.
- Hodgens, J. B., Cole, J., & Boldizar, J. (2000). Peer-based differences among boys with ADHD. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 29, 443–452.
- Hohman, L. B. (1922). Post-encephalitic behavior disorders in children. *Johns Hopkins Hospital Bulletin*, 33, 372–375.
- Holdsworth, L., & Whitmore, K. (1974). A study of children with epilepsy attending ordinary schools: I. Their seizure patterns, progress, and behaviour in school. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 16, 746–758.
- Hoy, E., Weiss, G., Minde, K., & Cohen, N. (1978). The hyperactive child at adolescence: Cognitive, emotional, and social functioning. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 6, 311–324.
- Hoza, B., Pelham, W. E., Waschbusch, D. A., Kipp, H., & Owens, J. S. (2001). Academic task performance of normally achieving ADHD and control boys: Performance, self-evaluations, and attributions. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 69, 271–283.
- Humphries, T., Kinsbourne, M., & Swanson, J. (1978). Stimulant effects on cooperation and social interaction between hyperactive children and their mothers. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 19, 13–22.
- Humphries, T., Koltun, H., Malone, M., & Roberts, W. (1994). Teacher-identified oral language difficulties among boys with attention problems. *Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 15, 92–98.
- Hynd, G. W., Hern, K. L., Novey, E. S., Eliopoulos, D., Marshall, R., Gonzalez, J. J., et al. (1993). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and asymmetry of the caudate nucleus. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 8, 339–347.
- Hynd, G. W., Lorys, A. R., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Nieves, N., Huettner, M. I. S., & Lahey, B. B. (1991). Attention deficit disorder without hyperactivity: A distinct behavioral and neurocognitive syndrome. *Journal of Child Neurology*, 6, S37–S43.
- Hynd, G. W., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Lorys, A. R., Novey, E. S., & Eliopoulos, D. (1990). Brain morphology in developmental dyslexia and attention deficit disorder/hyperactivity. *Archives of Neurology*, 47, 919–926.
- Hynd, G. W., Semrud-Clikeman, M., Lorys, A. R., Novey, E. S., Eliopoulos, D., & Lyytinen, H. (1991). Corpus callosum morphology in attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: Morphometric analysis of MRI. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 24, 141–146.
- Jacobovitz, D., & Sroufe, L. A. (1987). The early caregiver-child relationship and attention-deficit disorder with hyperactivity in kindergarten: A prospective study. *Child Development*, 58, 1488–1495.
- Jensen, P. S., Martin, D., & Cantwell, D. P. (1997). Comorbidity in ADHD: Implications for research, practice, and DSM-V. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 1065–1079.
- Jensen, P. S., Shervette, R. E., Xenakis, S. N., & Bain, M. W. (1988). Psychosocial and medical histories of stimulant-treated children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27, 798–801.
- Jensen, P. S., Shervette, R. E., III, Xenakis, S. N., & Richters, J. (1993). Anxiety and depressive disorders in attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity: New Findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 1203–1209.
- Jensen, P. S., Watanabe, H. K., Richters, J. E., Cortes, R., Roper, M., & Liu, S. (1995). Prevalence of mental disorder in military children and adolescents: Findings from a two-stage community survey. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 34, 1514–1524.
- Johnson, B. D., Altmaier, E. M., & Richman, L. C. (1999). Attention deficits and reading disabilities: Are immediate memory defects additive? *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 15, 213–226.
- Johnson, J. G., Cohen, P., Kasen, S., Smailes, E., & Brook, J. S. (2001). Association of maladaptive parental behavior with

- psychiatric disorder among parents and their offspring. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 58, 453–460.
- Johnson, R. C., & Rosen, L. A. (2000). Sports behavior of ADHD children. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 4, 150–160.
- Johnston, C. (1996). Parent characteristics and parent-child interactions in families of nonproblem children and ADHD children with higher and lower levels of oppositional-defiant disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 24, 85–104.
- Johnston, C., & Mash, E. J. (2001). Families of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Review and recommendations for future research. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 4, 183–207.
- Johnstone, S. J., Barry, R. J., & Anderson, J. W. (2001). Topographic distribution and developmental timecourse of auditory event-related potentials in two subtypes of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 42, 73–94.
- Kadesjö, B., & Gillberg, C. (1998). Attention deficits and clumsiness in Swedish 7-year-old children. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 40, 796–811.
- Kadesjö, C., Kadesjö, B., Hägglöf, B., & Gillberg, C. (2001). ADHD in Swedish 3-7-year-old children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40(9), 1021–1028.
- Kadesjö, B., & Gillberg, C. (2001). The comorbidity of ADHD in the general population of Swedish school-age children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 42, 487–492.
- Kanbayashi, Y., Nakata, Y., Fujii, K., Kita, M., & Wada, K. (1994). ADHD-related behavior among non-referred children: Parents' ratings of DSM-III-R symptoms. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 25, 13–29.
- Kaplan, B. J., McNichol, J., Conte, R. A., & Moghadam, H. K. (1987). Sleep disturbance in preschool-aged hyperactive and nonhyperactive children. *Pediatrics*, 80, 839–844.
- Keenan, K. (2000). Emotion dysregulation as a risk factor for child psychopathology. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 7, 418–434.
- Kessler, J. W. (1980). History of minimal brain dysfunction. In H. Rie & E. Rie (Eds.), *Handbook of minimal brain dysfunctions: A critical view* (pp. 18–52). New York: Wiley.
- Klorman, R. (1992). Cognitive event-related potentials in attention deficit disorder. In S. E. Shaywitz & B. A. Shaywitz (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder comes of age: Toward the twenty-first century* (pp. 221–244). Austin, TX: Pro-ed.
- Klorman, R., Salzman, L. F., & Borgstedt, A. D. (1988). Brain event-related potentials in evaluation of cognitive deficits in attention deficit disorder and outcome of stimulant therapy. In L. Bloomingdale (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorder* (Vol. 3, pp. 49–80). New York: Pergamon.
- Klorman, R., Hazel-Fernandez, H., Shaywitz, S. E., Fletcher, J. M., Marchione, K. E., Holahan, J. M., et al. (1999). Executive functioning deficits in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder are independent of oppositional defiant or reading disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38, 1148–1155.
- Knobel, M., Wolman, M. B., & Mason, E. (1959). Hyperkinesia and organicity in children. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 1, 310–321.
- Krause, K., Dresel, S. H., Krause, J., Kung, H. F., & Tatsch, K. (2000). Increased striatal dopamine transporter in adult patients with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Effects of methylphenidate as measured by single photon emission computed tomography. *Neuroscience Letters*, 285, 107–110.
- Kroes, M., Kalf, A. C., Kessels, A. G. H., Steyaert, J., Feron, F., van Someren, A., et al. (2001). Child psychiatric diagnoses in a population of Dutch schoolchildren aged 6 to 8 years. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 1401–1409.
- Kuntsi, J., Oosterlaan, J., & Stevenson, J. (2001). Psychological mechanisms in hyperactivity: I. Response inhibition deficit, working memory impairment, delay aversion, or something else? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 42, 199–210.
- Kuperman, S., Johnson, B., Arndt, S., Lindgren, S., & Wolraich, M. (1996). Quantitative EEG differences in a nonclinical sample of children with ADHD and undifferentiated ADD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 1009–1017.
- Lahey, B. B. (2001). Should the combined and predominantly inattentive types of ADHD be considered distinct and unrelated disorders? Not now, at least. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 494–497.
- Lahey, B. B., Applegate, B., McBurnett, K., Biederman, J., Greenhill, L., et al. (1994). DSM-IV field trials for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 1673–1685.
- Lahey, B. B., & Carlson, C. L. (1992). Validity of the diagnostic category of attention deficit disorder without hyperactivity: A review of the literature. In S. E. Shaywitz & B. A. Shaywitz (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder comes of age: Toward the twenty-first century* (pp. 119–144). Austin, TX: Pro-ed.
- Lahey, B. B., McBurnett, K., & Loeber, R. (2000). Are attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and oppositional defiant disorder developmental precursors to conduct disorder? In A. J. Sameroff, M. Lewis, & S. M. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of developmental psychopathology* (2nd ed., pp. 431–446). New York: Plenum.
- Lahey, B. B., Pelham, W. E., Schaughency, E. A., Atkins, M. S., Murphy, H. A., Hynd, G. W., et al. (1988). Dimensions and types of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity in children: A factor and cluster-analytic approach. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27, 330–335.
- Lahey, B. B., Schaughency, E., Hynd, G., Carlson, C., & Nieves, N. (1987). Attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity: Comparison of behavioral characteristics of clinic-referred children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 26, 718–723.
- Lahey, B. B., Schaughency, E., Strauss, C., & Frame, C. (1984). Are attention deficit disorders with and without hyperactivity similar or dissimilar disorders? *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 23, 302–309.
- Lahoste, G. J., Swanson, J. M., Wigal, S. B., Glabe, C., Wigal, T., King, N., et al. (1996). Dopamine D4 receptor gene polymorphism is associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 1, 121–124.
- Lambert, N. M. (1988). Adolescent outcomes for hyperactive children. *American Psychologist*, 43, 786–799.
- Lambert, N. M., & Hartsough, C. S. (1998). Prospective study of tobacco smoking and substance dependencies among samples of ADHD and non-ADHD participants. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 31, 533–544.
- Lambert, N. M. (in press). Stimulant treatment as a risk factor for nicotine use and substance abuse. In P. S. Jensen & J. R. Cooper (Eds.), *Diagnosis and treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: An evidence-based approach*. New York: American Medical Association Press.
- Lambert, N. M., Sandoval, J., & Sassone, D. (1978). Prevalence of hyperactivity in elementary school children as a function of social system definers. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 48, 446–463.
- Lamminmaki, T., Ahonen, T., Narhi, V., Lyytinen, H., & de Barra, H. T. (1995). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder subtypes: Are there differences in academic problems? *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 11, 297–310.
- Langsdorf, R., Anderson, R. F., Walchert, D., Madrigal, J. F., & Juarez, L. J. (1979). Ethnicity, social class, and perception of hyperactivity. *Psychology in the Schools*, 16, 293–298.

- Lapouse, R., & Monk, M. (1958). An epidemiological study of behavior characteristics in children. *American Journal of Public Health, 48*, 1134–1144.
- Last, C. G., Hersen, M., Kazdin, A., Orvaschel, H., & Perrin, S. (1991). Anxiety disorders in children and their families. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 48*, 928–934.
- Laufer, M., Denhoff, E., & Solomons, G. (1957). Hyperkinetic impulse disorder in children's behavior problems. *Psychosomatic Medicine, 19*, 38–49.
- Lavigne, J. V., Gibbons, R. D., Christoffel, K., Arend, R., Rosenbaum, D., Binns, H., et al. (1996). Prevalence rates and correlates of psychiatric disorders among preschool children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 35*, 204–214.
- Lecendreux, M., Konofal, E., Bouvard, M., Falissard, B., Simeoni, M. M. (2000). Sleep and alertness in children with ADHD. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 41*, 803–812.
- Lerner, J. A., Inui, T. S., Trupin, E. W., & Douglas, E. (1985). Preschool behavior can predict future psychiatric disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry, 24*, 42–48.
- Levin, P. M. (1938). Restlessness in children. *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry, 39*, 764–770.
- Levy, F., & Hay, D. (2001). *Attention, genes, and ADHD*. Philadelphia, PA: Brunner-Routledge.
- Levy, F., Hay, D. A., McStephen, M., Wood, C., & Waldman, I. (1997). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A category or a continuum? Genetic analysis of a large-scale twin study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 36*, 737–744.
- Levy, F., & Hobbes, G. (1989). Reading, spelling, and vigilance in attention deficit and conduct disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 17*, 291–298.
- Lewinsohn, P. M., Hops, H., Roberts, R. E., Seeley, J. R., & Andrews, J. A. (1993). Adolescent psychopathology: I. Prevalence and incidence of depression and other DSM-III-R disorders in high school students. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 102*, 133–144.
- Liu, X., Kurita, H., Guo, C., Tachimori, H., Ze, J., & Okawa, M. (2000). Behavioral and emotional problems in Chinese children: Teacher reports for ages 6 to 11. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 41*, 253–260.
- Loeber, R., Burke, J. D., Lahey, B. B., Winters, A., & Zera, M. (2000). Oppositional defiant and conduct disorder: A review of the past 10 years, Part I. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 39*, 1468–1484.
- Loeber, R., Green, S. M., Lahey, B. B., Christ, M. A. G., & Frick, P. J. (1992). Developmental sequences in the age of onset of disruptive child behaviors. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 1*, 21–41.
- Loney, J., Kramer, J., & Milich, R. (1981). The hyperkinetic child grows up: Predictors of symptoms, delinquency, and achievement at follow-up. In K. Gadow & J. Loney (Eds.), *Psychosocial aspects of drug treatment for hyperactivity*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Loney, J., Kramer, J. R., & Salisbury, H. (in press). Medicated versus unmedicated ADHD children: Adult involvement with legal and illegal drugs. In P. S. Jensen & J. R. Cooper (Eds.), *Diagnosis and treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: An evidence-based approach*. New York: American Medical Association Press.
- Lorch, E. P., Milich, M., Sanchez, R. P., van den Broek, P., Baer, S., Hooks, K., et al. (2000). Comprehension of televised stories in boys with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and nonreferred boys. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 109*, 321–330.
- Lou, H. C., Henriksen, L., & Bruhn, P. (1984). Focal cerebral hypoperfusion in children with dysphasia and/or attention deficit disorder. *Archives of Neurology, 41*, 825–829.
- Lou, H. C., Henriksen, L., Bruhn, P., Borner, H., & Nielsen, J. B. (1989). Striatal dysfunction in attention deficit and hyperkinetic disorder. *Archives of Neurology, 46*, 48–52.
- Luk, S. (1985). Direct observations studies of hyperactive behaviors. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 24*, 338–344.
- Lynam, D., Moffitt, T., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (1993). Explaining the relation between IQ and delinquency: Class, race, test motivation, school failure, or self-control? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 102*, 187–196.
- Madan-Swain, A., & Zentall, S. S. (1990). Behavioral comparisons of liked and disliked hyperactive children in play contexts and the behavioral accommodations by their classmates. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 58*, 197–209.
- Maedgen, J. W., & Carlson, C. L. (2000). Social functioning and emotional regulation in the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder subtypes. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 29*, 30–42.
- Malone, M. A., & Swanson, J. M. (1993). Effects of methylphenidate on impulsive responding in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child Neurology, 8*, 157–163.
- Mannuzza, S., & Gittelman, R. (1986). Informant variance in the diagnostic assessment of hyperactive children as young adults. In J. E. Barrett & R. M. Rose (Eds.), *Mental disorders in the Community* (pp. 243–254). New York: Guilford.
- Mannuzza, S., Klein, R., Bessler, A., Malloy, P., & LaPadula, M. (1993). Adult outcome of hyperactive boys: Educational achievement, occupational rank, and psychiatric status. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 50*, 565–576.
- Mannuzza, S., Klein, R., Bessler, A., Malloy, P., & LaPadula, M. (1998). Adult psychiatric status of hyperactive boys grown up. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 155*, 493–498.
- Mannuzza, S., Klein, R. G., Bonagura, N., Malloy, P., Giampino, H., & Addalli, K. A. (1991). Hyperactive boys almost grown up: Replication of psychiatric status. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 48*, 77–83.
- Mannuzza, S., & Klein, R. (1992). Predictors of outcome of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. In G. Weiss (Ed.), *Child and adolescent psychiatric clinics of North America: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder* (pp. 567–578). Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Marcotte, A. C., & Stern, C. (1997). Qualitative analysis of graphomotor output in children with attentional disorders. *Child Neuropsychology, 3*, 147–153.
- Mariani, M., & Barkley, R. A. (1997). Neuropsychological and academic functioning in preschool children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Developmental Neuropsychology, 13*, 111–129.
- Marshall, R. M., Hynd, G. W., Handwerk, M. J., & Hall, J. (1997). Academic underachievement in ADHD subtypes. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 30*, 635–642.
- Mash, E. J., & Johnston, C. (1982). A comparison of mother-child interactions of younger and older hyperactive and normal children. *Child Development, 53*, 1371–1381.
- Mash, E. J., & Johnston, C. (1983a). Sibling interactions of hyperactive and normal children and their relationship to reports of maternal stress and self-esteem. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 12*, 91–99.
- Mash, E. J., & Johnston, C. (1983b). The prediction of mothers' behavior with their hyperactive children during play and task situations. *Child and Family Behavior Therapy, 5*, 1–14.
- Mash, E. J., & Johnston, C. (1990). Determinants of parenting stress: Illustrations from families of hyperactive children and families of physically abused children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 19*, 313–328.
- Mattes, J. A. (1980). The role of frontal lobe dysfunction in childhood hyperkinesia. *Comprehensive Psychiatry, 21*, 358–369.
- Matthys, W., Cuperus, J. M., & Van Engeland, H. (1999). Deficient social problem-solving in boys with ODD/CD, with ADHD,

- and with both disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38, 311–321.
- Matthys, W., van Goozen, S. H. M., de Vries, H., Cohen-Kettenis, P. T., & van Engeland, H. (1998). The dominance of behavioural activation over behavioural inhibition in conduct disorder boys with or without attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 39, 643–651.
- McArdle, P., O'Brien, G., & Kolvin, I. (1995). Hyperactivity: Prevalence and relationship with conduct disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 36, 279–303.
- McBurnett, K., Pfiffner, L. J., Willcutt, E., Tamm, L., Lerner, M., Otolini, Y. L., et al. (1999). Experimental cross-validation of DSM-IV types of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38, 17–24.
- McBurnett, K., Pfiffner, L. J., & Frick, P. J. (2001). Symptom properties as a function of ADHD type: An argument for continued study of sluggish cognitive tempo. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 29, 207–213.
- McGee, R., Feehan, M., Williams, S., Partridge, F., Silva, P. A., & Kelly, J. (1990). DSM-III disorders in a large sample of adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 29, 611–619.
- McGee, R., Stanton, W. R., & Sears, M. R. (1993). Allergic disorders and attention deficit disorder in children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 21, 79–88.
- McGee, R., Williams, S., & Feehan, M. (1992). Attention deficit disorder and age of onset of problem behaviors. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 20, 487–502.
- McGee, R., Williams, S., & Silva, P. A. (1984). Behavioral and developmental characteristics of aggressive, hyperactive, and aggressive-hyperactive boys. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 23, 270–279.
- McMohan, S. A., & Greenberg, L. M. (1977). Serial neurologic examination of hyperactive children. *Pediatrics*, 59, 584–587.
- Melnick, S. M., & Hinshaw, S. P. (1996). What they want and what they get: The social goals of boys with ADHD and comparison boys. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 24, 169–185.
- Melnick, S. M., & Hinshaw, S. P. (2000). Emotion regulation and parenting in AD/HD and comparison boys: Linkages with social behaviors and peer preference. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 28, 73–86.
- Mick, E., Biederman, J., & Faraone, S. V. (1996). Is season of birth a risk factor for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 1470–1476.
- Milberger, S., Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Chen, L., & Jones, J. (1996a). Is maternal smoking during pregnancy a risk factor for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children? *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 153, 1138–1142.
- Milberger, S., Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Chen, L., & Jones, J. (1996b). ADHD is associated with early initiation of cigarette smoking in children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 37–44.
- Milich, R., Hartung, C. M., Matrin, C. A., & Haigler, E. D. (1994). Behavioral disinhibition and underlying processes in adolescents with disruptive behavior disorders. In D. K. Routh (Ed.), *Disruptive behavior disorders in childhood* (pp. 109–138). New York: Plenum Press.
- Milich, R., Lynam, D., & Ballentine, A. C. (2001). ADHD Combined Type and ADHD Predominantly Inattentive Type are distinct and unrelated disorders. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 463–488.
- Minde, K., Webb, G., & Sykes, D. (1968). Studies on the hyperactive child: VI. Prenatal and perinatal factors associated with hyperactivity. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 10, 355–363.
- Mitchell, E. A., Aman, M. G., Turbott, S. H., & Manku, M. (1987). Clinical characteristics and serum essential fatty acid levels in hyperactive children. *Clinical Pediatrics*, 26, 406–411.
- Mitsis, E. M., McKay, K. E., Schulz, K. P., Newcorn, J. H., & Halperin, J. M. (2000). Parent-teacher concordance in DSM-IV attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a clinic-referred sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 308–313.
- Moffitt, T. E. (1990). Juvenile delinquency and attention deficit disorder: Boys' developmental trajectories from age 3 to 15. *Child Development*, 61, 893–910.
- Molina, B. S. G., & Pelham, W. E. (2001). Substance use, substance abuse, and LD among adolescents with a childhood history of ADHD. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 34, 333–342.
- Molina, B. S. G., Smith, B. H., & Pelham, W. E. (1999). Interactive effects of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and conduct disorder on early adolescent substance use. *Psychology of Addictive Behavior*, 13, 348–358.
- Monaster, V. J., Lubar, J. F., & Linden, M. (2001). The development of quantitative electroencephalographic scanning process for attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: Reliability and validity studies. *Neuropsychology*, 15, 136–144.
- Mori, L., & Peterson, L. (1995). Knowledge of safety of high and low active-impulsive boys: Implications for child injury prevention. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 24, 370–376.
- Morgan, A. E., Hynd, G. W., Riccio, C. A., & Hall, J. (1996). Validity of DSM-IV predominantly inattentive and combined types: Relationship to previous DSM diagnoses/subtype differences. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 325–333.
- Morrison, J., & Stewart, M. (1973). The psychiatric status of the legal families of adopted hyperactive children. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 28, 888–891.
- Murphy, K. R., & Barkley, R. A. (1996a). Prevalence of DSM-IV symptoms of ADHD in adult licensed drivers: Implications for clinical diagnosis. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 1, 147–161.
- Murphy, K. R., & Barkley, R. A. (1996b). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in adults: Comorbidities and adaptive impairments. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 37, 393–401.
- Murphy, K. R., Barkley, R. A., & Bush, T. (2001). Executive functioning and olfactory identification in young adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychology*, 15, 211–220.
- Nada-Raja, S., Langley, J. D., McGee, R., Williams, S. M., Begg, D. J., & Reeder, A. I. (1997). Inattentive and hyperactive behaviors and driving offenses in adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 515–522.
- Needleman, H. L., Gunnoe, C., Leviton, A., Reed, R., Peresie, H., & Maher, C., et al. (1979). Deficits in psychologic and classroom performance of children with elevated dentine lead levels. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 300, 689–695.
- Needleman, H. L., Schell, A., Bellinger, D. C., Leviton, L., & Alfred, E. D. (1990). The long-term effects of exposure to low doses of lead in childhood: An 11-year follow-up report. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 322, 83–88.
- Newcorn, J. H., Halperin, J. M., Jensen, P. S., Abikoff, H. B., Arnold, L. E., Cantwell, D. P., et al. (2001). Symptom profiles in children with ADHD: Comorbidity and gender. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 137–146.
- Nichols, P. L., & Chen, T. C. (1981). *Minimal brain dysfunction: A prospective study*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Nigg, J. T. (1999). The ADHD response-inhibition deficit as measured by the stop task: Replication with DSM-IV Combined Type, extension, and qualification. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 27, 393–402.
- Nigg, J. T. (2000). On inhibition/disinhibition in developmental psychopathology: Views from cognitive and personality

- psychology and a working inhibition taxonomy. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126, 220–246.
- Nigg, J. T. (2001). Is ADHD an inhibitory disorder? *Psychological Bulletin*, 125, 571–596.
- Nigg, J. T., Blaskey, L. G., Huang-Pollock, C. L., & Rappley, M. D. (2002). Neuropsychological executive functions in DSM-IV ADHD subtypes. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41, 59–66.
- Nucci, L. P., & Herman, S. (1982). Behavioral disordered children's conceptions of moral, conventional, and personal issues. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 10, 411–426.
- Nigg, J. T., Hinshaw, S. P., Carte, E. T., & Treuting, J. J. (1998). Neuropsychological correlates of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Explainable by comorbid disruptive behavior or reading problems? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 107, 468–480.
- Nolan, E. E., Gadow, K. D., & Sprafkin, J. (2001). Teacher reports of DSM-IV ADHD, ODD, and CD symptoms in schoolchildren. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 241–249.
- O'Connor, M., Foch, T., Sherry, T., & Plomin, R. (1980). A twin study of specific behavioral problems of socialization as viewed by parents. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 8, 189–199.
- O'Dougherty, M., Nuechterlein, K. H., & Drew, B. (1984). Hyperactive and hypoxic children: Signal detection, sustained attention, and behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 93, 178–191.
- O'Leary, K. D., Vivian, D., & Nisi, A. (1985). Hyperactivity in Italy. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 13, 485–500.
- Olson, S. L., Bates, J. E., Sandy, J. M., & Lanthier, R. (2000). Early developmental precursors of externalizing behavior in middle childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 28, 119–133.
- Olson, S. L., Schilling, E. M., & Bates, J. E. (1999). Measurement of impulsivity: Construct coherence, longitudinal stability, and relationship with externalizing problems in middle childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 27, 151–165.
- Oosterlaan, J., Logan, G. D., & Sergeant, J. A. (1998). Response inhibition in AD/HD, CD, comorbid AD/HD+CD, anxious, and control children: A meta-analysis of studies with the Stop Task. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 39, 411–425.
- Oosterlaan, J., Scheres, A., & Sergeant, J. A. (in press). Verbal fluency, working memory, and planning in children with ADHD, ODD/CD, and comorbid ADHD+ODD/CD: Specificity of executive functioning deficits. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*.
- Palfrey, J. S., Levine, M. D., Walker, D. K., & Sullivan, M. (1985). The emergence of attention deficits in early childhood: A prospective study. *Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 6, 339–348.
- Parry, P. A., & Douglas, V. I. (1983). Effects of reinforcement on concept identification in hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 11, 327–340.
- Patterson, G. R., Degarmo, D. S., & Knutson, N. (2000). Hyperactive and antisocial behaviors: Comorbid or two points in the same process. *Development and Psychopathology*, 12, 91–106.
- Pauls, D. L. (1991). Genetic factors in the expression of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 1, 353–360.
- Pauls, D. L., Hurst, C. R., Kidd, K. K., Kruger, S. D., Leckman, J. F., & Cohen, D. J. (1986). Tourette syndrome and attention deficit disorder: Evidence against a genetic relationship. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 43, 1177–1179.
- Pelham, W. E., Jr. (2001). Are ADHD/I and ADHD/C the same or different? Does it matter? *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 8, 502–506.
- Pelham, W. E., Gnagy, E. M., Greenslade, K. E., & Milich, R. (1992). Teacher ratings of DSM-III-R symptoms for the disruptive behavior disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31, 210–218.
- Pelham, W. E., & Lang, A. R. (1993). Parental alcohol consumption and deviant child behavior: Laboratory studies of reciprocal effects. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 13, 763–784.
- Pennington, B. F., & Ozonoff, S. (1996). Executive functions and developmental psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 37, 51–87.
- Peterson, B. S., Pine, D. S., Cohen, P., & Brook, J. S. (2001). Prospective, longitudinal study of tic, obsessive-compulsive, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders in an epidemiological sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 685–695.
- Pfiffner, L. J., McBurnett, K., & Rathouz, P. J. (2001). Father absence and familial antisocial characteristics. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 29, 357–367.
- Pike, A., & Plomin, R. (1996). Importance of nonshared environmental factors for childhood and adolescent psychopathology. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 560–570.
- Pillow, D. R., Pelham, W. E., Jr., Hoza, B., Molina, B. S. G., & Stultz, C. H. (1998). Confirmatory factor analyses examining attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms and other childhood disruptive behaviors. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 26, 293–309.
- Pineda, D., Ardila, A., Rosselli, M., Arias, B. E., Henao, G. C., Gomex, L. F., et al. (1999). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in 4- to 17-year old children in the general population. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 27, 455–462.
- Pliszka, S. R. (1992). Comorbidity of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and overanxious disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31, 197–203.
- Pliszka, S. R., Liotti, M., & Woldorff, M. G. (2000). Inhibitory control in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Event-related potentials identify the processing component and timing of an impaired right-frontal response-inhibition mechanism. *Biological Psychiatry*, 48, 238–246.
- Pliszka, S. R., McCracken, J. T., & Mass, J. W. (1996). Catecholamines in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Current perspectives. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 264–272.
- Plomin, R. (1995). Genetics and children's experiences in the family. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 36, 33–68.
- Porrino, L. J., Rapoport, J. L., Behar, D., Sceery, W., Ismond, D. R., & Bunney, W. E., Jr. (1983). A naturalistic assessment of the motor activity of hyperactive boys. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 40, 681–687.
- Quay, H. C. (1997). Inhibition and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 25, 7–13.
- Rabiner, D., Coie, J. D., and the Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. (2000). Early attention problems and children's reading achievement: A longitudinal investigation. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 859–867.
- Rapoport, J. L., Buchsbaum, M. S., Zahn, T. P., Weingarten, H., Ludlow, C., & Mikkelsen, E. J. (1978). Dextroamphetamine: Cognitive and behavioral effects in normal prepubertal boys. *Science*, 199, 560–563.
- Rapoport, J. L., Donnelly, M., Zimetkin, A., & Carrouger, J. (1986). "Situational hyperactivity" in a U.S. clinical setting. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 27, 639–646.
- Rapoport, M. D., Scanlan, S. W., & Denney, C. B. (1999). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and scholastic achievement: A model of dual developmental pathways. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 40, 1169–1183.

- Rappaport, M. D., Tucker, S. B., DuPaul, G. J., Merlo, M., & Stoner, G. (1986). Hyperactivity and frustration: The influence of control over and size of rewards in delaying gratification. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *14*, 181–204.
- Raskin, L. A., Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., Anderson, G. M., & Cohen, D. J. (1984). Neurochemical correlates of attention deficit disorder. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, *31*, 387–396.
- Rasmussen, P., & Gillberg, C. (2001). Natural outcome of ADHD with developmental coordination disorder at age 22 years: A controlled, longitudinal, community-based study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 1424–1431.
- Rhee, S. H., Waldman, I. D., Hay, D. A., & Levy, F. (1995). Sex differences in genetic and environmental influences on DSM-III-R attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Behavior Genetics*, *25*, 285.
- Richman, N., Stevenson, J., & Graham, P. (1982). *Preschool to school: A behavioural study*. New York: Academic Press.
- Roberts, M. A. (1990). A behavioral observation method for differentiating hyperactive and aggressive boys. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *18*, 131–142.
- Rohde, L. A., Biederman, J., Busnello, E. A., Zimmermann, H., Schmitz, M., Martins, S., et al. (1999). ADHD in a school sample of Brazilian adolescents: A study of prevalence, comorbid conditions, and impairments. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *38*, 716–722.
- Roizen, N. J., Blondis, T. A., Irwin, M., & Stein, M. (1994). Adaptive functioning in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*, *148*, 1137–1142.
- Romano, E., Tremblay, R. E., Vitaro, F., Zoccolillo, M., and Pagani, L. (2001). Prevalence of psychiatric diagnoses and the role of perceived impairment: Findings from an adolescent community sample. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *42*, 451–462.
- Roth, N., Beyreiss, J., Schlenzka, K., & Beyer, H. (1991). Coincidence of attention deficit disorder and atopic disorders in children: Empirical findings and hypothetical background. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *19*, 1–13.
- Rothenberger, A. (1995). Electrical brain activity in children with hyperkinetic syndrome: Evidence of a frontal cortical dysfunction. In J. A. Sergeant (Ed.), *Eunethydis: European approaches to hyperkinetic disorder* (pp. 255–270). Amsterdam: Author.
- Routh, D. K., & Schroeder, C. S. (1976). Standardized playroom measures as indices of hyperactivity. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *4*, 199–207.
- Rubia, K., Overmeyer, S., Taylor, E., Brammer, M., Williams, S. C. R., Simmons, A., & Bullmore, E. T. (1999). Hypofrontality in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder during higher-order motor control: A study with functional MRI. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *156*, 891–896.
- Rucklidge, J. J., & Tannock, R. (2001). Psychiatric, psychosocial, and cognitive functioning of female adolescents with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *40*, 530–540.
- Russo, M. F., & Beidel, D. C. (1994). Comorbidity of childhood anxiety and externalizing disorders: Prevalence, associated characteristics, and validation issues. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *14*, 199–221.
- Rutter, M. (1977). Brain damage syndromes in childhood: Concepts and findings. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *18*, 1–21.
- Sachs, G. S., Baldassano, C. F., Truman, C. J., & Guille, C. (2000). Comorbidity of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with early- and late-onset bipolar disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *157*, 466–468.
- Samuel, V. J., George, P., Thornell, A., Curtis, S., Taylor, A., Brome, D., et al. (1999). A pilot controlled family study of DSM-III-R and DSM-IV ADHD in African-American children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *38*, 34–39.
- Sanchez, R. P., Lorch, E. P., Milich, R., & Welsh, R. (1999). Comprehension of televised stories in preschool children with ADHD. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, *28*, 376–385.
- Satterfield, J. H., Hoppe, C. M., & Schell, A. M. (1982). A prospective study of delinquency in 110 adolescent boys with attention deficit disorder and 88 normal adolescent boys. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *139*, 795–798.
- Schachar, R. J., & Logan, G. D. (1990). Impulsivity and inhibitory control in normal development and childhood psychopathology. *Developmental Psychology*, *26*, 710–720.
- Schachar, R., Rutter, M., & Smith, A. (1981). The characteristics of situationally and pervasively hyperactive children: Implications for syndrome definition. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *22*, 375–392.
- Schachar, R. J., Tannock, R., & Logan, G. (1993). Inhibitory control, impulsiveness, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *13*, 721–740.
- Schachar, R., Taylor, E., Weiselberg, M., Thorley, G., & Rutter, M. (1987). Changes in family function and relationships in children who respond to methylphenidate. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *26*, 728–732.
- Scheres, A., Oosterlaan, J., & Sergeant, J. A. (2001). Response execution and inhibition in children with AD/HD and other disruptive disorders: The role of behavioural activation. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *42*, 347–357.
- Schleifer, M., Weiss, G., Cohen, N. J., Elman, M., Cveje, H., & Kruger, E. (1975). Hyperactivity in preschoolers and the effect of methylphenidate. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, *45*, 38–50.
- Schothorst, P. F., & van Engeland, H. (1996). Long-term behavioral sequelae of prematurity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *35*, 175–183.
- Schweitzer, J. B., Faber, T. L., Grafton, S. T., Tune, L. E., Hoffman, J. M., Kilts, C. D. (2000). Alterations in the functional anatomy of working memory in adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *157*, 278–280.
- Seidman, L. J., Benedict, K. B., Biederman, J., Bernstein, J. H., Seiverd, K., Milberger, S., et al. (1995). Performance of children with ADHD on the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure: A pilot neuropsychological study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *36*, 1459–1473.
- Seidman, L. J., Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Milberger, S., Norman, D., Seiverd, K., et al. (1995). Effects of family history and comorbidity on the neuropsychological performance of children with ADHD: Preliminary findings. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *34*, 1015–1024.
- Seidman, L. J., Biederman, J., Faraone, S. V., Weber, W., & Ouellette, C. (1997). Toward defining a neuropsychology of attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder: Performance of children and adolescence from a large clinically referred sample. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *65*, 150–160.
- Seguin, J. R., Boulerice, B., Harden, P. W., Tremblay, R. E., & Pihl, R. O. (1999). Executive functions and physical aggression after controlling for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, general memory, and IQ. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *40*, 1197–1208.
- Semrud-Clikeman, M., Biederman, J., Sprich-Buckminster, S., Lehman, B. K., Faraone, S. V., & Norman, D. (1992). Comorbidity between ADDH and learning disability: A review and report in a clinically referred sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *31*, 439–448.
- Semrud-Clikeman, M., Filipek, P. A., Biederman, J., Steingard, R., Kennedy, D., Renshaw, P., et al. (1994). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Magnetic resonance imaging

- morphometric analysis of the corpus callosum. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33, 875–881.
- Semrud-Clikeman, M., Steingard, R. J., Filipek, P., Biederman, J., Bekken, K., & Renshaw, P. F. (2000). Using MRI to examine brain-behavior relationships in males with attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 477–484.
- Sergeant, J. (1988). From DSM-III attentional deficit disorder to functional defects. In L. Bloomingdale & J. Sergeant (Eds.), *Attention deficit disorder: Criteria, cognition, and intervention* (pp. 183–198). New York: Pergamon.
- Sergeant, J., & van der Meere, J. P. (1994). Toward an empirical child psychopathology. In D. K. Routh (Ed.), *Disruptive behavior disorders in children* (pp. 59–86). New York: Plenum.
- Shaywitz, S. E., Cohen, D. J., & Shaywitz, B. E. (1980). Behavior and learning difficulties in children of normal intelligence born to alcoholic mothers. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 96, 978–982.
- Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., Cohen, D. J., & Young, J. G. (1983). Monoaminergic mechanisms in hyperactivity. In M. Rutter (Ed.), *Developmental neuropsychiatry* (pp. 330–347). New York: Guilford.
- Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., Jatlow, P. R., Sebrechts, M., Anderson, G. M., & Cohen, D. J. (1986). Biological differentiation of attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity. A preliminary report. *Annals of Neurology*, 21, 363.
- Shelton, T. L., Barkley, R. A., Crosswait, C., Moorehouse, M., Fletcher, K., Barrett, S., et al. (1998). Psychiatric and psychological morbidity as a function of adaptive disability in preschool children with high levels of aggressive and hyperactive-impulsive-inattentive behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 26, 475–494.
- Sherman, D. K., Iacono, W. G., & McGue, M. K. (1997). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder dimensions: A twin study of inattention and impulsivity-hyperactivity. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 745–753.
- Sherman, D. K., McGue, M. K., & Iacono, W. G. (1997). Twin concordance for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A comparison of teachers' and mothers' reports. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 532–535.
- Silberg, J., Rutter, M., Meyer, J., Maes, H., Hewitt, J., Simonoff, E., et al. (1996). Genetic and environmental influences on the covariation between hyperactivity and conduct disturbance in juvenile twins. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 37, 803–816.
- Silva, P. A., Hughes, P., Williams, S., & Faed, J. M. (1988). Blood lead, intelligence, reading attainment, and behaviour in eleven year old children in Dunedin, New Zealand. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 29, 43–52.
- Singer, H. S., Reiss, A. L., Brown, J. E., Aylward, E. H., Shih, B., Chee, E., et al. (1993). Volumetric MRI changes in basal ganglia of children with Tourette's syndrome. *Neurology*, 43, 950–956.
- Slusarek, M., Velling, S., Bunk, D., & Eggers, C. (2001). Motivational effects on inhibitory control in children with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40, 355–363.
- Smalley, S. L., McGough, J. J., Del'Homme, M., NewDelman, J., Gordon, E., Kim, T., et al. (2000). Familial clustering of symptoms and disruptive behaviors in multiplex families with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 1135–1143.
- Sonuga-Barke, E. J., Lamparelli, M., Stevenson, J., Thompson, M., & Henry, A. (1994). Behaviour problems and pre-school intellectual attainment: The associations of hyperactivity and conduct problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 35, 949–960.
- Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S., Taylor, E., & Hepinstall, E. (1992). Hyperactivity and delay aversion: II. The effect of self versus externally imposed stimulus presentation periods on memory. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 33, 399–409.
- Solanto, M. V., Abikoff, H., Sonuga-Barke, E., Schachar, R., Logan, G. D., Wigal, T., et al. (2001). The ecological validity of delay aversion and response inhibition as measures of impulsivity in AD/HD: A supplement to the NIMH Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 29, 215–228.
- Southam-Gerow, M. A., & Kendall, P. C. (2002). Emotion regulation and understanding: Implications for child psychopathology and therapy. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22, 189–222.
- Spencer, T. J., Biederman, J., Faraone, S., Mick, E., Coffey, B., Geller, D., et al. (2001). Impact of tic disorders on ADHD outcome across the life cycle: Findings from a large group of adults with and without ADHD. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158, 611–617.
- Spencer, T. J., Biederman, J., Harding, M., O'Donnell, D., Faraone, S. V., & Wilens, T. E. (1996). Growth deficits in ADHD children revisited: Evidence for disorder-associated growth delays? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35, 1460–1469.
- Spencer, T., Wilens, T., Biederman, J., Wozniak, J., & Harding-Crawford, M. (2000). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with mood disorders. In T. E. Brown (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorders and comorbidities in children, adolescents, and adults* (pp. 79–124). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Sprich, S., Biederman, J., Crawford, M. H., Mundy, E., & Faraone, S. V. (2000). Adoptive and biological families of children and adolescents with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 1432–1437.
- Stein, M. A. (1999). Unravelling sleep problems in treated and untreated children with ADHD. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 9, 157–168.
- Stein, M. A., Szumowski, E., Blondis, T. A., & Roizen, N. J. (1995). Adaptive skills dysfunction in ADD and ADHD children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 36, 663–670.
- Stein, M. A., Weiss, R. E., & Refetoff, S. (1995). Neurocognitive characteristics of individuals with resistance to thyroid hormone: Comparisons with individuals with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 16, 406–411.
- Stevenson, J., Pennington, B. F., Gilger, J. W., DeFries, J. C., & Gilies, J. J. (1993). Hyperactivity and spelling disability: Testing for shared genetic aetiology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 34, 1137–1152.
- Stewart, M. A. (1970). Hyperactive children. *Scientific American*, 222, 94–98.
- Stewart, M. A., Pitts, F. N., Craig, A. G., & Dieruf, W. (1966). The hyperactive child syndrome. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 36, 861–867.
- Stewart, M. A., Thach, B. T., & Friedin, M. R. (1970). Accidental poisoning and the hyperactive child syndrome. *Disease of the Nervous System*, 31, 403–407.
- Still, G. F. (1902). Some abnormal psychological conditions in children. *Lancet*, 1, 1008–1012, 1077–1082, 1163–1168.
- Strauss, A. A., & Kephart, N. C. (1955). *Psychopathology and education of the brain-injured child: Vol. 2. Progress in theory and clinic*. New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Strauss, A. A., & Lehtinen, L. E. (1947). *Psychopathology and education of the brain-injured child*. New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Strauss, M. E., Thompson, P., Adams, N. L., Redline, S., & Burant, C. (2000). Evaluation of a model of attention with confirmatory factor analysis. *Neuropsychology*, 14, 201–208.
- Streissguth, A. P., Bookstein, F. L., Sampson, P. D., & Barr, H. M. (1995). Attention: Prenatal alcohol and continuities of vigilance and attentional problems from 4 through 14 years. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 419–446.

- Streissguth, A. P., Martin, D. C., Barr, H. M., Sandman, B. M., Kirchner, G. L., & Darby, B. L. (1984). Intrauterine alcohol and nicotine exposure: Attention and reaction time in 4-year-old children. *Developmental Psychology*, *20*, 533–541.
- Stryker, S. (1925). Encephalitis lethargica—The behavior residuals. *Training School Bulletin*, *22*, 152–157.
- Swaab-Barneveld, H., DeSonneville, L., Cohen-Kettenis, P., Gielen, A., Buitelaar, J., & van Engeland, H. (2000). Visual sustained attention in a child psychiatric population. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *39*, 651–659.
- Sykes, D. H., Hoy, E. A., Bill, J. M., McClure, B. G., Halliday, H. L., & Reid, M. M. (1997). Behavioural adjustment in school of very low birthweight children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *38*, 315–325.
- Szatmari, P. (1992). The epidemiology of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorders. In G. Weiss (Ed.), *Child and adolescent psychiatric clinics of North America: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder* (pp. 361–372). Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Szatmari, P., Offord, D. R., & Boyle, M. H. (1989). Correlates, associated impairments, and patterns of service utilization of children with attention deficit disorders: Findings from the Ontario Child Health Study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *30*, 205–217.
- Szatmari, P., Saigal, S., Rosenbaum, P., & Campbell, D. (1993). Psychopathology and adaptive functioning among extremely low birthweight children at eight years of age. *Development and Psychopathology*, *5*, 345–357.
- Tallmadge, J., & Barkley, R. A. (1983). The interactions of hyperactive and normal boys with their mothers and fathers. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *11*, 565–579.
- Tannock, R. (1998). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Advances in cognitive, neurobiological, and genetic research. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *39*, 65–100.
- Tannock, R. (2000). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with anxiety disorders. In T. E. Brown (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorders and comorbidities in children, adolescents, and adults* (pp. 125–170). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Tannock, R., & Brown, T. E. (2000). Attention-deficit disorders with learning disorders in children and adolescents. In T. E. Brown (Ed.), *Attention deficit disorders and comorbidities in children, adolescents, and adults* (pp. 231–296). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Tannock, R., Martinussen, R., & Frijters, J. (2000). Naming speed performance and stimulant effects indicate effortful, semantic processing deficits in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *28*, 237–252.
- Tarver-Behring, S., Barkley, R. A., & Karlsson, J. (1985). The mother-child interactions of hyperactive boys and their normal siblings. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, *55*, 202–209.
- Taylor, E. (1999). Developmental neuropsychology of attention deficit and impulsiveness. *Development and Psychopathology*, *11*, 607–628.
- Taylor, E., Sandberg, S., Thorley, G., & Giles, S. (1991). *The epidemiology of childhood hyperactivity*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Teicher, M. H., Anderson, C. M., Polcari, A., Glod, C. A., Maas, L. C., & Renshaw, P. F. (2000). Functional deficits in basal ganglia of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder shown with functional magnetic resonance imaging relaxometry. *Nature Medicine*, *6*, 470–473.
- Thapar, A. J. (1999). Genetic basis of attention deficit and hyperactivity. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *174*, 105–111.
- Thapar, A., Hervas, A., & McGuffin, P. (1995). Childhood hyperactivity scores are highly heritable and show sibling competition effects: Twin study evidence. *Behavior Genetics*, *25*, 537–544.
- Torgesen, J. K. (1994). Issues in the assessment of executive function: An information-processing perspective. In G. R. Lyon (Ed.), *Frames of reference for the assessment of learning disabilities: New views on measurement issues* (pp. 143–162). Baltimore: Brookes.
- Tripp, G., & Alsop, B. (1999). Sensitivity to reward frequency in boys with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, *28*, 366–375.
- Tripp, G., & Alsop, B. (2001). Sensitivity to reward delay in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *42*, 691–698.
- Trites, R. L. (1979). *Hyperactivity in children: Etiology, measurement, and treatment implications*. Baltimore: University Park Press.
- Trites, R. L., Dugas, F., Lynch, G., & Ferguson, B. (1979). Incidence of hyperactivity. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *4*, 179–188.
- Trommer, B. L., Hoepfner, J. B., Rosenberg, R. S., Armstrong, K. J., & Rothstein, J. A. (1988). Sleep disturbances in children with attention deficit disorder. *Annals of Neurology*, *24*, 325.
- Ullman, D. G., Barkley, R. A., & Brown, H. W. (1978). The behavioral symptoms of hyperkinetic children who successfully responded to stimulant drug treatment. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, *48*, 425–437.
- Vaidya, C. J., Austin, G., Kirkorian, G., Riddlehuber, H. W., Desmond, J. E., Glover, G. H., et al. (1998). Selective effects of methylphenidate in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A functional magnetic resonance study. *Proceedings of the national Academy of Science*, *95*, 14494–14499.
- van den Oord, E. J. C. G., Boomsma, D. I., & Verhulst, F. C. (1994). A study of problem behaviors in 10- to 15-year-old biologically related and unrelated international adoptees. *Behavior Genetics*, *24*, 193–205.
- van den Oord, E. J. C., & Rowe, D. C. (1997). Continuity and change in children's social maladjustment: A developmental behavior genetic study. *Developmental Psychology*, *33*, 319–332.
- Velez, C. N., Johnson, J., & Cohen, P. (1989). A longitudinal analysis of selected risk factors for childhood psychopathology. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *28*, 861–864.
- Velting, O. N., & Whitehurst, G. J. (1997). Inattention-hyperactivity and reading achievement in children from low-income families: A longitudinal model. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *25*, 321–331.
- Voelker, S. L., Carter, R. A., Sprague, D. J., Gdowski, C. L., & Lachar, D. (1989). Developmental trends in memory and metamemory in children with attention deficit disorder. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *14*, 75–88.
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Fowler, J. S., Logan, J., Gerasimov, M., Maynard, L., et al. (2001). Therapeutic doses of oral methylphenidate significantly increase extracellular dopamine in the human brain. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *21*, 1–5.
- Wakefield, J. C. (1999). Evolutionary versus prototype analyses of the concept of disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *108*, 374–399.
- Wallander, J. L., Schroeder, S. R., Michelli, J. A., & Gualtieri, C. T. (1987). Classroom social interactions of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity children as a function of stimulant medication. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *12*, 61–76.
- Weiss, G., & Hechtman, L. (1993). *Hyperactive children grown up* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford.
- Weiss, G., & Hechtman, L. (in press). *Hyperactive children grown up* (3rd ed.). New York: Guilford.
- Weiss, R. E., Stein, M. A., Trommer, B., & Refetoff, S. (1993). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and thyroid function. *Journal of Pediatrics*, *123*, 539–545.
- Welner, Z., Welner, A., Stewart, M., Palkes, H., & Wish, E. (1977). A controlled study of siblings of hyperactive children. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, *165*, 110–117.

- Welsh, M. C., & Pennington, B. F. (1988). Assessing frontal lobe functioning in children: Views from developmental psychology. *Developmental Neuropsychology, 4*, 199–230.
- Werner, E. E., Bierman, J. M., French, F. W., Simonian, K., Connor, A., Smith, R. S., et al. (1971). Reproductive and environmental casualties: A report on the 10-year follow-up of the children of the Kauai pregnancy study. *Pediatrics, 42*, 112–127.
- Werry, J. S., Elkind, G. S., & Reeves, J. S. (1987). Attention deficit, conduct, oppositional, and anxiety disorders in children: III. Laboratory differences. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 15*, 409–428.
- Werry, J. S., & Quay, H. C. (1971). The prevalence of behavior symptoms in younger elementary school children. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 41*, 136–143.
- Whalen, C. K., & Henker, B. (1992). The social profile of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Five fundamental facets. In G. Weiss (Ed.), *Child and adolescent psychiatric clinics of North America: Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder* (pp. 395–410). Philadelphia: Saunders.
- Whalen, C. K., Henker, B., Collins, B. E., McAuliffe, S., & Vaux, A. (1979). Peer interaction in structured communication task: Comparisons of normal and hyperactive boys and of methylphenidate (Ritalin) and placebo effects. *Child Development, 50*, 388–401.
- Whalen, C. K., Henker, B., & Dotemoto, S. (1980). Methylphenidate and hyperactivity: Effects on teacher behaviors. *Science, 208*, 1280–1282.
- Whalen, C. K., Henker, B., Swanson, J. M., Granger, D., Kliever, W., & Spencer, J. (1987). Natural social behaviors in hyperactive children: Dose effects of methylphenidate. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 55*, 187–193.
- White, H. R., Xie, M., Thompson, W., Loeber, R., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (in press). Psychopathology as a predictor of adolescent drug use trajectories. *Psychology of Addictive Behavior*.
- Whittaker, A. H., Van Rossem, R., Feldman, J. F., Schonfeld, I. S., Pinto-Martin, J. A., Torre, C., et al. (1997). Psychiatric outcomes in low-birth-weight children at age 6 years: Relation to neonatal cranial ultrasound abnormalities. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 54*, 847–856.
- Wiers, R. W., Gunning, W. B., & Sergeant, J. A. (1998). Is a mild deficit in executive functions in boys related to childhood ADHD or to parental multigenerational alcoholism. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 26*, 415–430.
- Wilens, T. E., Biederman, J., & Spencer, T. (1994). Clonidine for sleep disturbances associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 33*, 424–426.
- Willcutt, E. G., Pennington, B. F., Boada, R., Ogline, J. S., Tunick, R. A., Chhabildas, N. A., et al. (2001). A comparison of the cognitive deficits in reading disability and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 110*, 157–172.
- Willcutt, E. G., Pennington, B. F., Chhabildas, N. A., Friedman, M. C., & Alexander, J. (1999). Psychiatric comorbidity associated with DSM-IV ADHD in a nonreferred sample of twins. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 38*, 1355–1362.
- Willerman, L. (1973). Activity level and hyperactivity in twins. *Child Development, 44*, 288–293.
- Willis, T. J., & Lovaas, I. (1977). A behavioral approach to treating hyperactive children: The parent's role. In J. B. Millichap (Ed.), *Learning disabilities and related disorders* (pp. 119–140). Chicago: Yearbook Medical Publications.
- Winsler, A. (1998). Parent-child interaction and private speech in boys with ADHD. *Applied Developmental Science, 2*, 17–39.
- Winsler, A., Diaz, R. M., Atencio, D. J., McCarthy, E. M., & Chabay, L. A. (2000). Verbal self-regulation over time in preschool children at risk for attention and behavior problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 41*, 875–886.
- Wolraich, M. L., Hannah, J. N., Baumgaertel, A., & Feurer, I. D. (1998). Examination of DSM-IV criteria for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a county-wide sample. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 19*, 162–168.
- Wolraich, M. L., Hannah, J. N., Pinnock, T. Y., Baumgaertel, A., & Brown, J. (1996). Comparison of diagnostic criteria for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in a countrywide sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 35*, 319–324.
- Wood, F. B., & Felton, R. H. (1994). Separate linguistic and attentional factors in the development of reading. *Topics in language disorders, 14*, 52–57.
- Woodward, L. J., Fergusson, D. M., & Horwood, L. J. (2000). Driving outcomes of young people with attentional difficulties in adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 39*, 627–634.
- World Health Organization. (1993). *The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioral disorders: Diagnostic criteria for research*. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- Wozniak, J., Biederman, J., Kiely, K., Ablon, S., Faraone, S. V., Mundy, E., et al. (1995). Mania-like symptoms suggestive of childhood-onset bipolar disorder in clinically referred children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 34*, 867–876.
- Zagar, R., & Bowers, N. D. (1983). The effect of time of day on problem-solving and classroom behavior. *Psychology in the Schools, 20*, 337–345.
- Zametkin, A. J., Liebenauer, L. L., Fitzgerald, G. A., King, A. C., Minkunas, D. V., Herscovitch, P., et al. (1993). Brain metabolism in teenagers with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 50*, 333–340.
- Zametkin, A. J., Nordahl, T. E., Gross, M., King, A. C., Semple, W. E., Rumsey, J., et al. (1990). Cerebral glucose metabolism in adults with hyperactivity of childhood onset. *New England Journal of Medicine, 323*, 1361–1366.
- Zametkin, A. J., & Rapoport, J. L. (1986). The pathophysiology of attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity: A review. In B. B. Lahey & A. E. Kazdin (Eds.), *Advances in clinical child psychology* (Vol. 9, pp. 177–216). New York: Plenum.
- Zentall, S. S. (1985). A context for hyperactivity. In K. Gadow & I. Bialer (Eds.), *Advances in learning and behavioral disabilities* (Vol. 4, pp. 273–343). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Zentall, S. S. (1988). Production deficiencies in elicited language but not in the spontaneous verbalizations of hyperactive children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 16*, 657–673.
- Zentall, S. S., & Smith, Y. S. (1993). Mathematical performance and behavior of children with hyperactivity with and without coexisting aggression. *Behavior Research and Therapy, 31*, 701–710.